

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)****Department Of Job And Family Services**

Agency Name

**Division Of Medical Assistance**

Division

**Mary H Mynatt**

Contact

**30 East Broad St. 31st Floor Columbus OH  
43215-3414**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

**614-466-4605**

Phone

Fax

**5101:3-1-60**

Rule Number

**AMENDMENT**

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

**Medicaid reimbursement.****RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5111.02**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **5111.02, 5111.03**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

To amend this rule to include new CPT codes effective 1/1/04 and to delete codes obsoleted in the 2004 CPT and HCPCS books.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

This rule lists the HCPCS codes and the coverage policy for all codes. It is being amended to add codes for physician services which are new for January 2004 and

to close out codes which have been deleted by the AMA effective 12/31/03. Some of the coding changes are due to code changes made to be HIPAA compliant. ASC indicators have been added to some codes to reflect the level of payment for procedures performed in an Ambulatory Surgical Center. In addition, the prices of eight laboratory codes have been changed to reflect the prices issued in the national revised 2004 clinical diagnostic laboratory fee schedule.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

*This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

*This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.*

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

*Not Applicable.*

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

No changes were made to the rule body of this rule. This rule is being refiled to make amendments to the Appendix. Some vision codes were updated to include pricing in the "previous pricing" column which can be seen on pages 325, 326 and 327 of the appendix and the professional/technical indicator was revised for four radiology codes which can be seen on pages 160 and 162 of the appendix. Also, a new code, S3620, for a newborn metabolic screening panel and test kit and a new code, S0163, for the injection of 12.5 mg long acting risperdone were both added to the amended appendix. These changes can be seen on pages 313 and 309 of the appendix, respectively.

## 12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: 1/16/2004

(If you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: At time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

**FISCAL ANALYSIS**

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase/decrease** either **revenues /expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will increase expenditures.

\$7,213,183.00

The Department estimates that the addition of code S3620 for an injection of 12.5 mg long acting risperidone will increase expenditures for the current biennium by \$7,213,183.00. \$1,442,637.00 is estimated for the current state year from April 1, 2004 through June 30, 2004. \$5,770,546.00 is estimated for the next state fiscal year from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005.

These estimated expenditures are based on previous years utilization of similar injections that the department expects will be replaced by code S3620.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

600-525

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

The Department estimates that there is no administrative cost to providers for compliance with this rule.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**