

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Department of Health**

Agency Name

Division

Lance D. Himes

Contact

246 North High St. Columbus OH 43215-0000

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-466-4882

Phone

Fax

Lance.Himes@odh.ohio.gov

Email

3701-62-06

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Revocation of DNR identification or DNR order.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **Yes**

Bill Number: **HB284**General Assembly: **129**Sponsor: **Gonzales**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **2133.25**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **2133.21, 2133.23**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

In order to align the review dates for all rules set forth in Chapter 3701-62 of the Administrative Code, ODH has chosen to conduct the five-year review of all rules within this Chapter by the earliest review date for many of the rules; May 27, 2014. ODH requests amendment of rule 3701-62-06.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content

of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

The rule sets forth ways in which a person may revoke his or her DNR identification, including, but not limited to, destroying the DNR form or wallet card; permanently removing DNR jewelry; and revoking a declaration intended to be used as a DNR identification. The rule requires every attending physician, certified nurse practitioner, and clinical nurse specialist that issues an order discontinuing a valid DNR order to ask the patient prior to discharge or transfer whether he or she wants to make another DNR declaration. The rule is being revised to include physician's assistants and the authority and immunities granted under section 2133.211 of the Revised Code pertaining to physician assistants became effective with the enactment of House Bill 284.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This rule references the Ohio Revised and Administrative Codes. The O.R.C. and O.A.C. are generally available in libraries and on the internet to people who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

Ohio Revised Code 121.76 exempts agencies from filing copies of Ohio law and regulations cited in the text of a rule.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so. If applicable, indicate each specific paragraph of the rule that has been modified:

Not Applicable.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **11/27/2013**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

Not applicable

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

There are no costs of compliance associated with this rule.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

S.B. 2 (129th General Assembly) Questions

18. Has this rule been filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office pursuant to R.C. 121.82? **Yes**

19. Specific to this rule, answer the following:

A.) Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? **No**

B.) Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? **No**

C.) Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? **No**