### Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)

**Department Of Commerce** 

Agency Name

**Division Of State Fire Marshal Kevin Schmidt** 

Division Contact

77 S. High 23rd Floor Columbus OH 43215-0000 614-995-5728

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip) Phone Fax

1301:7-9-17 NEW

Rule Number TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS OF EXCAVATED SOIL FOR

THE PURPOSE OF TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL.

#### **RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **No** 

- 2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? No
- 3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: 119.03
- 4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: 3737.88 (A), 3737.88 (E), 3737.882
- 5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: 3737.88 (A), 3737.88 (E), 3737.882
- 6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

Five year rule revision required under ORC 119.032.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

Applicability section has been altered to reflect changes in OAC 1301:7-9-01,

Page 2 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-17

Applicability (there is no change in the type of UST systems BUSTR regulates). The number of field screening samples required for analysis has been increased to better characterize excavated soils.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

Not Applicable.

#### 12. 119.032 Rule Review Date:

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

Page 3 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-17

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0

No change.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

Estimated costs are based on the handling of 500 cubic yards of soil. Excavation and storage costs for excavated soils are approximately \$8.00 to \$9.00 per cubic yard. Testing costs for the characterization of excavated soils are approximately \$0.85 and \$1.00 per cubic yard. Costs for loading, shipping and disposal of petroleum contaminated soils at a licensed disposal facility are approximately \$40.00 to \$50.00 per cubic yard. The cost for treatment of petroleum contaminated soils as an alternative to shipping and disposal can vary widely. Part of the pre-approval process will consist of an assessment of any cost savings over disposal that the treatment will provide. These cost estimates were received from the Petroleum Underground Storage Tank Release Compensation Board, who compensate owners and operators for expenses incurred in conducting corrective action for releases of petroleum from regulated underground storage tank systems.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? Yes

You must complete Part B of the Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis in order to comply with Am. Sub. S.B. 33 of the 120th General Assembly.

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? Yes

Page 4 Rule Number: 1301:7-9-17

You must complete the Environmental rule Adoption/Amendment Form in order to comply with Am. Sub. 106 of the 121st General Assembly.

## Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part B)

Does the proposed rule have a fixed effect on any of the following (please shock each that applies)?

١.		Χ (			(c) Townships	J (1	(c) Municipal Corporations	Х
2.		or munic	cipal corporation	ns. If	you are unable <sup>.</sup>	to provide ar	sed rule for school districts n estimate in dollars, please ate.	
	costs for excavated characterization of loading, shipping a approximately \$40. soils as an alternat	d soils and excavate and disposite to \$50 to \$50 ive to shape and the shape are also shape are are are are are are are are are ar	re approximat ed soils are a osal of petrole 0.00 per cubio iipping and di	ely \$8 pproxi eum co yard. sposa	.00 to \$9.00 per mately \$0.85 a ontaminated so The cost for to I can vary wide	r cubic yard nd \$1.00 pe pils at a licer reatment of ely. Part of t	cavation and storage . Testing costs for the r cubic yard. Costs for nsed disposal facility are petroleum contaminated the pre-approval process treatment will provide.	
3.	If the proposed rule intent of the federal i			requir	ement, does the	e proposed r	ule exceed the scope and	

4. If the proposed rule exceeds the minimum necessary federal requirement, please provide an estimate of, and justification for, the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement. In particular, please provide an estimate of the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement for (a) school districts, (b) counties, (c) townships, and (d) municipal corporations.

Federal regulations require that petroleum contaminated soils must be treated and disposed of property, however these regulations give to specifics as to how shipping, treatment and disposal of petroleum contaminated soils are to be carried out. This rule establishes specific requirements on how to characterize, store, ship, treat, and dispose these soils. The standards established in the rule are intended to be protective of human health and the environment, while ensuring that excavated soils are not characterized or treated to a greater extent than necessary.

5. Please provide a comprehensive cost estimate for the proposed rule that includes the procedure and method used for calculating the costs of compliance. This comprehensive cost estimate should identify all of the major cost categories including, but not limited to, (a) personnel costs, (b) new equipment or other capital costs, (c) operating costs, and (d) any indirect central service costs.

Estimated costs are based on the handling of 500 cubic yards of soil. Excavation and storage costs for excavated soils are approximately \$8.00 to \$9.00 per cubic yard. Testing costs for the characterization of excavated soils are approximately \$0.85 and \$1.00 per cubic yard. Costs for loading, shipping and disposal of petroleum contaminated soils at a licensed disposal facility are approximately \$40.00 to \$50.00 per cubic yard. The cost for treatment of petroleum contaminated soils as an alternative to shipping and disposal can vary widely. Part of the pre-approval process will consist of an assessment of any cost savings over disposal that the treatment will provide.

The JCARR Procedures Manual is available from our website: www.jcarr.state.oh.us

RS & FA 6/99

These cost estimates were received from the Petroleum Underground Storage Tank Release Compensation Board, who compensate owners and operators for expenses incurred in conducting corrective action for releases of petroleum from regulated underground storage tank systems. See the provided attachment for reasonable cost estimates determined by the Board for the purpose of reimbursing owners and operators.

