Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)

Department of Aging

Agency Name

Tom Simmons
Contact50 West Broad Street 9th floor Columbus OH
43215-3363
Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)614-728-2548
PhoneFax

173-35-01 Rule Number

<u>NEW</u> TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Introduction and definitions.

<u>RULE SUMMARY</u>

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? No

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? Yes

Bill Number: HB119 General Assembly: 127 Sponsor: Dolan

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **173.02**, **173.35**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **173.35**, **173.351**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

This rule is being proposed for rescission as part of a five-year rule review performed pursuant to section 119.032 of the Revised Code and because most of the rules of Chapter 173-35 of the Administrative Code are undergoing change as a result of language in Am. Sub. H. B. No. 119 (127th G. A.).

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE,

Page 2

then summarize the content of the rule:

This proposed new rule contains an introduction to the RSS program that is quite similar to division (B) of section 173.35 of the Revised Code. It also provides the definitions for terms used in the rules of Chapter 173-35 of the Administrative Code.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

On October 16, 2007, ODA revise-filed this proposed new rule, but the rule itself was not revised. Instead, the content of the RSFA was made more complete than it was when the rule was original-filed.

On November 16, 2007, ODA revised this proposed new rule to do the following:

1. Add a period to the end of paragraph (A).

2. Insert modified definitions of the terms "area agency on aging," "PASSPORT administrative agency," and "planning and service area," so that the rule contains a

definition for each term that is consistent with the standard definition for the term it defines. It is a goal of ODA to eventually have uniform definitions for identical terms in all of the rules adopted by ODA.

3. Update this RSFA.

On November 16, 2007, ODA made a second revision of this rule b making the following changes:

1. In paragraph (A) after the acronym "U.S.C.A.,", "section 1381 et seq.," was inserted.

2. In the unnumbered paragraph of paragraph (A), the word "additional" was deleted.

3. This RSFA was updated.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date:

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

ODA does not anticipate that the adoption of this proposed new rule will have any impact upon the biennial budget established for ODA by the Ohio General Assembly.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Page 4

GRF-490-412 Residential State Supplement.

4J4-490-610 PASSPORT/Residential State Supplement.

3C4-490-607 PASSPORT.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

Because this proposed new rule merely provides and introduction to the RSS program and also defines terms for Chapter 173-35 of the Administrative Code, ODA estimates that there is no cost of compliance with this proposed new rule to any directly affected person.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? No

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? No