

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis

Part A - General Questions

Rule Number: 173-1-02

Rule Type: Rescission

Rule Title/Tagline: Public hearing notices for rule proposals.

Agency Name: Department of Aging

Division:

Address: 246 N. High St. 1st floor Columbus OH 43215-2046

Contact: Tom Simmons **Phone:** 614-728-2548

Email: tsimmons@age.ohio.gov

I. Rule Summary

1. **Is this a five year rule review?** Yes
 - A. **What is the rule's five year review date?** 9/13/2021
2. **Is this rule the result of recent legislation?** No
3. **What statute is this rule being promulgated under?** 119.03
4. **What statute(s) grant rule writing authority?** 121.07, 173.01, 173.02
5. **What statute(s) does the rule implement or amplify?** 119.03
6. **What are the reasons for proposing the rule?**

As part of a project with the Common Sense Initiative and Innovation Ohio, ODA reviewed this rule to remove requirements for outdated modes of communication from rules.

7. **Summarize the rule's content, and if this is an amended rule, also summarize the rule's changes.**

This rule repeats requirements in Section 119.03 of the Revised Code for notices on public hearings on rule proposals.

ODA proposes to rescind this rule and to replace it with a new rule of the same number. For difference between this rule and the proposed new rule, please review the rule summary and fiscal analysis (RSFA) for the proposed new rule.

- 8. Does the rule incorporate material by reference? No**
- 9. If the rule incorporates material by reference and the agency claims the material is exempt pursuant to R.C. 121.75, please explain the basis for the exemption and how an individual can find the referenced material.**

Not Applicable

- 10. If revising or re-filing the rule, please indicate the changes made in the revised or re-filed version of the rule.**

Not Applicable

II. Fiscal Analysis

- 11. Please estimate the increase / decrease in the agency's revenues or expenditures in the current biennium due to this rule.**

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

The proposed rescission of this rule will have no impact upon the biennial budget that the Ohio General Assembly established for ODA in H.B. 110 (134th G.A.), especially because ODA simultaneously proposes to replace this rule with a substantially-similar new rule of the same number.

- 12. What are the estimated costs of compliance for all persons and/or organizations directly affected by the rule?**

There is no cost of compliance to any person or organization associated with the proposed rescission of this rule.

- 13. Does the rule increase local government costs? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part B). No**

- 14. Does the rule regulate environmental protection? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part C). No**

15. If the rule imposes a regulation fee, explain how the fee directly relates to your agency's cost in regulating the individual or business.

Not Applicable

III. Common Sense Initiative (CSI) Questions

16. Was this rule filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office? No

17. Does this rule have an adverse impact on business? No

- A. Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? No
- B. Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? No
- C. Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? No
- D. Is it likely that the rule will directly reduce the revenue or increase the expenses of the lines of business of which it will apply or applies? No

IV. Regulatory Restrictions (This section only applies to agencies indicated in R.C. 121.95 (A))

18. Are you adding a new or removing an existing regulatory restriction as defined in R.C. 121.95? No

- A. How many new regulatory restrictions do you propose adding?

Not Applicable

- B. How many existing regulatory restrictions do you propose removing?

Not Applicable