Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)

Department of Administrative Services

Agency Name

<u>Division of Human Resources</u> <u>Robert Patchen</u>

Division Contact

100 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus OH 614-466-7567

43215-0000

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip) Phone Fax

robert.patchen@das.state.oh.us

Email

<u>123:1-41-22</u> <u>NO CHANGE</u>

Rule Number TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line Cash conversion of accrued leave at layoff, and restoration of

leave credit.

RULE SUMMARY

- 1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**
- 2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? No
- 3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: 119.03
- 4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **124.09**
- 5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: 124.13, 124.383, 124.384, 124.386
- 6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

Mandatory five year rule review.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE,

Page 2 Rule Number: 123:1-41-22

then summarize the content of the rule:

Deals with the cash conversion of vacation leave, sick leave, and personal leave at the time of a layoff. Sets forth when and how converted leaves may be reinstated.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so. If applicable, indicate each specific paragraph of the rule that has been modified:

Not Applicable.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: 9/17/2012 and 09/15/2017

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date

Page 3 Rule Number: 123:1-41-22

for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

The agency does not expect any fiscal impact as a result of this rule being continued.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not Applicable

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

Not Applcable

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **Yes**

You must complete Part B of the Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis in order to comply with Am. Sub. S.B. 33 of the 120th General Assembly.

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? N_0

S.B. 2 (129th General Assembly) Questions

18. Has this rule been filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office pursuant to R.C. 121.82? N_0

Page 4 Rule Number: 123:1-41-22

- 19. Specific to this rule, answer the following:
- A.) Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? N_0
- B.) Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? N_0
- C.) Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? ${
 m No}$

Page B-1 Rule Number: 123:1-41-22

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part B)

1. Does the Proposed rule have a fiscal effect on any of the following?

(a) School (b) Counties (c) Townships (d) Municipal Corporations

No Yes No No

2. Please provide an estimate in dollars of the cost of compliance with the proposed rule for school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations. If you are unable to provide an estimate in dollars, please provide a written explanation of why it is not possible to provide such an estimate.

It is not possible to estimate a cost of compliance as each layoff is different and the leave balances and rates of pay for affected employees will be different in each instance.

- 3. If the proposed rule is the result of a federal requirement, does the proposed rule exceed the scope and intent of the federal requirement? **No**
- 4. If the proposed rule exceeds the minimum necessary federal requirement, please provide an estimate of, and justification for, the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement. In particular, please provide an estimate of the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement for (a) school districts, (b) counties, (c) townships, and (d) municipal corporations.

Not Applicable.

5. Please provide a comprehensive cost estimate for the proposed rule that includes the procedure and method used for calculating the cost of compliance. This comprehensive cost estimate should identify all of the major cost categories including, but not limited to, (a) personnel costs, (b) new equipment or other capital costs, (c) operating costs, and (d) any indirect central service costs.

It is not possible to estimate a comprehensive cost as each layoff is different and the leave balances and rates of pay for affected employees will be different in each instance.

(a) Personnel Costs

Page B-2 Rule Number: 123:1-41-22

Not Applicable

(b) New Equipment or Other Capital Costs

Not Applicable

(c) Operating Costs

Not Applicable

(d) Any Indirect Central Service Costs

Not Applcable

(e) Other Costs

Not Applicable

6. Please provide a written explanation of the agency's and the local government's ability to pay for the new requirements imposed by the proposed rule.

There are no new requirements associated with this rule as it is being continued in its current form. If an affected entity does a layoff it will need to determine the impact that the leave pay outs will have on its operation in making the determination to conduct a layoff.

7. Please provide a statement on the proposed rule's impact on economic development.

None