

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Department of Health**

Agency Name

Division

Carol L. Ray

Contact

246 North High Street Columbus OH 43215-0000

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-644-1407

Phone

Fax

3701-12-05

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Scope of review: reviewable and nonreviewable activities.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **Yes**

Bill Number: **HBAm. Sub. 1** General Assembly: **128**Sponsor: **Rep. Sykes**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **3702.57**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **3702.51, 3702.511, 3702.52, 3702.522, 3702.523, 3702.524, 3702.525, 3702.526, 3702.527, 3702.528, 3702.529, 3702.5212, 3702.53, 3702.57, 3702.59, 3702.592, 3702.593, 3702.94, 3702.60, 3702.68**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

As a result of recent changes to the Certificate of Need (CON) statutes and with approval of the Public Health Council, the Ohio Department of Health is seeking amendments to rule 3701-12-05.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

This rule lists various activities that are reviewable activities, and thus, cannot be conducted without a valid certificate of need ("CON"). Such activities include, but are not limited to, the establishment, development, or construction of a new long-term care facility; the replacement of an existing long-term care facility; and an increase in long-term care bed capacity. The rule also lists activities that are not reviewable activities, such as the acquisition of computer hardware or telephone systems and the construction of parking facilities. With the approval of the Public Health Council, ODH is proposing to amend this rule to delete the previous factors used in consideration to determine whether an activity was conducted on behalf of a health care facility due to statutory changes in the applicability of CON requirements to facilities and services.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

Not Applicable.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **12/10/2009**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

The proposed changes will not impact the agency's budget.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

There are no new costs of compliance.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

