ACTION: Original

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis Part A - General Questions

Rule Number: 173-9-06

Rule Type: Amendment

Rule Title/Tagline: Background checks for paid direct-care positions: disqualifying offenses.

Agency Name: Department of Aging

Division:

Address: 30 E Broad St. 22nd Floor Columbus OH 43215-3414

Contact: Tom Simmons Phone: 614-202-7971

Email: tsimmons@age.ohio.gov

I. Rule Summary

- 1. Is this a five year rule review? Yes
 - A. What is the rule's five year review date? 7/20/2023
- 2. Is this rule the result of recent legislation? Yes
 - A. If so, what is the bill number, General Assembly and Sponsor? SB 288 134 Manning
- 3. What statute is this rule being promulgated under? 119.03
- **4.** What statute(s) grant rule writing authority? 121.07, 173.01, 173.02, 173.38, 173.381, 173.391, 173.392; 42 U.S.C. 3025; 45 C.F.R. 1321.11
- 5. What statute(s) does the rule implement or amplify? 109.572, 173.38, 173.381; 42 C.F.R. 460.68, 460.71
- 6. Does the rule implement a federal law or rule in a manner that is more stringent or burdensome than the federal law or regulation requires? No
 - A. If so, what is the citation to the federal law or rule? Not Applicable
- 7. What are the reasons for proposing the rule?

Page 2 Rule Number: **173-9-06**

This rule exists to implement [1] the list of sections of the Ohio Revised Code listed under R.C. §109.572(A)(3) and [2] the descriptive name of each disqualifying offense listed in each of those sections.

8. Summarize the rule's content, and if this is an amended rule, also summarize the rule's changes.

This rule implements [1] the list of sections of the Ohio Revised Code listed under R.C. §109.572(A)(3) and [2] the descriptive name of each disqualifying offense listed in each of those sections. ODA proposes to amend this rule to achieve the following:

- 1. Update the descriptive names of many disqualifying offenses to make it easier for responsible parties to search for disqualifying offenses in this rule that they may find on a criminal records report. This is especially useful when determining if an offense committed in another state is a disqualifying offense in Ohio since other states do not index their criminal offenses according to Ohio Revised Code section numbers. This includes adding "[marijuana]," as the the public generally spells the word, after "marihuana," as the Ohio Revised Code spells the word, so that readers who search for "marijuana" in the rule may find it.
- 2. Indicate that being found eligible for intervention in lieu of conviction to a disqualifying offense is a disqualifying status. (cf., R.C. §109.572)
- 3. Add R.C. §2919.124 (unlawful performance of a drug-induced abortion) to the list of sections representing disqualifying offenses to comply with Senate Bill 260 (133rd G.A.), which added that section to the list of sections under R.C. §109.572(A)(3).
- 4. Remove R.C. §2925.141 (illegal use or possession of marihuana [marijuana] drug paraphernalia) from the list of sections representing disqualifying offenses to comply with Senate Bill 288 (134th G.A.), which removed that section from under R.C. §109.572(A)(3).
- 5. Reduce the use of unnecessary regulatory restrictions (e.g., "shall") in this rule to comply with R.C. §§ 106.03 and 121.951.
- 9. Does the rule incorporate material by reference? No
- 10. If the rule incorporates material by reference and the agency claims the material is exempt pursuant to R.C. 121.75, please explain the basis for the exemption and how an individual can find the referenced material.

Not Applicable

Page 3 Rule Number: **173-9-06**

11. If revising or re-filing the rule, please indicate the changes made in the revised or re-filed version of the rule.

Not Applicable

II. Fiscal Analysis

12. Please estimate the increase / decrease in the agency's revenues or expenditures in the current biennium due to this rule.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

Amending this rule will have no impact upon the biennial budget that the Ohio General Assembly established for ODA in House Bill 33 (135th G.A.).

13. What are the estimated costs of compliance for all persons and/or organizations directly affected by the rule?

Please review ODA's responses to questions #15, #16, and #17 on the BIA.

- 14. Does the rule increase local government costs? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part B). No
- 15. Does the rule regulate environmental protection? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part C). No
- 16. If the rule imposes a regulation fee, explain how the fee directly relates to your agency's cost in regulating the individual or business.

Not Applicable

III. Common Sense Initiative (CSI) Questions

- 17. Was this rule filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office? Yes
- 18. Does this rule have an adverse impact on business? No
 - A. Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? No

Please review ODA's responses to questions #15, #16, and #17 on the BIA.

Page 4 Rule Number: **173-9-06**

B. Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? No

- C. Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? No
 - Please review ODA's responses to questions #15, #16, and #17 on the BIA.
- D. Is it likely that the rule will directly reduce the revenue or increase the expenses of the lines of business of which it will apply or applies? No
- IV. Regulatory Restriction Requirements under S.B. 9. Note: This section only applies to agencies described in R.C. 121.95(A).
 - 19. Are you adding a new or removing an existing regulatory restriction as defined in R.C. 121.95? Yes
 - A. How many new regulatory restrictions do you propose adding to this rule? 0
 - B. How many existing regulatory restrictions do you propose removing from this rule? 2
 - (A) Except as established in rule 173-9-07 or 173-9-07.1 of the Administrative Code, no responsible party SHALL....
 - (B) Except as established in rule 173-9-07 of the Administrative Code, no responsible party SHALL....
 - C. If you are not removing existing regulatory restrictions from this rule, please list the rule number(s) from which you are removing restrictions.
 - D. Please justify the adoption of the new regulatory restriction(s).

Not Applicable