### Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis <u>Part A</u> - General Questions

Rule Number:	4501-45-06	
Rule Type:	Amendment	
Rule Title/Tagline:	Appeals.	
Agency Name:	Department of Public Safety	
Division:		
Address:	1970 West Broad Street PO Box 182081 Columbus OH 43218-2081	
Contact:	Joseph Kirk Phone	: 614-466-5605
Email:	jakirk@dps.ohio.gov	

#### I. <u>Rule Summary</u>

- 1. Is this a five year rule review? Yes
  - A. What is the rule's five year review date? 1/10/2024
- 2. Is this rule the result of recent legislation? No
- 3. What statute is this rule being promulgated under? 119.03
- 4. What statute(s) grant rule writing authority? 4510.45
- 5. What statute(s) does the rule implement or amplify? 4510.45
- 6. Does the rule implement a federal law or rule in a manner that is more stringent or burdensome than the federal law or regulation requires? No
  - A. If so, what is the citation to the federal law or rule? Not Applicable
- 7. What are the reasons for proposing the rule?

This rule is being filed according to Section 106.03 ORC periodic rule review.

8. Summarize the rule's content, and if this is an amended rule, also summarize the rule's changes.

The rule deals with appeals of administrative action. The rule has been amended to remove outdated modes of communication as well as update the where an appeal may be filed for common please court.

- 9. Does the rule incorporate material by reference? No
- 10. If the rule incorporates material by reference and the agency claims the material is exempt pursuant to R.C. 121.75, please explain the basis for the exemption and how an individual can find the referenced material.

Not Applicable

**11.** If revising or re-filing the rule, please indicate the changes made in the revised or refiled version of the rule.

Not Applicable

#### II. Fiscal Analysis

12. Please estimate the increase / decrease in the agency's revenues or expenditures in the current biennium due to this rule.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0.00

Not applicable.

# 13. What are the estimated costs of compliance for all persons and/or organizations directly affected by the rule?

The estimated costs of compliance for stakeholders include: any legal or court fees should the manufacturer appeal the director's decision. The Franklin County Court website listed court filing cost for appeal from \$75 to \$375

- 14. Does the rule increase local government costs? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part B). No
- **15.** Does the rule regulate environmental protection? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA **Part C).** No
- 16. If the rule imposes a regulation fee, explain how the fee directly relates to your agency's cost in regulating the individual or business.

Not applicable.

### III. <u>Common Sense Initiative (CSI) Questions</u>

- 17. Was this rule filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office? Yes
- 18. Does this rule have an adverse impact on business? Yes
  - A. Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? No
  - **B.** Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? Yes

Failure to comply with the requirements set forth in this rule may result in denial of application or license, or in administrative action up to and including suspension or revocation.

## C. Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? Yes

The estimated costs of compliance for stakeholders include: any legal or court fees should the manufacturer appeal the director's decision. The Franklin County Court website listed court filing cost for appeal from \$75 to \$375

D. Is it likely that the rule will directly reduce the revenue or increase the expenses of the lines of business of which it will apply or applies? No

### IV. <u>Regulatory Restriction Requirements under S.B. 9. Note: This section only</u> applies to agencies described in R.C. 121.95(A).

- 19. Are you adding a new or removing an existing regulatory restriction as defined in R.C. 121.95? Yes
  - A. How many new regulatory restrictions do you propose adding to this rule? 1

Fourth paragraph, second sentence - "If the manufacturer.... the party shall appeal...."

- **B.** How many existing regulatory restrictions do you propose removing from this rule? 0
- C. If you are not removing existing regulatory restrictions from this rule, please list the rule number(s) from which you are removing restrictions.
- **D.** Please justify the adoption of the new regulatory restriction(s). The new restriction is a fall back for where an appeal shall be filed if the entity appealing does not have a place of business in Ohio.