

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)****Department of Aging**

Agency Name

Division

**Tom Simmons**

Contact

**50 West Broad Street 9th floor Columbus OH  
43215-3363**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

**614-728-2548**

Phone

Fax

**173-50-03**

Rule Number

**AMENDMENT**

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

**Enrollment process.****RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **Yes**

Bill Number: **HB153**General Assembly: **129**Sponsor: **Amstutz**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **173.01, 173.02, 173.404, 173.50**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **173.404, 173.50, 173.501**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

ODA is proposing to amend rule 173-53-03 of the Administrative Code. In doing so, ODA has 3 basic goals:

1. To incorporate H.B. No. 153's amendments to the Home First language into the rule. H.B. No. 153's amendments to sections 173.404 and 173.501 of the Revised Code take effect on September 29, 2011. The amendments will change the Unified Waiting List and the Home First component of PACE, by preventing a PACE

organization from adding an individual to the Unified Waiting List only to immediately remove the individual from the list because he or she qualifies for Home First component of the program. This will allow a PACE organization to immediately enroll an eligible individual into the program any time a slot is available in the program without the formality of adding and removing the individual from the Unified Waiting List. ODA must now amend rule 173-50-03 of the Administrative Code to incorporate this change into the Administrative Code. ODA proposes to adopt the amended rule on September 29, 2011, the same day that H.B. No. 153's amendments take effect.

2. To comply with section 119.032 of the Revised Code, which requires each state agency to review each rule on or before the rule's designated review date.

3. To comply with Governor Kasich's Executive Order 2011-01K "Implementing Common Sense Business Regulation" and S.B. No. 2 (129th G.A.) which require each state agency to eliminate unnecessary regulations and to write easier-to-read and easier-to-comprehend rules to promote transparency in the agency's regulations. Earlier in 2011, ODA adopted the most-recent versions of rule 173-50-03 of the Administrative Code after undergoing a similar review process. After reviewing this rule again, ODA has determined that:

a. The rule and the proposed amendment to the rule do not burden any Ohio business. The only business subject to the rule are PACE organizations and the rule proposals eliminate an unnecessary process that current rules require of PACE organizations.

b. ODA wrote the rule and the proposed amendment to the rule in a manner that is as easy to understand as the subject matter permits, including using terminology and presenting rule language in a manner that is uniform between chapters of the Administrative Code and between ODA's programs.

ODA is also proposing to amend this rule after providing interested parties and the general public an opportunity to provide input on the proposed amendments to the rule. From June 30, 2011 to July 11, 2011, ODA posted the proposed amended rule on <http://aging.ohio.gov/information/rules/proposed.aspx> for a public-comment period.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

Specifically, ODA is proposing to amend this rule to:

1. Incorporate the language from rule 173-44-04 of the Administrative Code concerning the Home First component of PACE into this rule.

2. Describe the unified waiting list as one of two options when enrolling an eligible individual into PACE when no slot is available. The other option is now the Home First component of PACE.
  3. Organize the rule language concerning slot availability, Home First, and the Unified Waiting List in a format as similar to proposed amended rule 173-38-03 of the Administrative Code, which ODA is proposing to amend in another rule package, and new rule 173-42-03 of the Administrative Code, which ODA is proposing to adopt in another rule package, as possible to make comparing the rules as easy as possible. This will make the ODA's rules as easy to comprehend as the subject matter allows.
  4. Replace "Request for Cash, Medical, and Food Stamp Assistance" in paragraph (F)(3) with "Request for Cash, Food, and Medical Assistance" because JFS changed the title of form JFS07200.
  5. Insert "173.01" into the statutory authority section because section 173.01 of the Revised Code gives ODA authority to adopt this rule.
8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:
- This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*
9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:
- This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*
10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:
- Not Applicable.*
11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously

filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

*Not Applicable.*

**12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: 7/13/2011**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

**FISCAL ANALYSIS**

**13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.**

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

Although ODA operates PACE, H.B. No. 153 moved PACE's funding to budget line item GRF-600-525, which is under JFS, not ODA. Therefore, ODA estimates that the proposed amendment of this rule will have no impact upon the biennial budget that the Ohio General Assembly established for ODA in H.B. No. 153.

Additionally, the Ohio General Assembly factored H.B. No. 153's amendments to sections 173.404 and 173.501 of the Revised Code into the impact upon line item GRF-600-525 and PACE. Therefore, the savings H.B. No. 153's amendments generate are part of H.B. No. 153's biennial budget.

Nevertheless, it is helpful to explain that ODA estimates that H.B. No. 153's amendments will lower the administrative costs for PACE, because the amendments will change the Unified Waiting List and the Home First component of PACE by preventing the PACE organization from adding an individual to the Unified Waiting List only to immediately remove the individual from the list because he or she qualifies for Home First component of the program. This will allow a PACE organization to immediately enroll an eligible individual into the program any time a slot is available in the program without the formality of adding and removing the individual from the Unified Waiting List.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

GRF-600-525 Health Care/Medicaid (State and Federal).

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

ODA estimates that the proposed adoption of this new rule will have no cost of compliance upon any directly-affected person.

A person who applies for PACE incurs no cost of compliance.

The organizations that ODA designates to administrate PACE should not experience a new cost of compliance. Instead, the PACE organizations should experience a drop in administrative costs because H.B. No. 153's amendments to sections 173.404 and 173.501 of the Revised Code will change the Unified Waiting List and the Home First component of PACE, by preventing PACE organizations from adding an individual to the Unified Waiting List only to immediately remove the individual from the list because he or she qualifies for Home First component of the program. This will allow a PACE organization to immediately enroll an eligible individual into the program any time a slot is available in the program without the formality of adding and removing the individual from the Unified Waiting List.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**