

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)****Department of Aging**

Agency Name

Division

**Mike Laubert**

Contact

**50 West Broad St. 9th Floor Columbus OH  
43215-3363**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

**614-752-9677**

Phone

**614-466-5741**

Fax

**173-39-05**

Rule Number

**NEW**

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

**Sanctions for non-compliance.****RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **No**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **Yes**

Bill Number: **HB66**General Assembly: **126**Sponsor: **Calvert**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **173.02, 173.391**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **173.39, 173.391**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

This rule is being proposed in an effort to implement the provider certification requirements found in sections 173.39 and 173.39.1 of the Revised Code. (With only the one exception found in section 173.39.2 of the Revised Code, section 173.39 of the Revised Code prohibits the Department of Aging from paying any person or government entity for providing community-based long-term care services under a program the Department administers unless the person or government entity has been certified by the Department or its designee under section 173.39.1 of the Revised Code.)

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

This rule establishes sanctions that may be taken against certified providers that fail to comply with the community-based long-term care certification requirements outlined in Chapter 173-39 of the Administrative Code; requires the Department to give notice and an opportunity for a hearing prior to imposing certain sanctions against a provider; prohibits any provider whose certification has been terminated from reapplying for certification for a two-year period.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

*This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

*This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

*Not Applicable.*

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

*Not Applicable.*

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date:

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the

scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0.00

Not Applicable

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

GRF 490-403, 3C4 490-607, 4J4 490-610, 4U9 490-602, GRF 490-422, 3C4 490-622

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

Providers that are sanctioned by the Department for violating the conditions of participation and serve specifications described in Chapter 173-39 of the Administrative Code may, as a result of this rule, be proposed for sanctions by the Department. Those that are may incur costs as a result of those sanctions - including, but not limited to, costs related to the loss of clients, and the return of unearned funds to ODA. The Department is unable to estimate the financial burdens resulting from these sanctions because they will vary based upon any number of factors, including client case load, staff levels, the amount of time spent developing plans of correction, etc.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **Yes**

You must complete Part B of the Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis in order to comply with Am. Sub. S.B. 33 of the 120th General Assembly.

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part B)**

1. Does the Proposed rule have a fiscal effect on any of the following?

(a) School Districts	(b) Counties	(c) Townships	(d) Municipal Corporations
No	Yes	Yes	Yes

2. Please provide an estimate in dollars of the cost of compliance with the proposed rule for school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations. If you are unable to provide an estimate in dollars, please provide a written explanation of why it is not possible to provide such an estimate.

Local governments that are certified to provide services through programs administered by the Department may find themselves sanctioned for failure to comply with the conditions of participation and service specifications outlined in Chapter 173-39 of the Administrative Code, and/or placing program participants in harms way. The sanctions authorized by this rule include the imposition of plans of correction upon a provider, removing a provider's clients and/or terminating the provider's contract. The Department cannot estimate in dollars the costs of compliance resulting from these sanctions because the costs will vary widely from one provider to the next. The Department, however, is aware of the burden sanctions place upon providers and does not anticipate sanctioning providers unless necessary and appropriate.

3. If the proposed rule is the result of a federal requirement, does the proposed rule exceed the scope and intent of the federal requirement? **No**

4. If the proposed rule exceeds the minimum necessary federal requirement, please provide an estimate of, and justification for, the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement. In particular, please provide an estimate of the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement for (a) school districts, (b) counties, (c) townships, and (d) municipal corporations.

*Not Applicable.*

5. Please provide a comprehensive cost estimate for the proposed rule that includes the procedure and method used for calculating the cost of compliance. This comprehensive cost estimate should identify all of the major cost categories including, but not limited to, (a) personnel costs, (b)

new equipment or other capital costs, (c) operating costs, and (d) any indirect central service costs.

Please refer to the discussion above.

(a) Personnel Costs

Unknown

(b) New Equipment or Other Capital Costs

Unknown

(c) Operating Costs

Unknown

(d) Any Indirect Central Service Costs

Unknown

(e) Other Costs

Unknown

6. Please provide a written explanation of the agency's and the local government's ability to pay for the new requirements imposed by the proposed rule.

The majority of sanctions that may be applied under this rule do not result in costs to the provider as much as they result in lost opportunities (e.g., the loss of revenues that could have been earned by the local government if it had complied with the requirements outlined in Chapter 173-39. of the Administrative Code and not had its clients removed.). The implementation of plans of correction may require that a local government incur costs, but as these are more appropriately viewed as administrative costs and not penalties, much of a local government's costs would be covered by the reimbursement the local government receives from the Department. Finally, a provider's participation in programs administered by the Department is voluntary and providers that cannot afford to continue participating in ODA's programs are not required to do so.

7. Please provide a statement on the proposed rule's impact on economic development.

The Department of Aging is not aware of any impact that the rule would have on economic development.