Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)

Department of Commerce

Agency Name

Division

David Sauer Contact

8895 East Main Street Reynoldsburg OH 43068-0000 Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip) <u>614-752-7096</u>

Phone

Fax

David.Sauer@com.state.oh.us Email

<u>1301:7-9-10</u>

Rule Number

AMENDMENT TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Permits for UST systems.

RULE SUMMARY

1. Is the rule being filed for five year review (FYR)? Yes

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? No

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **3737.88**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **3737.88**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

Five-year rule revision required pursuant to ORC 106.03.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

Rule 1301:7-9-10 establishes the permit requirements for the installation, removal,

closure-in-place, out-of-service, change in service, change of product, major repair, and modification of UST systems.

The rule is being amended to add "change in product" to the list of activities for which a permit is required and to clarify that activities for partially-exempt UST systems do not require a permit, a certified installer or a certified inspector. Other non-substantive changes are being made to correct formatting and clarify the text. The permit fee is not being changed.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so. If applicable, indicate each specific paragraph of the rule that has been modified:

Not Applicable.

12. Five Year Review (FYR) Date: 5/17/2017

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this

rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase**/ **decrease** either **revenues**/ **expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will increase revenues.

Unknown

The addition of "change of product" to the list of permitted activities will result in a small increase in the amount of fees received by the State Fire Marshal. Because this is a new activity that has not previously been tracked, BUSTR cannot quantify the number of such changes that occur annually, but the overall number is not expected to be large.

Additionally, repairs to flex lines and shear valves will now require a permit. Because these activities currently do not require a permit, BUSTR does not know how many would be expected to occur annually, but suspects it would be no more than 200. Some of these would occur in the course of corrective actions and therefore be reimbursable by the Petro Fund.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not Applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

The permit fee amount is unchanged. Existing permit fees are \$35 for persons electing or needing to perform work on their UST systems.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? Yes

You must complete Part B of the Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis in order to comply with Am. Sub. S.B. 33 of the 120th General Assembly.

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? Yes

You must complete the Environmental rule Adoption/Amendment Form in order to comply with Am. Sub. 106 of the 121st General Assembly.

S.B. 2 (129th General Assembly) Questions

18. Has this rule been filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office pursuant to R.C. 121.82? Yes

19. Specific to this rule, answer the following:

A.) Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? Yes

The rule requires a permit in order to perform an installation, removal, closure-in-place, out-of-service, change in service, change of product, major repair, or modification of a UST system.

B.) Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? Yes

Pursuant to ORC 3737.882(C)(2), violations of most BUSTR rules, including this one, are subject to a statutory civil penalty of up to \$10,000 per violation, per day. As a practical matter, violators are provided opportunities to return to compliance well before a civil penalty is considered.

C.) Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? Yes

Forms for a permit application, change in service, change in product, or change of ownership are to be submitted along with the \$35 permit fee. this information allows BUSTR to maintain an accurate database of USTs in Ohio.

Page B-1

Rule Number: 1301:7-9-10

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part B)

1. Does the Proposed rule have a fiscal effect on any of the following?

(a) School Districts	(b) Counties	(c) Townships	(d) Municipal Corporations
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

2. Please provide an estimate in dollars of the cost of compliance with the proposed rule for school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations. If you are unable to provide an estimate in dollars, please provide a written explanation of why it is not possible to provide such an estimate.

The permit fees are unchanged from current rule requirements. Existing permit fees are \$35 for persons electing to perform work on their UST systems. The cost of compliance of the current rule for school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations is the same as the cost of compliance incurred by private entities.

- 3. If the proposed rule is the result of a federal requirement, does the proposed rule exceed the scope and intent of the federal requirement? No
- 4. If the proposed rule exceeds the minimum necessary federal requirement, please provide an estimate of, and justification for, the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement. In particular, please provide an estimate of the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement for (a) school districts, (b) counties, (c) townships, and (d) municipal corporations.

Not Applicable.

5. Please provide a comprehensive cost estimate for the proposed rule that includes the procedure and method used for calculating the cost of compliance. This comprehensive cost estimate should identify all of the major cost categories including, but not limited to, (a) personnel costs, (b) new equipment or other capital costs, (c) operating costs, and (d) any indirect central service costs.

Please see response to Question #2, above.

(a) Personnel Costs

Please see response to Question #2, above.

(b) New Equipment or Other Capital Costs

Please see response to Question #2, above.

(c) Operating Costs

Please see response to Question #2, above.

(d) Any Indirect Central Service Costs

Please see response to Question #2, above.

(e) Other Costs

Please see response to Question #2, above.

6. Please provide a written explanation of the agency's and the local government's ability to pay for the new requirements imposed by the proposed rule.

The permit fees are unchanged from current rule requirements. Existing permit fees are \$35 for persons electing to perform work on their UST systems. These costs are ordinary costs of conducting business of the local government entity which will come from the normal operating budgets of the entities.

7. Please provide a statement on the proposed rule's impact on economic development.

This rule should not have any impact on economic development.

Page E-1

Rule Number: 1301:7-9-10

Environmental Rule Adoption/Amendment Form

Pursuant to Am. Sub. H.B. 106 of the 121st General Assembly, prior to adopting a rule or an amendment to a rule dealing with environmental protection, or containing a component dealing with environmental protection, a state agency shall:

- (1) Consult with organizations that represent political subdivisions, environmental interests, business interests, and other persons affected by the proposed rule or amendment.
- (2) Consider documentation relevant to the need for, the environmental benefits or consequences of, other benefits of, and the technological feasibility of the proposed rule or rule amendment.
- (3) Specifically identify whether the proposed rule or rule amendment is being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal environmental law or to participate in a federal environmental program, whether the proposed rule or rule amendment is more stringent than its federal counterpart, and, if the proposed rule or rule amendment is more stringent, the rationale for not incorporating its federal counterpart.
- (4) Include with the proposed rule or rule amendment and rule summary and fiscal analysis required to be filed with the Joint Committee on Agency Rule Review information relevant to the previously listed requirements.
- (A) Were organizations that represent political subdivisions, environmental interests, business interests, and other persons affected by the proposed rule or amendment consulted ? Yes

Please list each contact.

Ohio Township Association

Ohio Petroleum Marketers and Convenience Store Association

Ohio Municipal League

Ohio Fire Chiefs' Association

Ohio Environmental Council

Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission

County Engineers Association of Ohio

County Commissioners' Association of Ohio

Ohio Department of Development

Ohio EPA

(B) Was documentation that is relevant to the need for, the environmental benefits or consequences of, other benefits of, and the technological feasibility of the proposed rule or amendment considered ? Yes

Please list the information provided and attach a copy of each piece of documentation to this form. (A SUMMARY OR INDEX MAY BE ATTACHED IN LIEU OF THE ACTUAL DOCUMENTATION.)

40 CFR Part 280 Technical Standards and Corrective Action Requirements for Owners and Operators of Underground Storage Tanks (UST).

Federal Guidelines located at http://www.epa.gov/swerust1/fedlaws/epact_05.htm.

(C) Is the proposed rule or rule amendment being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal environmental law or to participate in a federal environmental program ? Yes

Is the proposed rule or rule amendment more stringent than its federal counterpart ? \mathbf{No}

Not Applicable

(D) If this is a rule amendment that is being adopted under a state statute that establishes standards with which the amendment is to comply, is the proposed rule amendment more stringent than the rule that it is proposing to amend? No