

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Ohio Occupational Therapy, Physical Therapy, and Athletic Trainers Board**

Agency Name

Division

Jeffrey M. Rosa

Contact

**77 South High Street 16th Floor Columbus OH
43215-6108**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-466-3774

Phone

614-995-0816

Fax

4755-23-04

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

License by endorsement.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **No**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **Yes**

Bill Number: **HB403**General Assembly: **126**Sponsor: **Fessler**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **4755.411**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **4755.45, 4755.451**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

To makes changes based on the enactment of H.B. 403.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; if the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

The proposed language states that an endorsement applicant's education must be

reasonably equal to the requirements in force in Ohio on the date of the applicant's initial licensure in the other state. Under the current rule, the standard is substantially equal. This change reflects the change contained in H.B. 403. The proposed change also eliminates the provisions dealing with foreign educated applicants since that language was moved to proposed new rule 4755-23-12.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

Not Applicable.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **4/1/2010**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date

for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

Not applicable.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

The proposed changes will potentially reduce the cost of compliance with the endorsement application process. Under the old standard of substantially equivalent, some applicants would either be denied an application or would be required to complete additional coursework to obtain an education that is substantially equivalent. Under the new standard of reasonably equivalent, the amount of additional coursework that would potentially be required would likely be less than under the current rules. In addition, some applicants that would have been required to obtain additional coursework under the current standards would not be required to complete any additional education to be eligible for licensure.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**