

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis

Part A - General Questions

Rule Number: 4755-5-03

Rule Type: Rescission

Rule Title/Tagline: Fee for escrow of license.

Agency Name: Ohio Occupational Therapy, Physical Therapy, and Athletic Trainers Board

Division:

Address: 77 South High St. 16th floor Columbus OH 43215-6108

Contact: Missy Craddock Anthony

Email: Missy.Anthony@otptat.ohio.gov **Phone:** 614-466-3474

I. Rule Summary

1. Is this a five year rule review? Yes

A. What is the rule's five year review date? 12/20/2018

2. Is this rule the result of recent legislation? No

3. What statute is this rule being promulgated under? 119.03

4. What statute(s) grant rule writing authority? 4755.06

5. What statute(s) does the rule implement or amplify? 4755.12

6. What are the reasons for proposing the rule?

The Board is eliminating the option to escrow a license, and the fee is no longer needed.

7. Summarize the rule's content, and if this is an amended rule, also summarize the rule's changes.

This rule prescribes a ceiling for a fee to place a license in escrow. The escrow option is being eliminated.

8. Does the rule incorporate material by reference? No

9. If the rule incorporates material by reference and the agency claims the material is exempt pursuant to R.C. 121.71 to 121.76, please explain the basis for the exemption and how an individual can find the referenced material.

Not Applicable

10. If revising or re-filing the rule, please indicate the changes made in the revised or re-filed version of the rule.

Not Applicable

II. Fiscal Analysis

11. As a result of this proposed rule, please estimate the increase / decrease in revenues or expenditures affecting this agency, or the state generally, in the current biennium or future years. If the proposed rule is likely to have a different fiscal effect in future years, please describe the expected difference and operation.

This will decrease expenditures.

less than \$1,000 annually

There are 134 license in escrow currently that have been place in that status over the last five years. The Board will lose the \$20 application fee to place a license in escrow, assuming that once that option is eliminated, the license holder will simply make the license inactive (which requires no fee).

12. What are the estimated costs of compliance for all persons and/or organizations directly affected by the rule?

The cost of compliance with this rule is that a license holder pays \$20 to place a license in

escrow and \$80 to restore the license from escrow.

In the future, the recommended process would be to forfeit the escrow status, saving the

license holder the \$20 to renew the license in escrow (up to three times for \$60). The reinstatement fee would be \$100 instead of \$80. Essentially, this change is cost neutral from

a fee standpoint.

13. Does the rule increase local government costs? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part B). No

14. Does the rule regulate environmental protection? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part C). No

III. Common Sense Initiative (CSI) Questions

15. Was this rule filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office? Yes

16. Does this rule have an adverse impact on business? Yes

- A. Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? Yes

By law, a license is required to practice occupational therapy in the state of Ohio.

- B. Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? Yes

Practicing without a license may result in discipline.

- C. Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? Yes

The cost of compliance with this rule is that a license holder pays \$20 to place a license in escrow and \$80 to restore the license from escrow. In the future, the recommended process would be to forfeit the escrow status, saving the license holder the \$20 to renew the license in escrow (up to three times for \$60). The reinstatement fee would be \$100 instead of \$80. Essentially, this change is cost neutral from a fee standpoint.