ACTION: Original

DATE: 05/21/2007 10:40 AM

## Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)

## **Department of Health**

Agency Name

**Tamara Malkoff** 

Division

Contact

246 North High St. P.O. Box 118 Columbus OH

614-466-4882

614-728-7813

43216-118

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

Phone

Fax

<u>3701-12-23</u>

Rule Number

**AMENDMENT** 

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Long-term care facilities and beds.

## **RULE SUMMARY**

- 1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**
- 2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? Yes

Bill Number: **HB66** General Assembly: **126** Sponsor: **Calvert** 

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: 119.03

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: 3702.51, 3702.522, 3702.57

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: 3702.51, 3702.52

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

The Ohio Department of Health is seeking amendment of this rule to ensure consistency with recent revisions to the Ohio Revised Code.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

Page 2 Rule Number: 3701-12-23

This rule sets forth the criteria for the Director of Health's review of certificate of need applications for existing or proposed long-term care facilities. The rule is amended to achieve consistency with recent statutory revisions allowing the Director to deny CON applications when the existing health care facility to which the beds are being relocated has state fire code or state building code violations, or when the applicant has a long-standing pattern of violations that caused residents harm. The rule is also amended to exempt certain continuing care retirement or life communities from the "50 or more long-term care bed capacity" requirement provided that certain criteria are met. Finally, general grammatical and citation changes were made throughout the rule.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This rule references the state laws and regulations in the Ohio Revised and Administrative Codes. Also referenced is a federal statute found in the United States Code (USC). The ORC, OAC, and the USC are generally available in libraries and on the internet to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

Pursuant to Revised Code 121.71 to 121.74, the ORC, OAC, and USC are exempt.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

Not Applicable.

Page 3 Rule Number: 3701-12-23

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: 5/21/2007

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

Not applicable

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

There are no new costs associated with this amendment.

- 16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations?  $N_0$
- 17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39?  $N_0$