#### Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)

**Department of Commerce** 

Agency Name

<u>Division of State Fire Marshal</u> <u>David Sauer</u>

Division Contact

8895 East Main Street Reynoldsburg OH 614-752-7096

**43068-0000** 

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip) Phone Fax

**1301:7-9-16 AMENDMENT** 

Rule Number TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line Petroleum contaminated soil.

#### **RULE SUMMARY**

- 1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**
- 2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? No
- 3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: 119.03
- 4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: 3737.88, 3737.882
- 5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: 3737.88, 3737.882
- 6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

Five year rule revision required under ORC 119.032.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

Summary:

The rule 1301:7-9-16 governs the storage, treatment and disposal of petroleum

Page 2 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-16

contaminated soil generated from corrective actions undertaken in response to releases of petroleum from underground storage tanks.

#### Proposed Amendments:

- The rule was amended and re-organized to improve general understanding, and to clarify the options for re-use of petroleum contaminated soil (PCS).
- The rule was amended to address situations in which petroleum contaminated soil (PCS) is returned to a clean excavation. The amendment will provide owners or operators the option of removing and disposing of the PCS as an alternative to the existing requirement to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Both options will require action within ninety days.
- The rule was amended to specify a time period for retention of written inspection logs for storage areas of PCS.
- 8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

Page 3 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-16

Not Applicable.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: 12/23/2011

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0

There are no changes in the rule that would increase or decrease cost.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

The rule was amended to specify a time period for retention of written inspection logs for storage areas of PCS. Previously, written records were required to be kept indefinitely. The rule amendment limits the retention time to five years. This will reduce the volume of the records to be retained and therefore will reduce the costs of retaining such records.

The rule was amended to address situations in which petroleum contaminated soil (PCS) is returned to a clean excavation. The amendment will provide owners or operators the option of removing and disposing of the PCS as an alternative to the

Page 4 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-16

existing requirement to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Both options will require action within ninety days. In both situations, owners and operators must properly manage the PCS; however, the newly-added option of removing the PCS is more cost effective. Thus, there is no added cost of compliance. The ninety-day deadline has no bearing on the cost of removal and proper disposal.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **Yes** 

You must complete Part B of the Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis in order to comply with Am. Sub. S.B. 33 of the 120th General Assembly.

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? Yes

You must complete the Environmental rule Adoption/Amendment Form in order to comply with Am. Sub. 106 of the 121st General Assembly.

Page B-1 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-16

#### Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part B)

Does the Proposed rule have a fiscal effect on any of the following?

(a) School (b) Counties (c) Townships (d) Municipal Corporations

Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes

2. Please provide an estimate in dollars of the cost of compliance with the proposed rule for school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations. If you are unable to provide an estimate in dollars, please provide a written explanation of why it is not possible to provide such an estimate.

The rule was amended to specify a time period for retention of written inspection logs for storage areas of PCS. Previously, written records were required to be kept indefinitely. The rule amendment limits the retention time to five years. This will reduce the volume of the records to be retained and therefore will reduce the costs of retaining such records.

The rule was amended to address situations in which PCS is returned to an excavation that has otherwise been determined to be clean. The amendment will provide owners or operators the option of removing and disposing of the PCS as an alternative to the existing requirement to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Both options will require action within ninety days. In these situations, removing and properly disposing of PCS would have to be performed in any event if the owner or operator does not choose to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Thus, there is no added cost of compliance. The ninety-day deadline has no bearing on the cost of removal and proper disposal.

- 3. If the proposed rule is the result of a federal requirement, does the proposed rule exceed the scope and intent of the federal requirement? **No**
- 4. If the proposed rule exceeds the minimum necessary federal requirement, please provide an estimate of, and justification for, the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement. In particular, please provide an estimate of the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement for (a) school districts, (b) counties, (c) townships, and (d) municipal corporations.

Not Applicable.

5. Please provide a comprehensive cost estimate for the proposed rule that

Page B-2 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-16

includes the procedure and method used for calculating the cost of compliance. This comprehensive cost estimate should identify all of the major cost categories including, but not limited to, (a) personnel costs, (b) new equipment or other capital costs, (c) operating costs, and (d) any indirect central service costs.

The rule was amended to specify a time period for retention of written inspection logs for storage areas of PCS. Previously, written records were required to be kept indefinitely. The rule amendment limits the retention time to five years. This will reduce the volume of the records to be retained and therefore will reduce the costs of retaining such records.

The rule was amended to address situations in which PCS is returned to an excavation that has otherwise been determined to be clean. The amendment will provide owners or operators the option of removing and disposing of the PCS as an alternative to the existing requirement to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Both options will require action within ninety days. In these situations, removing and properly disposing of PCS would have to be performed in any event if the owner or operator does not choose to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Thus, there is no added cost of compliance. The ninety-day deadline has no bearing on the cost of removal and proper disposal.

