

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Department of Administrative Services**

Agency Name

Division of Human Resources

Division

Robert Patchen

Contact

**100 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus OH
43215-0000**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

6144667567

Phone

Fax

123:1-43-01

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Overtime.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **No**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **Yes**

Bill Number: **HB187**General Assembly: **126**Sponsor: **Buehrer**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **124.09**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **124.18**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

To correct a missed change of reference from the auditor of state to the director of the office of budget and management and to add clarification to the rule that the limitation on counting sick leave of leave in lieu of sick leave for determining overtime is only applicable to employees that are eligible to earn overtime compensation and are paid by warrant of the director of the office of budget and management.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

The rule deals with the mechanics of administering overtime for employees paid by warrant of the director of the office of budget and management and employees of county human services departments. These include the payment of overtime at time and one-half, the accrual of compensatory time instead of overtime payment, exemptions to overtime eligibility consistent with the Fair Labor Standards Act, and the application of overtime in holiday situations. HB 187 changed the responsibility for the issuing of state warrants from the auditor of state to the director of the office of budget and management. One such reference was missed when the rule was amended to comply with HB 187 and that is being corrected and to add clarification to the rule that the limitation on counting sick leave of leave in lieu of sick leave for determining overtime is only applicable to employees that are eligible to earn overtime compensation and are paid by warrant of the director of the office of budget and management.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

Not Applicable.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **12/1/2011**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

The agency is not expecting any fiscal impact as a result of this rule being amended.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not Applicable

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

Not Applicable

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**