6. Please provide a written explanation of the agency's and the local government's ability to pay for the new requirements imposed by the proposed rule.

These costs are ordinary costs of conducting the business of the local government entity which will come from the normal operating budgets of the entities.

7. Please provide a statement on the proposed rule's impact on economic development.

No net impact on economic development should occur.

Page 1

DATE: 11/24/2004 3:27 PM

ERAF 6/00

Rule # \_\_\_\_\_1301:7-9-17

## **Environmental Rule Adoption/Amendment Form**

Pursuant to Am. Sub. H.B. 106 of the 121st General Assembly, prior to adopting a rule or an amendment to a rule dealing with environmental protection, or containing a component dealing with environmental protection, a state agency shall:

- (1) Consult with organizations that represent political subdivisions, environmental interests, business interests, and other persons affected by the proposed rule or amendment.
- (2) Consider documentation relevant to the need for, the environmental benefits or consequences of, other benefits of, and the technological feasibility of the proposed rule or rule amendment.
- (3) Specifically identify whether the proposed rule or rule amendment is being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal environmental law or to participate in a federal environmental program, whether the proposed rule or rule amendment is more stringent than its federal counterpart, and, if the proposed rule or rule amendment is more stringent, the rationale for not incorporating its federal counterpart.
- (4) Include with the proposed rule or rule amendment and rule summary and fiscal analysis required to be filed with the Joint Committee on Agency Rule Review information relevant to the previously listed requirements.

·		a	proposed rule or amendment consulted?	
X				
Yes	No			
	lease list each cor achment A	ntact.		
If NO, ple	ease explain why a	affected organiz	ations were not contacted.	
If NO, ple	ease explain why a	affected organiz	ations were not contacted.	
If NO, ple	ease explain why a	affected organiz	ations were not contacted.	

Page 2 ERAF 6/00

If YES, please list the information provided and attach a copy of each piece of documentation to this form (A SUMMARY OR INDEX MAY BE ATTACHED IN LIEU OF THE ACTUAL DOCUMENTATION). The Fire Marshal, in adopting this rule, relied upon the following documents and technical standards: EPA - "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", Environmental Protection Agency, 1979, Revised March 1983. EPA - "Methods for Determination of Metals in Environmental Samples", Environmental Protection Agency, May 1994. SW846 - "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste - Physical/Chemical Methods", 3rd Edition. SW846 – "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste – Physical/Chemical Methods", Final and Promulgated Update II, IIA, III. SM - "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", American Public Health Association, 17<sup>th</sup> Edition, If NO, please indicate the reasons for not providing the information. Is the proposed rule or rule amendment being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal environmental law or to participate in a federal environmental program? If YES, is the proposed rule or rule amendment more stringent than its federal counterpart? No

If YES, what is the rationale for not incorporating the federal counterpart?

(C)

Federal regulations require that petroleum contaminated soils must be treated and disposed of property, however these regulations give to specifics as to how shipping, treatment and disposal of petroleum contaminated soils are to be carried out. This rule establishes specific requirements on how to characterize, store, ship, treat, and dispose these

Page 3 ERAF 6/00

If this is a rule amendment that is being adopted under a state statute that establishes standards with which the amendment is to comply, is the proposed rule amendment more stringent than the rule that it is proposing to amend?  \[ \frac{X}{Yes} \]  \text{No} \]  If YES, please explain why?  Additional field screening sampling of excavated soils is required. The intent is to better segregate soils so that owners and operators will not incur costs of treatment or disposal for soils eligible for re-use or to be returned to the tank cavity. Better characterization of excavated soils is expected to keep costs down overall, although sampling costs will increase.		soils. The standards established in the rule are intended to be protective of human health and the environment, while ensuring that excavated soils are not characterized or treated to a greater extent than necessary.					
with which the amendment is to comply, is the proposed rule amendment more stringent than the rule that it is proposing to amend?  X Yes No  If YES, please explain why?  Additional field screening sampling of excavated soils is required. The intent is to better segregate soils so that owners and operators will not incur costs of treatment or disposal for soils eligible for re-use or to be returned to the tank cavity. Better characterization of excavated soils is expected to keep costs down overall, although sampling costs will	•						
If YES, please explain why?  Additional field screening sampling of excavated soils is required. The intent is to better segregate soils so that owners and operators will not incur costs of treatment or disposal for soils eligible for re-use or to be returned to the tank cavity. Better characterization of excavated soils is expected to keep costs down overall, although sampling costs will	- D)	with which the amendment is to comply, is the proposed rule amendment more stringent than the					
If YES, please explain why?  Additional field screening sampling of excavated soils is required. The intent is to better segregate soils so that owners and operators will not incur costs of treatment or disposal for soils eligible for re-use or to be returned to the tank cavity. Better characterization of excavated soils is expected to keep costs down overall, although sampling costs will	-	X					
Additional field screening sampling of excavated soils is required. The intent is to better segregate soils so that owners and operators will not incur costs of treatment or disposal for soils eligible for re-use or to be returned to the tank cavity. Better characterization of excavated soils is expected to keep costs down overall, although sampling costs will		Yes No					
segregate soils so that owners and operators will not incur costs of treatment or disposal for soils eligible for re-use or to be returned to the tank cavity. Better characterization of excavated soils is expected to keep costs down overall, although sampling costs will	-						
for soils eligible for re-use or to be returned to the tank cavity. Better characterization of excavated soils is expected to keep costs down overall, although sampling costs will							
increase.							
		increase.					