#### (a) Personnel Costs

The rule was amended to specify a time period for retention of written inspection logs for storage areas of PCS. Previously, written records were required to be kept indefinitely. The rule amendment limits the retention time to five years. This will reduce the volume of the records to be retained and therefore will reduce the costs of retaining such records.

The rule was amended to address situations in which PCS is returned to an excavation that has otherwise been determined to be clean. The amendment will provide owners or operators the option of removing and disposing of the PCS as an alternative to the existing requirement to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Both options will require action within ninety days. In these situations, removing and properly disposing of PCS would have to be performed in any event if the owner or operator does not choose to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Thus, there is no added cost of compliance. The ninety-day deadline has no bearing on the cost of removal and proper disposal.

#### (b) New Equipment or Other Capital Costs

The rule was amended to specify a time period for retention of written inspection logs for storage areas of PCS. Previously, written records were

Page B-3 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-16

required to be kept indefinitely. The rule amendment limits the retention time to five years. This will reduce the volume of the records to be retained and therefore will reduce the costs of retaining such records.

The rule was amended to address situations in which PCS is returned to an excavation that has otherwise been determined to be clean. The amendment will provide owners or operators the option of removing and disposing of the PCS as an alternative to the existing requirement to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Both options will require action within ninety days. In these situations, removing and properly disposing of PCS would have to be performed in any event if the owner or operator does not choose to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Thus, there is no added cost of compliance. The ninety-day deadline has no bearing on the cost of removal and proper disposal.

#### (c) Operating Costs

The rule was amended to specify a time period for retention of written inspection logs for storage areas of PCS. Previously, written records were required to be kept indefinitely. The rule amendment limits the retention time to five years. This will reduce the volume of the records to be retained and therefore will reduce the costs of retaining such records.

The rule was amended to address situations in which PCS is returned to an excavation that has otherwise been determined to be clean. The amendment will provide owners or operators the option of removing and disposing of the PCS as an alternative to the existing requirement to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Both options will require action within ninety days. In these situations, removing and properly disposing of PCS would have to be performed in any event if the owner or operator does not choose to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Thus, there is no added cost of compliance. The ninety-day deadline has no bearing on the cost of removal and proper disposal.

#### (d) Any Indirect Central Service Costs

The rule was amended to specify a time period for retention of written inspection logs for storage areas of PCS. Previously, written records were required to be kept indefinitely. The rule amendment limits the retention time to five years. This will reduce the volume of the records to be retained and therefore will reduce the costs of retaining such records.

The rule was amended to address situations in which PCS is returned to an excavation that has otherwise been determined to be clean. The amendment will provide owners or operators the option of removing and disposing of the

Page B-4 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-16

PCS as an alternative to the existing requirement to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Both options will require action within ninety days. In these situations, removing and properly disposing of PCS would have to be performed in any event if the owner or operator does not choose to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Thus, there is no added cost of compliance. The ninety-day deadline has no bearing on the cost of removal and proper disposal.

#### (e) Other Costs

The rule was amended to specify a time period for retention of written inspection logs for storage areas of PCS. Previously, written records were required to be kept indefinitely. The rule amendment limits the retention time to five years. This will reduce the volume of the records to be retained and therefore will reduce the costs of retaining such records.

The rule was amended to address situations in which PCS is returned to an excavation that has otherwise been determined to be clean. The amendment will provide owners or operators the option of removing and disposing of the PCS as an alternative to the existing requirement to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Both options will require action within ninety days. In these situations, removing and properly disposing of PCS would have to be performed in any event if the owner or operator does not choose to prepare a PCS Treatment Plan. Thus, there is no added cost of compliance. The ninety-day deadline has no bearing on the cost of removal and proper disposal.

6. Please provide a written explanation of the agency's and the local government's ability to pay for the new requirements imposed by the proposed rule.

These costs are ordinary costs of conducting the business of the local government entity which will come from the normal operating budgets of the entities. These costs are reimbursable by the Petroleum Underground Storage Tank Release Compensation Board.

Please provide a statement on the proposed rule's impact on economic development.

This rule should not have any significant impact on economic development.

