

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)****Department of Public Safety**

Agency Name

Division

**Krista Weida**

Contact

**1970 West Broad St., Suite 531 P.O. Box 182081  
Columbus OH 43218-2081**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

**614-466-7014**

Phone

**614-752-6063**

Fax

**4501-21-05**

Rule Number

**NO CHANGE**

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

**Application for and renewal of remedial driving course approval.****RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **R.C. 4501.02, 4510.311, 4510.037, 4510.038, 5502.011**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **R.C. 4510.311, 4510.037, 4510.038, 4510.02**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

This filing is based on a scheduled R.C. 119.032 five-year rule review of Ohio Administrative Code Chapter 4501-21.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; if the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

This rule sets forth the process and requirements for making application for approval of, and application for approval review of a remedial driving course.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

*This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

*This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

*Not Applicable.*

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

*Not Applicable.*

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **4/9/2010** and **04/09/2015**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

**FISCAL ANALYSIS**

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0

It is not anticipated that this rule will impact the agency budget.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

N/A

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

It is not anticipated that this unchanged rule will result in additional costs of compliance. Under current rule, the associated costs of compliance for new program applicants include a criminal background check for both owner and authorizing official (\$35.00 each) and purchase of performance bond to guarantee student funds(\$100.00 per year per \$10,000 in bond coverage)for programs which charge students prior to instruction. If an applicant is establishing an adult remedial program, there is a one-time purchase of Department approved curriculum and training at \$300-\$700, depending on the applicant's choice of providers. If the applicant is establishing a juvenile remedial program, the instructor training and curriculum is provided by the Department. Currently, the cost is \$225. Juvenile program providers are not required to carry performance bonds. Remedial program providers must renew their approvals every two years. The cost of compliance at renewal is the cost of a new criminal background check (\$35) for the program's authorizing official.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

