

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Department of Aging**

Agency Name

Division

Tom Simmons

Contact

**50 West Broad Street 9th floor Columbus OH
43215-3363**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-728-2548

Phone

Fax

173-14-01

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Definitions.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **Yes**

Bill Number: **HB153**General Assembly: **129**Sponsor: **Amstutz**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **173.01, 173.02**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **173.14**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

ODA is proposing to amend rule 173-14-01 of the Administrative Code. In doing so, ODA has 3 basic goals:

1. To incorporate H.B. No. 153's amendment into the rule. H.B. No. 153's amendment to section 173.14 of the Revised Code will change the definition of "long-term care facility" to include, under certain conditions, a long-term care acute hospital. This change will allow the office of the state long-term care ombudsman and regional long-term care ombudsmans to investigate and resolve complains

about long-term care services in long-term care acute hospitals. This begins on September 29, 2011, the effective date of the amendments. ODA must now amend rule 173-14-01 of the Administrative Code to incorporate this same change into the Administrative Code. The amended rule will also take effect on September 29, 2011.

2. To comply with section 119.032 of the Revised Code, which requires each state agency to review each rule on or before the rule's designated review date.

3. To comply with Governor Kasich's Executive Order 2011-01K "Implementing Common Sense Business Regulation" and S.B. No. 2 (129th G.A.) which require each state agency to eliminate unnecessary regulations and to write easier-to-read and easier-to-comprehend rules to promote transparency in the agency's regulations. After reviewing the rule, ODA has determined that the rule largely written in a manner that is as easy to understand as the subject matter permits. Nevertheless, ODA made some simple improvements to increase readability.

ODA is also proposing to amend this rule after providing interested parties and the general public an opportunity to provide input on the proposed amendments to the rule. From June 30, 2011 to July 11, 2011, ODA posted the proposed amended rule on <http://aging.ohio.gov/information/rules/proposed.aspx> for a public-comment period.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

Specifically, ODA is proposing to amend this rule to:

1. Place the words in the definition of "advocacy" in a more-chronological order.

2. Clarify that "affiliation" means being or having a sibling or household member on a board of, as a consultant to, or in a similar relationship with a provider.

3. Place the words in the definition of "complaint handling" in a more-chronological order.

4. Insert "Long-term care facility" into paragraph (R), so the paragraph doesn't remain empty. All the sub-paragraphs to paragraph (R) of the rule define "long-term care facility."

5. Incorporate language from H.B. No. 153 that says that "long-term care facility" will soon include certain long-term acute care hospitals.

6. Update the reference numbers in paragraphs (R)(1)(d) and (R)(1)(e) of the rule to reflect the changes made by H.B. No. 153 which transferred the RSS program and

the related adult care facility program and adult foster home programs to the Ohio Department of Mental Health.

7. Insert "Personal care services" into paragraph (Y) of the rule, so the paragraph doesn't remain empty. All the sub-paragraphs to paragraph (Y) of the rule define "personal care services."

8. Insert "173.01" into the statutory authority section because section 173.01 of the Revised Code gives ODA authority to adopt this rule.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

Not Applicable.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **7/13/2011**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this

rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

ODA estimates that the proposed amendment of this rule will have no impact upon the biennial budget that the Ohio General Assembly established for ODA in H.B. No. 153. The Ohio General Assembly factored H.B. No. 153's amendments to section 173.14 of the Revised Code into the impact upon ODA's biennial budget. Therefore, the savings H.B. No. 153's amendments generate are part of H.B. No. 153's biennial budget.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

GRF-490-410 Long-Term Care Ombudsman.

4C40-490-609 Regional Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program.

5BA0-490-620 Ombudsman Support.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

ODA estimates that the proposed amendments to this rule that are for clarification--making the rule as easy to comprehend as the subject matter permits--will create no cost of compliance for any directly-affected person.

ODA estimates that the proposed amendment to this rule that changes the definition of "Long-term care facility" to include long-term acute care hospitals will create no cost of compliance to any long-term acute care hospital against which no person

refers cases to representatives of the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman office (SLTCO). ODA estimates that a provider will incur the costs of opening its doors and allowing a representative of the SLTCO Office investigate a case that is referred to it on behalf of a patient/resident in the long-term hospital.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**