

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)****Attorney General**

Agency Name

**Peace Officer Training Commission**

Division

**Gaye Gossard**

Contact

**OPOTA, P.O. Box 309 London OH 43140-0000**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

**614-466-7771**

Phone

Fax

**109:2-13-04**

Rule Number

**AMENDMENT**

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

**Approval of instructors.****RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **109.74**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **109.73, 109.75, 109.801**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

Pursuant to 119.032 rule review.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

Change "requalification" to "re-qualification", expanded requirements for instructor renewals, and reasons for revocation of instructor certificate.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

*This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

*This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

*Not Applicable.*

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

*Not Applicable.*

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **2/4/2008**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase/**

**decrease** either **revenues /expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will increase expenditures.

Indeterminate

The proposed rule will increase expenditures due to the fact the requirement for training has expanded from 1 day to 2 days therefore increasing the cost to the agency.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not Applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

The costs associated with this rule will increase due to the increase of days for the required training. If the training is taken at OPOTA, the estimated cost would be \$125-\$145.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **Yes**

You must complete Part B of the Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis in order to comply with Am. Sub. S.B. 33 of the 120th General Assembly.

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part B)**

1. Does the Proposed rule have a fiscal effect on any of the following?

|                      |              |               |                            |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| (a) School Districts | (b) Counties | (c) Townships | (d) Municipal Corporations |
| No                   | Yes          | Yes           | Yes                        |

2. Please provide an estimate in dollars of the cost of compliance with the proposed rule for school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations. If you are unable to provide an estimate in dollars, please provide a written explanation of why it is not possible to provide such an estimate.

The costs are associated with the increase in the number of days of the required training. The courses held at OPOTA would vary from \$125-\$145 in cost.

3. If the proposed rule is the result of a federal requirement, does the proposed rule exceed the scope and intent of the federal requirement? **No**

4. If the proposed rule exceeds the minimum necessary federal requirement, please provide an estimate of, and justification for, the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement. In particular, please provide an estimate of the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement for (a) school districts, (b) counties, (c) townships, and (d) municipal corporations.

*Not Applicable.*

5. Please provide a comprehensive cost estimate for the proposed rule that includes the procedure and method used for calculating the cost of compliance. This comprehensive cost estimate should identify all of the major cost categories including, but not limited to, (a) personnel costs, (b) new equipment or other capital costs, (c) operating costs, and (d) any indirect central service costs.

If the agency decides to qualify their own personnel as instructor, costs would be related to costs required to comply with instructor requirements. These include a firearms instructor course, re-qualification seminar, and administrative costs associated with the application process. Costs will vary widely from agency to agency.

(a) Personnel Costs

Indeterminate.

(b) New Equipment or Other Capital Costs

Indeterminate.

(c) Operating Costs

Indeterminate.

(d) Any Indirect Central Service Costs

Indeterminate.

(e) Other Costs

Indeterminate.

6. Please provide a written explanation of the agency's and the local government's ability to pay for the new requirements imposed by the proposed rule.

Assuming the agency decides to pay for the costs of filing, time devoted to the functions of an instructor, additional training, etc., the costs would likely be borne by the agency's operating budget.

7. Please provide a statement on the proposed rule's impact on economic development.

No impact.