Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis <u>Part A</u> - General Questions

Rule Number:	4715-8-03		
Rule Type:	Amendment		
Rule Title/Tagline:	Standards for approval of biennial sponsors.		
Agency Name:	State Dental Board		
Division:			
Address:	77 South High Street 17th Floor Columbus OH 43215-6135		
Contact:	Zachary Russell	Phone:	614-644-7674
Email:	zachary.russell@den.ohio.gov		

I. <u>Rule Summary</u>

- 1. Is this a five year rule review? Yes
 - A. What is the rule's five year review date? 12/2/2021
- 2. Is this rule the result of recent legislation? No
- 3. What statute is this rule being promulgated under? 119.03
- 4. What statute(s) grant rule writing authority? 4715.03, 4715.141
- **5.** What statute(s) does the rule implement or amplify? 4715.141, 4715.16, 4715.25, 4715.53
- 6. What are the reasons for proposing the rule?

Rule amendments are being made pursuant to 119. rule review

7. Summarize the rule's content, and if this is an amended rule, also summarize the rule's changes.

This rule sets forth the application fees, the Board's minimum guidelines for application approval, and recordkeeping requirements for continuing education sponsors. Amendments to the rule stipulate the elimination of Board review and approval of Biennial Sponsors of continuing education beginning December 31, 2021.

- 8. Does the rule incorporate material by reference? No
- 9. If the rule incorporates material by reference and the agency claims the material is exempt pursuant to R.C. 121.75, please explain the basis for the exemption and how an individual can find the referenced material.

Not Applicable

10. If revising or re-filing the rule, please indicate the changes made in the revised or re-filed version of the rule.

Not Applicable

II. Fiscal Analysis

11. Please estimate the increase / decrease in the agency's revenues or expenditures in the current biennium due to this rule.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

N/A

Rule amendments will not affect agency revenues or expenditures during this biennium but will decrease revenues beginning in the next biennium.

12. What are the estimated costs of compliance for all persons and/or organizations directly affected by the rule?

Cost of compliance for sponsors will be reduced by no longer providing an application and application fee to the Board. Other cost of compliance will be that current sponsors must seek national sponsorship or partner with recognized entities.

- 13. Does the rule increase local government costs? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part B). No
- 14. Does the rule regulate environmental protection? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part C). No
- 15. If the rule imposes a regulation fee, explain how the fee directly relates to your agency's cost in regulating the individual or business.

N/A

III. Common Sense Initiative (CSI) Questions

- 16. Was this rule filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office? Yes
- 17. Does this rule have an adverse impact on business? No
 - A. Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? No

The rule now requires sponsors to obtain advanced level approval from state and nationally recognized entities which ensures that CE opportunities are dentally-related and have a sound scientific basis and proven efficacy to ensure public safety.

- B. Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? No
- C. Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? No
- D. Is it likely that the rule will directly reduce the revenue or increase the expenses of the lines of business of which it will apply or applies? No

IV. <u>Regulatory Restrictions (This section only applies to agencies indicated in</u> <u>R.C. 121.95 (A))</u>

- 18. Are you adding a new or removing an existing regulatory restriction as defined in R.C. 121.95? No
 - A. How many new regulatory restrictions do you propose adding?

Not Applicable

B. How many existing regulatory restrictions do you propose removing?

Not Applicable

Page 3