Page E-1 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-16

### **Environmental Rule Adoption/Amendment Form**

Pursuant to Am. Sub. H.B. 106 of the 121st General Assembly, prior to adopting a rule or an amendment to a rule dealing with environmental protection, or containing a component dealing with environmental protection, a state agency shall:

- Consult with organizations that represent political subdivisions, environmental interests, business interests, and other persons affected by the proposed rule or amendment.
- (2) Consider documentation relevant to the need for, the environmental benefits or consequences of, other benefits of, and the technological feasibility of the proposed rule or rule amendment.
- (3) Specifically identify whether the proposed rule or rule amendment is being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal environmental law or to participate in a federal environmental program, whether the proposed rule or rule amendment is more stringent than its federal counterpart, and, if the proposed rule or rule amendment is more stringent, the rationale for not incorporating its federal counterpart.
- (4) Include with the proposed rule or rule amendment and rule summary and fiscal analysis required to be filed with the Joint Committee on Agency Rule Review information relevant to the previously listed requirements.
- (A) Were organizations that represent political subdivisions, environmental interests, business interests, and other persons affected by the proposed rule or amendment consulted ? Yes

Please list each contact.

See Attachment A.

(B) Was documentation that is relevant to the need for, the environmental benefits or consequences of, other benefits of, and the technological feasibility of the proposed rule or amendment considered? No

Please indicate the reasons for not providing the information.

ORC 3737.88(E) grants the state fire marshal exclusive jurisdiction to regulate petroleum contaminated soil generated from conducting closure assessments and/or performing corrective actions in response to petroleum releases from regulated underground storage tanks. As there are few programs of this nature, the state fire marshal drafted the rule drawing on the experience and expertise of the BUSTR staff and Ohio EPA, Division of Hazardous Waste.

Page E-2 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-16

(C) Is the proposed rule or rule amendment being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal environmental law or to participate in a federal environmental program?

Yes

Is the proposed rule or rule amendment more stringent than its federal counterpart ? No

Not Applicable

(D) If this is a rule amendment that is being adopted under a state statute that establishes standards with which the amendment is to comply, is the proposed rule amendment more stringent than the rule that it is proposing to amend? No

## **Attachment A – Organizations Consulted**

Since January 2007, the Division of State Fire Marshal, Bureau of Underground Storage Tank Regulations (BUSTR) has held several meetings with stakeholders to discuss proposed changes to the BUSTR regulations. These meetings were open to the public and included representatives from a cross section of the regulated community. All participants were encouraged to participate in the open discussions and to submit written comments on any topic that concerned them. In July 2009, BUSTR initiated a web-based petition process to inform the public of the rule review process and to encourage the public to participate in amending the BUSTR regulations. BUSTR has received over 2,300 petitions requesting changes to the BUSTR regulations. Below is a list of dates when BUSTR held meetings with the public to discuss changes to the BUSTR regulations.

#### June 29, 2009, Web Based Petition Period Opens.

https://www.comapps.ohio.gov/sfm/fire\_apps/fire/petition/Copy of web page attached.

#### August 19, 2010, Web Based Comment Period Opens.

https://www.comapps.ohio.gov/sfm/fire\_apps/fire/petition/Copy of web page attached.

#### June 3, 2011, Meeting at the Ohio Fire Academy.

8895 East Main Street, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 List of attendees attached.

#### June 21, 2011, Meeting at the Ohio Fire Academy.

8895 East Main Street, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 List of attendees attached.

#### July 7, 2011, Meeting at the Ohio Fire Academy.

8895 East Main Street, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 List of attendees attached.

#### July 21, 2011, Meeting at the Ohio Fire Academy.

8895 East Main Street, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 List of attendees attached.

#### August 4, 2011, Meeting at the Ohio Division of Industrial Compliance.

6606 Tussing Road, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 List of attendees attached.

#### August 18, 2011, Meeting at the Ohio Fire Academy.

8895 East Main Street, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 List of attendees attached.

#### September 8, 2011, Meeting at the Ohio Fire Academy.


8895 East Main Street, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 List of attendees attached.

# **September 22, 2011, Meeting at the Ohio Fire Academy.** 8895 East Main Street, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068

List of attendees attached.

October 6, 2011, Meeting at the Ohio Fire Academy. 8895 East Main Street, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 List of attendees attached.

October 20, 2011, Meeting at the Ohio Fire Academy. 8895 East Main Street, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 List of attendees attached.





## **BUSTR Rule Revision/Development Process**

The Ohio Department of Commerce, Division of State Fire Marshal, Bureau of Underground Storage Tank Regulations (BUSTR) has begun its mandatory five year rule review for the existing <u>BUSTR</u> administrative rules and is simultaneously drafting new rules to conform to the requirements of the Energy Act of 2005 for three topic areas: (a) owner/operator training, (b) secondary containment, and (c) delivery prohibition. Thus, BUSTR is currently in the process of revising and/or writing four sets of administrative rules:

- 1. All existing BUSTR administrative rules
- 2. New administrative rules for owner/operator training
- 3. New administrative rules for secondary containment
- 4. New administrative rules for delivery prohibition

Update as of August 2010: To conform to the requirements of the Federal Energy Policy Act of 2005 for secondary containment and delivery prohibition, BUSTR Rules 6, 7, 8 and 18 are being considered separately from the remaining BUSTR rules. All other BUSTR rules, including owner/operator training, will be considered later in the year.