# DATE: 11/24/2004 3:27 PM

First Name	Last Name	Company	Address	City	State
John	Smith	Adjutant General	2825 W. Granville Road	Columbus Cuyahoga	ОН
Michael	Darr	BP Oil	4850 E 49th St. MBC1-L	Hts	OH
Harry	Barles	County Commissioners Assoc.  Dept of Rehabilitation &	37 W. Broad St., Suite 650	Columbus	ОН
Reginald	Wilkinson	Corrections	1050 Freeway Drive North	Columbus	OH
John	Gordon	Englefield Oil Co.	447 James Parkway 122 S. Front St., Lazarus Gov.	Newark	ОН
Amy	Yersavich	Environmental Protection Agy.	Ctr.	Columbus Yellow	ОН
Bruce	Cornett	Green Environmental Coalition	P.O. Box 266	Springs	OH
Kevin	Miller	Hartley Co., The	P.O. Box 160	Cambridge	OH
Tom	Conti	Holland Oil Co.	E. Talmadge	Akron	OH
William	Thompson	Industrial Commission of Ohio	30 W. Spring Street	Columbus	ОН
Thomas P.	Charles	Inspector General, Office of	30 East Broad St., 18th Floor	Columbus	OH
Laura	Lyden	Lyden Co. (Tru North LLC)	3711 LeHarps Road	Youngstown	OH
Ron	Lykins	Lykins Oil Co.	5300 DuPont Circle Suite C	Milford	ОН
Angela	Brown	Marathon/Ashland, LLC	539 S. Main Street	Findlay	ОН
Samuel	Speck	Ohio Dept of Natural Resources	Fountain Square	Columbus	ОН
David L.	Scheffler	Ohio Chamber of Commerce	230 E Towne Street, Box 15159	Columbus	ОН
J. Nick	Baird	Ohio Department of Health	246 N.High St. P.O. Box 118	Columbus	ОН
Michael	Hogan	Ohio Department of Mental Health	30 East Broad St., 8th Floor	Columbus	OH
Kenneth L.	Morckel Natalucci-	Ohio Dept of Public Safety	77 S. High Street, 30th Floor	Columbus	ОН
Geno	Persichetti	Ohio Dept of Youth Services	51 N. High Street	Columbus	ОН
Kenneth W.	Richey	Ohio Dept. of MR/DD	30 East Broad St., 12th Floor	Columbus	ОН
Vicki	Deisner	Ohio Environmental Council	1207 Grandview Ave Suite 201	Columbus	ОН
Stan	Crosley	Ohio Fire Chiefs	131 Dillmont Drive	Columbus	OH
Robert	Weitzel	Ohio Fire Chiefs Code Committee	131 Dillmont Drive	Columbus	OH
Susan J.	Cave	Ohio Municipal League	175 S. Third Street Suite 510	Columbus	OH
Daryl	Grau	Ohio Petroleum Contractors Assn.	112 North Street	Wilder	KY
Terry	Fleming	Ohio Petroleum Council	88 East Broad St. Suite 1460	Columbus	ОН

		Ohio Petroleum Marketers			
Jennifer	Rhoades	Association, Inc.	4242 Tuller Road, PO Box 490	Dublin	ОН
		Ohio Petroleum Retailers & Repair			
Maurice	Helou	Assn.	5615 Mayfield Road	Lyndhurst	ОН
Jeff	Skelding	Ohio Sierra Club	145 N.High St. Suite 409	Columbus	ОН
Roger	Sanson	Ohio State Firefighters	42 E Gay St. Suite 1212	Columbus	ОН
Michael	Cochran	Ohio Township Association	5969 E. Livingston Ave Suite110	Columbus	ОН
Richard	Morgan	Petroleum Equip.Inst.	3124 W. 142nd Street	Cleveland	ОН
James J.	Leo	PUSTRCB	P.O.Box 163188	Columbus	ОН
Ed	Henke	Shell Oil Products US	Wylmoor Drive	Norcross	GA
			500 Speedway Drive, PO Box		
		Speedway/SuperAmerica LLC	1500	Enon	ОН
			500 Speedway Drive, PO Box		
Scott	Heiser	Speedway/SuperAmerica LLC	1500	Enon	ОН
Michael	Byrne	Sun Company	`	Columbus	ОН
Don	Smith	Swifty Oil	P.O. Box 1002	Seymour	IN
Denis	Fitch	United Dairy Farmers	3955 Montgomery	Cincinnati	ОН
Robert	Hopkins, Sr.	Unocal	2531 Tiller Lane	Columbus	ОН
Dolores	Sieja	US EPA Region 5	77 W.Jackson Blvd DRU 7J	Chicago	IL
Andy	Tschampa	US EPA Region 5	77 W.Jackson Blvd DRU 7J	Chicago	IL