

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)****State Dental Board**

Agency Name

Division

**Lili Reitz**

Contact

**77 South High St. 18th Floor Columbus OH  
43266-306**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

**614-466-2580**

Phone

Fax

**4715-11-03.1**

Rule Number

**NEW**

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

**Coronal polishing certification.****RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **No**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **4715.39**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **4715.39**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

New rule replaces former rule 4715-10-01

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

New rule sets forth minimum education, training, and examination requirements for certified dental assistants to receive a certificate that allows them to perform coronal polishing under the direct supervision of the licensed dentist.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

*This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

*This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

*Not Applicable.*

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

*Not Applicable.*

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date:

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase/**

**decrease** either **revenues/ expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will increase revenues.

nominal

One-time increase to revenues is nominal. One-time application fee of \$15 for certified dental assistants, which has steadily decreased to approximately 150 applications/year. Increase in revenues is offset by expenditures for printing of applications, certificates, and correspondence for applicants and Board-approved training program applications, appropriate staffing to ensure accurate data entry and tracking of certificate holders and Board-approved training programs

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

DEN 4K9 0880 2158 24

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

Cost to previously trained, currently certified dental assistants would be \$15. The minimum/maximum cost to currently certified dental assistants not previously trained in coronal polishing procedures would be \$365/\$710 (cost of individual coronal polishing course + cost of certificate from the Dental Board) respectively. The minimum/maximum cost to basic qualified dental assistants without certification or training would be \$250 (cost of examination subsequent to on-the-job training + cost of certificate from the Dental Board) and \$8650\* (cost of attending private career college in the state of Ohio+ cost of certificate from the Dental Board) respectively. \* Note: the particular cost of individual training programs varies. Maximum cost is listed.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**