BUSTR values the input of all stakeholders in the regulatory process and encourages your participation in the rule revision/development process.

Generally, the revision/development process involves four steps:

- 1. <u>Petition Period</u> is the period of time when a stakeholder may submit one or more written petitions via the online <u>Petition/Comment form</u> to suggest a revision to an existing, or newly drafted, rule.
  - A compendium of all submitted petitions, along with BUSTR's response to each of them, will be published shortly after the petition period closes. This will be called the "report on petitions" or "ROP."
  - For those who cannot access the online from, the SFM/Code Enforcement Bureau is available to assist.
- Comment Period is the period of time when a stakeholder may submit one or more written
  comments via the online Petition/Comment form regarding one or more previously submitted
  petitions.
  - A compendium of all submitted comments, along with BUSTR's response to each of them, will be published shortly after the comment period closes. This will be called the "report on comments" or "ROC."

- 3. Ohio's Formal Rule Adoption Process that involves filing the new draft of the rules with the legislature (referred to as the "final draft" at this point), publishing the final draft in the Register of Ohio, holding a mandatory public hearing, and going through the Joint Committee on Agency Rule Review (JCARR) process for final acceptance.
- 4. Publishing and printing (hard copy and online) of the new and amended BUSTR Rules.

#### The Principles of the Rule/Development Process

Early in the process, especially where no rule currently exists (e.g., the requirements under The Energy Act of 2005), BUSTR will organize one or more "stakeholder meetings" where the stakeholders, industry representatives, and subject matter experts meet to exchange ideas with the SFM. The purpose of these verbal exchanges is to (a) inform stakeholders about the rule development/revision process, (b) inform stakeholders of what to expect in the initial draft of a future rule, (c) solicit feedback from those present regarding the concepts the SFM presents, and (d) have stakeholders inform the SFM of ideas and concerns, even if they have nothing to do with anything previously presented. At a stakeholder meeting, although there will likely be notes taken, there is generally no exchange or submission of written documents because the focus is on the exchange and explanation of concepts and ideas.

When new (usually Federal) requirements force the development of one or more new rules—such as those required by The Energy Act of 2005—BUSTR produces and distributes an initial draft of each rule (e.g., new administrative rules for owner/operator training and secondary containment) once the bureau is well informed of the ideas, concerns and positions of stakeholders. This initial document then becomes the basis for the subsequent Petition Period, and suggestions for changes to it are submitted to BUSTR through the online Petition/Comment Form.

During the Petition Period, all interested stakeholders may file petitions for proposed BUSTR rule changes. Petitions on any topic may be submitted at any time during the year, but submission prior to the closing date of the Petition Period will provide the stakeholder with the best chance of having the submitted petition considered in the current rule revision cycle. Petitions received after the Petition Period closes will be considered in the next rule revision cycle. After the Petition Period closes, each petition is thoroughly researched, a response is developed (e.g., Report on Petitions or ROP), and a draft of the proposed BUSTR rule (along with the ROP) are recommended to the Department of Commerce for approval. After approval, the ROP is released on the SFM Website, the draft of the proposed BUSTR rule is released to stakeholders, and the Comment Period begins.

During the Comment Period, the Report on Petitions is available for review by any person via the SFM Website, and comments on the petitions may be submitted through the online Petition/Comment Form. After the Comment Period closes, each comment is thoroughly researched, a response is developed (e.g., Report on Comments or ROC), and a final draft of the proposed BUSTR rule (along with the ROC) are recommended to the Department of Commerce for approval. After approval, the ROC is released on the SFM Website and the final draft of the proposed BUSTR rule is submitted to Ohio's formal rules adoption process.

A list of dates relevant to this process can be found below under "Key Timeline/Dates."

#### About Completing the Online Petition/Comment Form

Where a rule currently exists (e.g., <u>BUSTR</u> administrative rules), petitions for changes to these existing rules may be submitted anytime using the online <u>Petition/Comment Form</u>.

A petition or comment must be submitted electronically, but anyone without access to the online forms may request assistance from BUSTR (see contact info below). The electronic Petition/Comment form has buttons that will assist the user in correctly formatting text in the petition. New (added) text must be underlined (e.g., new words used) and deleted text must be identified by strikeout (e.g., words deleted). All petitions and comments must be submitted electronically no later than 5:00 pm on the day of the deadline identified below. The Petition/Comment Form can be accessed by clicking here.

