

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)****Department of Job and Family Services**

Agency Name

**Division of Social Services**

Division

**Mike Lynch**

Contact

**30 E. Broad St., 31st Floor ODJFS, Office of Legal** **466-4605****752-8298****Services Columbus OH 43215-3414**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

Phone

Fax

**5101:2-40-04**

Rule Number

**AMENDMENT**

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

**Kinship permanency incentive program.****RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **Yes**

Bill Number: **HB119**General Assembly: **127**Sponsor: **Dolan**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5101.801, 5101.802**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **5101.801, 5101.802**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

This amended rule is being proposed to implement section 5101.802 of the Am. Sub. HB 119 of the 127th General Assembly.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

OAC rule 5101:2-40-04 outlines the provisions that govern eligibility, administration and application process for the KPI program. The rule allows the public children services agency (PCSA) to provide incentive payments to kinship caregivers to promote permanency.

In this rule, a few changes were made regarding the kinship caregiver's income. Gross income was defined in the definition section of the rule and language was added to the eligibility requirements. The new language addresses limited exclusions of reported income that are to be deducted from the gross income. It also clarifies individuals whose income must be considered for this program. Language was added to clarify that the rule does not prohibit a PCSA or PCPA from completing a home assessment on behalf of caregivers with whom a child was already directly placed by a court or relative.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This rule incorporates one or more references to the Ohio Revised Code. This question is not applicable to any incorporation by reference to the Ohio Revised Code because such reference is exempt from compliance with RC 121.71 to 121.74 pursuant to RC 121.76(A)(1).

This rule incorporates one or more references to another rule or rules of the Ohio Administrative Code. This question is not applicable to any incorporation by reference to another OAC rule because such reference is exempt from compliance with RC 121.71 to 121.74 pursuant to RC 121.76(A)(3).

This rule incorporates one or more dated references to an ODJFS form or forms. Each cited ODJFS form is dated and is generally available to persons affected by this rule via the "Info Center" link on the ODJFS web site (<http://jfs.ohio.gov/>) in accordance with RC 121.75(E).

This rule incorporates one or more dated references to the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). This question is not applicable to any dated incorporation by reference to the CFR because such reference is exempt from compliance with RC 121.71 to 121.74 in accordance with RC 121.75(D).

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

Not Applicable

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

*Not Applicable.*

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

*Not Applicable.*

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **7/11/2007**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0.0

Any costs to ODJFS will be absorbed by existing administrative funds.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not Applicable

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all

directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

The changes to the rule open up the eligibility requirements for the Kinship Permanency Incentive (KPI) program. The expansion may result in some administrative costs (due to increased workloads) to the Public Children Services Agencies. ODJFS continues to provide administrative funds for KPI.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**