

## Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis

### Part A - General Questions

**Rule Number:** 173-14-15

**Rule Type:** Rescission

**Rule Title/Tagline:** Conflicts of interest.

**Agency Name:** Department of Aging

**Division:**

**Address:** 246 N. High St. 1st floor Columbus OH 43215-2046

**Contact:** Tom Simmons

**Email:** tsimmons@age.ohio.gov **Phone:** 614-728-2548

#### **I. Rule Summary**

1. **Is this a five year rule review?** Yes
  - A. **What is the rule's five year review date?** 1/30/2018
2. **Is this rule the result of recent legislation?** Yes
  - A. **If so, what is the bill number, General Assembly and Sponsor?** HB 49 - 132 - Smith
3. **What statute is this rule being promulgated under?** 119.03
4. **What statute(s) grant rule writing authority?** 173.01, 173.02; 42 U.S.C. 3025(a)(1)(C), 3058g(a)(5)(D); 42 C.F.R. 1321.11, 1324.11(e)(4), 1324.13(b)(1), 1324.15(b)
5. **What statute(s) does the rule implement or amplify?** 173.15; 42 U.S.C. 3058g; 45 C.F.R. 1324.11(e)(4), 1324.21.
6. **What are the reasons for proposing the rule?**

ODA and the SLTCO (we) adopted OAC Chapter 173-14 (this chapter) to establish and operate a state long-term care ombudsman program as required by ORC§173.01; 42 USC 3027, 3058g; and 45 CFR 1321.11 and Part 1324. We also adopted this chapter to carry out the provisions of ORC Chapter 173 pertaining to ombudsman programs as required by ORC§173.02.

As part of this chapter, we adopted this rule to establish the requirements for preventing a conflict of interest.

We reviewed every rule in this chapter top to bottom, as ORC§106.03 requires us to do no less often than once every 5 years. In doing so, we considered any necessary amendments to implement the following new laws:

(1) Effective February 11, 2015, the U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Administration on Aging (AoA) and Administration for Community Living (ACL) jointly adopted 45 CFR Part 1321 to regulate long-term care ombudsman programs. AoA and ACL subsequently amended their rules and renumbered them as 45 CFR Part 1324.

(2) Effective April 19, 2016, the Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2016 amended §§ 711 (42 USC3058f), 712 (42 USC3058g), and other sections of the Older Americans Act of 1965.

(3) Effective September 29, 2017, Am. Sub. House Bill No. 49 (132nd G.A.) amended ORC §§ 173.14, 173.15, 173.17, 173.19, 173.20, 173.21, 173.22, and 173.24.

If we amended this rule, the amendments would comprise approximately more than 50% of the rule. For such rules, §4.3.1 of the Legislative Service Commission's Rule Drafting Manual requires rescinding the current version of the rule and replacing it with a new rule rather than amending the current rule. Therefore, we propose to rescind the current rule and replace it with a new rule.

**7. Summarize the rule's content, and if this is an amended rule, also summarize the rule's changes.**

This rule establishes the parameters for preventing a conflict of interest.

We propose to rescind this rule and simultaneously replace it with a similar new rule. Please review the RSFA for the new rule for an itemization of differences.

**8. Does the rule incorporate material by reference? No**

**9. If the rule incorporates material by reference and the agency claims the material is exempt pursuant to R.C. 121.71 to 121.76, please explain the basis for the exemption and how an individual can find the referenced material.**

*Not Applicable*

10. If revising or re-filing the rule, please indicate the changes made in the revised or re-filed version of the rule.

*Not Applicable*

## **II. Fiscal Analysis**

11. As a result of this proposed rule, please estimate the increase / decrease in revenues or expenditures affecting this agency, or the state generally, in the current biennium or future years. If the proposed rule is likely to have a different fiscal effect in future years, please describe the expected difference and operation.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

We estimate the proposed rescission of this rule will have no impact upon the biennial budget the Ohio General Assembly established for ODA because ODA proposes to adopt a new rule of the same number in its place and the new rule will be similar to this rule.

12. What are the estimated costs of compliance for all persons and/or organizations directly affected by the rule?

We estimate that our proposed rescission of this rule, and simultaneous adoption of a new rule in its place, will create no cost of compliance to any directly-affected person.

13. Does the rule increase local government costs? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part B). No

14. Does the rule regulate environmental protection? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part C). No

## **III. Common Sense Initiative (CSI) Questions**

15. Was this rule filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office? No

16. Does this rule have an adverse impact on business? No

- A. Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? No

OAC Chapter 173-14 regulates the state long-term care ombudsman program and regional programs. It does not regulate any Ohio business.

- B. Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? No**
  
- C. Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? No**