Questions about the rule revision/development process can be directed to BUSTR at:

Division of State Fire Marshal

800-686-2878 (Ohio Toll Free) or

8895 E. Main Street.

614-752-7938

Reynoldsburg, Ohio. 43068

email: webbustr@com.state.oh.us

To receive email notification of updates placed on the BUSTR Website including changes to the Agenda and when submissions and postings have been made please sign up by sending an email to: webbustr@com.state.oh.us.

#### Instructions for Submitting the Petition/Comment Form:

- 1. Please Use Only the Online Petition/Comment Form Provided:
  - a. Petitions and/or comment submissions on any other form, or in any format, will not be accepted.
  - b. For those who cannot access the online form, SFM/BUSTR is available to assist.
- 2. One Petition/Comment Form per Suggested Change/Comment:
  - a. A single Petition/Comment form must be submitted for each requested change and/or comment. BUSTR can not track multiple comments or requests contained within a single Petition/Comment form.
  - b. The Petition/Comment form must be completed in its entirety in order to be considered in the rule development/revision process.
- 3 Please Save a Copy of Your Submitted Petition/Comment

#### Key Timeline Dates:

Please note that asterisk (\*) denotes items of interest and are addressed below.

06/29/09	Petition Period Opens
	BUSTR is accepting Petitions on the following:
	1. All existing BUSTR administrative rules
	2. New administrative rules for owner/operator training
	3. New administrative rules for secondary containment
	4. New administrative rules for delivery prohibition
	Promotion
07/30/09**	Meeting of Stakeholders at Ohio Fire Academy (map)
100pm - 400pm	The voluntary meeting will be followed by a voluntary Stakeholder Intra-
•	Industry Meeting***
	,
09/18/09	Petition Period Closes
08/19/10	BUSTR Publishes Limited ROP on BUSTR Web Site for BUSTR Rules 6,
	7, 8 and 18****
	- Andrew Contract Con
08/19/10	Comment Period Opens for BUSTR Rules 6, 7, 8 and 18
	posta relies of 710 and 10
09/03/10	Comment Period Closes for BUSTR Rules 6, 7, 8 and 18
	The state of the and the
TBA	BUSTR Publishes Limited ROC on the BUSTR Web site for BUSTR
	Rules 6, 7, 8 and 18
	The state of the s
TBA	Ohio's Formal Rule Adoption Process
	- Draft filed with Legislative Service Commission (LSC)
	- Draft published in the Register of Ohio
	,

- Draft published in the Register of Ohio
- JCARR process

#### TBA Rules Accepted, Published and Printed

- NOTE: In the event that the ROP is published after the date listed, all subsequent timeline dates will be adjusted accordingly
- \*\* In the interest of achieving an improved understanding of the new BUSTR rule revision/writing process and meeting customer needs, a few agenda items from the previously discussed agenda have been adjusted.
- \*\*\* The intra-industry meeting is intended as an opportunity for stakeholders to discuss amongst themselves potential petitions and/or comments of the BUSTR rules. BUSTR personnel may attend, but will not facilitate the discussion, and will only participate by providing information about BUSTR.
- \*\*\*\* To conform to the requirements of the Federal Energy Policy Act of 2005 for secondary containment and delivery prohibition, BUSTR Rules 6, 7, 8 and 18 are being considered separately from the remaining BUSTR rules. All other BUSTR rules, including owner/operator training, will be considered later in the year

BUSTR Underground Storage Tank Rule Meeting, June 3, 2011

CLABALM DONG CKSTUM APONG CKSTUM APONG WESSON OPINGA BUSTA BUSTA BUSTA BUSTA		I The state of the	
David BLENEL OPPING  Tin THICKS TWW ADV FU  Made Schmidt  Bush Hawason OPMCA  David Samer  Rush  Rich Reverser  Short Kickmand  Swister  Bush  Short Halmand  Bush  Bush	The second data has been		The Killing and the state
Tin THICKS TWW ADV FU Mank Schmidt  Bear Howasson OPMCA David Saver  Carl Saver RUSTR  RICH REPEACE  Shor Hahmond BUSTA	ire a	9498-146-419	dolomo, a compression of the contraction of the con
Mak Schmidt  Burn Hawleson Opned  Bustre  Bustre  Shor Hahmond  Bustre  Bustre		2248-235-419	TIMB ADVENEL. CON
David Sauce Buste Buste David Sauce Buste Sust Suited Buste RICK Keyeren Busta	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	5h6b-262-0hh	mschmidt (a fruttine, com
David Sauce BUSTR  David Sauce BUSTR  RICH KRIEGER BUSTA  Shor Helmond BusTA	Poers Ferradon	330 264 1885	Steen @ Fin Mist Con
The state of the s	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	614-752-725	disaple constate ob US
and but	The second secon	614 - 752.7096	david. sauer @ com. state. 04.05
Referen	300000000000000000000000000000000000000	352 - 552 - 413	5. 4. 5. 4. 5. 4. 5. 4. 5. 4. 5. 4. 5. 6. 5. 6. 5.
Kichmond		614. 728.5120	RXRUECER @ Com, STATE, CH. US
		614-752-8963	551 chmond a sofrabourd was
= 17/2000 ASTRON	ASTRCS/OPMA 6	1380) 562-9381	Thouse Cosper Rest Can
12 Verye Org BUSTA		5302-851 (7.17)	Verne Ord Goom, state oh, w
13 KM 6.21 BUNT	N.	414252-2941	156.11/0 um. state. 0 h. w
14	J		
1.5			
91		And the Committee of th	
		en entre de compositore en manuel en manuel en manuel en compositore en composito	1000 Account of the control of the c
8		The state of the s	
	The second secon	the same management and a second seco	
50			

