

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis

Part A - General Questions

Rule Number: 123:1-41-08
Rule Type: No Change
Rule Title/Tagline: Verification of retention points.
Agency Name: Department of Administrative Services
Division: Division of Human Resources
Address: 1602 West Broad Street Columbus OH 43223
Contact: Robert Patchen
Email: robert.patchen@das.state.oh.us **Phone:** 614-466-7567

I. Rule Summary

1. **Is this a five year rule review?** Yes
 - A. **What is the rule's five year review date?** 9/15/2017 and 09/15/2022
2. **Is this rule the result of recent legislation?** No
3. **What statute is this rule being promulgated under?** 119.03
4. **What statute(s) grant rule writing authority?** 124.09
5. **What statute(s) does the rule implement or amplify?** 124.323, 124.324, 124.325
6. **What are the reasons for proposing the rule?**

Mandatory five year rule review.

7. **Summarize the rule's content, and if this is an amended rule, also summarize the rule's changes.**

Requires that retention points be calculated for all employees in a classification affected by a layoff. Sets forth some timing aspects and content provisions of required submissions by the appointing authority and the limitations of movement within the classification once the appointing authority has requested retention point verification. Requires that retention points are verified by DAS prior to the appointing

authority notifying the affected employees. Sets forth the timeline for an appointing authority submitting personnel action forms and recall lists associated with a layoff/displacement.

8. **Does the rule incorporate material by reference? No**
9. **If the rule incorporates material by reference and the agency claims the material is exempt pursuant to R.C. 121.71 to 121.76, please explain the basis for the exemption and how an individual can find the referenced material.**

Not Applicable

10. **If revising or re-filing the rule, please indicate the changes made in the revised or re-filed version of the rule.**

Not Applicable

II. Fiscal Analysis

11. **As a result of this proposed rule, please estimate the increase / decrease in revenues or expenditures affecting this agency, or the state generally, in the current biennium or future years. If the proposed rule is likely to have a different fiscal effect in future years, please describe the expected difference and operation.**

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

Not Applicable

12. **What are the estimated costs of compliance for all persons and/or organizations directly affected by the rule?**

Not Applicable

13. **Does the rule increase local government costs? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part B). No**

14. **Does the rule regulate environmental protection? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part C). No**

III. Common Sense Initiative (CSI) Questions

15. Was this rule filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office? No

16. Does this rule have an adverse impact on business? No

- A. Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? No**

- B. Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? No**

- C. Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? No**