S.# Sover 3000

Mark Schmid

Sterr Richmond

Jr Rocco

DOUGH BIENEL

Breen Havoreson

Eric Swaisgood

GREY WILTOWS

That Assums PICK KRUEGE LOR! SHVENS

Mare Israe!

David Sauer

John Goldon

845K

X CSTR MIST Hull attestal PUSTRUB

Pstrcb/OpecA

Pers/OPMCA としくいい

Speedway LLC KEMBON

ATC ASSOC.

DVSVD

BUSTR

¥88

Englefield oic 311/2 6212/R

740.928.8215 1464-256 (2)

(UN) 752-7095

814. 752- 758 614-552-0535

614-752-8963 (330) 562-9391 SIn66-282-0HH

9498-446 -419

937-608-6126 \* Not Part OF Stateholder Works
304-755-0999 \* Not Part of Stakeholder Work 330 264 1885

12-505-84PI

0686-588-219 0215-824-419

614-752-725

(by) 752-7096

BUSTR Underground Storage Tank Rule Meeting July 7, 2011

		ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE NUMBER	Email	guygaranana
A second	RICK KRUEGER	BUSTR	614-728-5120	RKRVE GERFORM, STATE OH K	
2	11/16/1/	BUTH	1436-836 (x17)	W/2/10	
0	Whate Schmidt	Kull + Associates	5466-252-04H	makindol. II.	<b>}</b>
4	lane Isme	BUSTR	614-752-725	distre (Alow, State du 18	
2	John GORDON	Englefiend oil	740.928.8215	Thomas CENA/Chelloil	Dock
ω	they howoverson	HOREN PETROLDING	330 264 1885	The Offin Mor Con	200
	Scott Sigler	BUS7,2	614-752-7928	Scott. Sigle @con SAAFE, Ob, US	ک <sup>ا</sup> لہ
8	LAN STRAMMS	IT'C Ason	1212-505-8421	Jan. Whoma Date Mills sate	7.47.6
5	JIM ROCCS	rustriblomes	10/11ch (330)562-9391	Maco OSAGARICA. CAN	es:
\$	T/	BUSTR	0582 - 587 - 3890	Spire Star Som Star Star	N. 4.
4-	William Veder	BUSTR	JH24- 906-4191	1014 - 995-4246 William Worden Do 26	7
12	David Sauer	BustR	(614) 352-20910	david Such Bond.	rit. Cm· 55
13	TIM THICKSTUM	DUANCED FUELSYSTEMS	2678-232 (11)	The Many Enter	67.45
4		1		111 ( 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
50					
<u>ش</u>					
8					
0					
20					
27	восного выполня в под				
22	не обиская передобава поднавана на веренена поднава на передоба поднава на передоба поднава на передоба поднава				
23	моготим всен дала на поставления на поставления на поставления на поставления на поставления на поставления на				
24	онным венения выполнения выполнения по поставления выполнения выполнен				

BUSTR Rule Meeting July 21, 2011

	NAME	ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE NUMBER	FMAII	
1	500# Sigled	SFM-BUSTR	614-752-7938	Scott SigleRe com state. On	5
1	William Vedra	SPW/BUSTR	HZH - 366 54 619	Whom Welle B. com 54 h. 16. US	16.05
***	Yerne Ord	SFM / BULSTR	5601-752-7095	Verne Ord Sens while at us	40 40
1	Stur Richmond	3	8768-256-419	Srichmond Oxtro bound. ore	}
1,	JIM KOCCO	PustReb/OfficA	330/562-9391	J Poco CSACEASK, Co.	£
	BARRY HONOWESO	PORTS OPMER	330264 1885	Bee @ Fra Mer C	
Western.	Mark/Schmidt	BF	5hbb-282 62-0hh	ma my what when you	Į.
10016	VAK SOR	BUSTR	614-752-7225	disone ( Down state of 110	
	Eni Swaiscood	Speedua	937-608-6126	OM St. C. C. C. C. C. C.	
	JAN ARAMI	ATC. Ason	10/2-202-213	To the Market State of the Control o	200
	RICK KRIEGER	BUSTR	614-728-5120	RKAGGER O CON, STATE, OH, US	2
1	Lori Stevens	Bustp	884-755-419	614-752-7738 Lobern BOM. State of	
	Tomild, Savar	BUSTR	(614) 752-7096	david save a com state of us	sh. us
1	11/1 6.11	BUSTR	1466-556(419)	156:110 (200 54. to )	,,,
				bin Planet (during)	. Y u
i					
-					
1					
ĺ	име запасницию подолжений менером потременти				

BUSTR Underground Storage Tank Rule Meeting August 4, 2011

distribution of a minimum of a	NAME	ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE NUMBER	Eaail	
*-	Mark Schmidt	Hull 13P	440-232-04A	mschmidt on hulling, com	
0	DAVID SAVE	BUSTR	(VIL) 752-4096	david sauv lo con state. ch.us	oh.us
0	JIH KOCES	OPACA/PUSTRCB	(330)562-7371	JROCCO OSPOERSE CON	. 1
4	Barry Howoerson	PORTS PETROLIUM	330 264 1885	Base @ Firs Mast. Cox	<u>ئ</u> ئ
2	John Coppon	Engletiens oic	740.928.8215	JGORDON OF MAPPIE	.Dore.
9	Jane Israe	BÚSTR	614-752-725	distacle con salt duck	COM
~	Eric Sumisgood	Speedwa	937-608-6126	ems was stood to Swall war	Jugar 16
8	(math ma)	AC KING.	513-505-8481	the adome of accor water	L'AND
ō	RICK KRUE GER	1805TR	614-728-5120	RKRUEGER @ Com. STAR, OH US	K
9	Scort Sigled	BUSTR	876-28-418	Scott. Sigled @ con. State. Ch, US	So.
-	Verne Orch	BUSTR	2601 -752- 7095	Verne, Ord Con. state of 43	h. 4.5
5	DON'S BLEMEL	OPMCA	9h 98 Lhb h13	Spieme & Bromca.of	
43	Frien Kiich Valin	13(15TR	(614-752-7921	SKO'CHINGERGE CEN. SAF	
4	William Vedra	BUSTR	MZ4-866-419	Millian. Vedir 10 Cour. State. Oh. US	24.75
<del>ن</del>	Kelly 6:11	RUTH	14-62-417	196.110 Jun 2016 8/20	1/6
16					
7					
φ.					
<u>ф</u>					
8					
7					
8					
8	на под				
24					

BUSTR RULE MEETING - AUGUST 18, 2011

	£. 0.8	とよろ		3	107	, ,	9.7	) (	200	, ,													
EMAIL	William. Vedra @ COM. STATE. 0	RKRUEGER CON, STATE, DH, US	distacto con state cons	ems was so and (O smader	Son at my Out 1860x 1978 Low	Scott. Sigled Con stAte Un US	NO 6:11/0 com state 52. 4.0	METIPE ORDER SAFER SAFER	Srichmond O when board	Vers O. October Vers	mschmidt (a bulling con	+	+										
TELEPHONE NUMBER	914-695- 4x#	614-728-5120	614-572-725	437-863-6518	513-505-8481	614-752-7928	1461-654 (417)	(614) 752-7095	614-752-8963	(330)562-7381	440-232 gg45	330 224 /885											
ORGANIZATION	SFW/BUSTR	BUSTR	BUSTR	Speedway	ATC 4582.	BUS TR	BUNK	BUSTA	PUSTRUB	PustRcB/Opnes	Hull 160	Gats Colouran											
NAME	MULMAN VERRA	KICK KRIEKE	Vare 1800	Eric Syaisgood	Yan Alams	Scott Sights/	Lelly 15:11	Verne Ord	Ther flymond	InCocco	Mark Schmidt	Buez, the sou											The second secon
	· Control of the cont	2	S. Commence of the commence of	***************************************	\$	9	2	8	6	9		12	13	71	ħ	16	ŧc	48	20	21	22	23	24

BUSTR Underground Storage Tank Rule Meeting September 8, 2011

	NAME	ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE NUMBER	FB	<del></del>
Management of the second	Lely (9.11)	QWYZ	1462-652 (417)	10(31/10 came state 31 M	27 14
2	Vane Lyme!	BUSTR	614-752-725	disme la ansche dus	<b>A</b>
<u></u>		SFM/BUSTR	(614)752-7095	Verne Orel Ocem state of us	40 04 48
4		SFM/BUSTR	614-387-7350	+	000
w	Star Fichmond	PUSTRUB	614-752-8963		
9	Cheen Howareson	Pers Personerm	330 264 1885	Bes Changer	00
7	War Vedra.	BEH BUSTR	844-566 HID	W. Wom Vodi @ 1991 5 6 2	7
0	Kick KRUEGER	· BUSTR	614 728 5120	RKANELER G. COM. STORE, OH, US	なること
0	Mark Schmidt	Hull 189	S466-282-04H	mSchmidt (a hulling com	
9	VIM KOCCO	PUSTRCIB/OPMA	1666-295 (088)	Voce OSAGERICK Com	
300-20-	Ecic Suaiscord	Spedwy LLC	9719 800) (86	Pom Stote Class Con Land	
42	Keith Mario	Speeding 160	2667 878 666	10 me 10 5 m 10 m	7. Cr
9	TAT HAMS	FC Assoc		the Ale we hat a consister for	
7	That Sigher	BUSTR		Scort. Sim 10h @ Can. 54 M. Ch. U.	
2	David Sauer	BUSTR	(614) 752-7096	is ofthe med Browns huch	
9				A.S. S. C. C. C. S. C. S	۳. سرح
4					
8					
19					
8					
2					
77					
83					
24					

Now 10/0/11

BUSTR RULE MEETING - SEPTEMBER 22, 2011

verne ord @con. stage oh. us den-botoms & the laster 1stds. Com William. Vedra @ 004.5 take .0 4.05 WSchmidt @ hulling.com 3ridmond Optrobased. or Scott. Sigled Coon, StAto Ot. Con Barey @ FUEL MARTGON VRace @SACERICKCON RXAVEGER & COM, STATE, OH, US 614-995-4246 614-728-5,20 (614) 752-7095 414) 252-794/ 440-232-9945 BUSTR 614-995-4246 330 2641885 513-505-8481 TELEPHONE NUMBER 3262-252 HENDERGAN HOLTS PETROLLEYA ORGANIZATION SFM/BUSTR BVSTR RICH KRYEGER Starr Kichmond William Vedra Verne Ord Mathe Schmidt くなると Ç for form 2 <del>ار</del> (ب) 5.... 4... (5) 0 ~ 90 (D) 8 2 č. N 23

BUSTR Underground Storage Tank Rule Meeting, October 6, 2011

	ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE NUMBER	Email
I I CHONIUM	BUSTA	127-122	(614) 752-7921 Heren. highsom @ Bu Lete M. 25
	Bush	1014 995 4246	William. Vedus @ CAM. State OH.US
Tech	BUSTR	GH 752 2095	Verne cret Gcom, state oh 145
EN MILLER	RUSTR	F128 1857 HIS	SCHOOL STANKS MOSS (A) TROTH [WS]
ORI SKVENS	Buste	614 387-7390	Lon. Skreuse com. state. oh. us
David Some	BUSTR	(614) 752-7096	david. Suer @ com. state. ob. u.
カのト	H TESTIALS OF PERS	-	SUE KIST @ COM STATE OF US
They are and	Poars Personn	1	Green O Top MART COM
	OPINCA	312 Seul 864	Doreme Dopmea. Org
	15th Colory		Jaco Sac Lex. Con
Richmond	PUSTRUB	614 752-8963	Srichmond @ actroboad.or.
Marle Schimidt Hu	ull/B?	440-232-4445	mschmidt (a hulling.com

BUSTR Underground Storage Tank Rule Meeting, October 20, 2011

	NAME	ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE NUMBER	Email
-	Heven Brichbaum	BUSTR		Steven, Michbaum & com, state oh. Us
N	David Samer	BUSTR	280t - 25t (H9)	David. Saver @ com. State. oh.us
က	There Thicks full	MASTIAKS	(HM) 252-8422	Stevele adv Fuel. com
4	Shery Krapo	SFM / TLR		Sheril, Kraps @ com. state. oh. us
2	20/6/5/A005	ST22 / 181518		5204 579 K. C 12. 1. 51076. Ch. US
9	ELLEN MILLER	SFM / BUSTING	614.72-16:13	EMILLON (8) COM STATE OF THE
7	William Vedra	SFH BUSTR	614 495-4246	614 495-4246 William. Vedor OCON. STATE OH.UK
	Verne Ord	SFM/BUSTR	260L-ESL-HP)	Vexne . Crolo cora , state . A. us
ŋ	ster Lichmond	PUSTREB	614-752-8963	srichmond & petroboard. are
2	Mark Shim it	Hull 187	440-232-9445	mschmidt a hulling com
*-	Loni Stevens	BuSTR	914-387-7350	014-387-7350 LON, SKVEUSO COM. State, dUS
윋	David BIEMEL	OPMCA	9h98-4hb-219	Holemel & annica. oro
13				7
7				
5				
9				
4				
φ				
9				
50				