

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Department of Job and Family Services**

Agency Name

Division of Medical Assistance

Division

Nancy Van Kirk

Contact

30 E. Broad St., 31st. Floor ODJFS, Office of Legal Services Columbus OH 43215-3414

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

466-4605

Phone

752-8298

Fax

5101:3-14-03

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Covered "HealthChek" (EPSDT) screening services.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5111.02**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **5111.01, 5111.02**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

This rule is being proposed as part of the 5 year rule review process.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

This rule is amended to clarify existing policy. Reference, in paragraph (K)(1) of current rule 5101:3-14-03 of the Administrative Code, to additional reimbursement available for health education and counseling that lasts thirty minutes or more is

deleted. This rule outlines the screening components that providers of Healthchek, Ohio's early and periodic screening, diagnosis, and treatment (EPSDT), shall complete as part of initial and periodic healthchek screening visits.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This rule incorporates one or more references to another rule or rules of the Ohio Administrative Code. This question is not applicable to any incorporation by reference to another OAC rule because such reference is exempt from compliance with ORC 121.71 to 121.74 pursuant to ORC 121.76(A)(3).

This rule incorporates one or more dated references to the American Academy of Pediatrics Recommendations for Preventive Pediatric Health Care. The document is generally available to persons affected by this rule at www.aap.org in accordance with ORC 121.75 (E).

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

Not Applicable.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **11/10/2005**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this

rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase /decrease** either **revenues /expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will decrease expenditures.

\$154,351

This proposed rule will decrease expenditures in the agency's projected budget during the current biennium. Currently, when the health education and counseling component of the Healthchek (EPSDT) screening visit lasts approximately thirty minutes or more, additional reimbursement is available. This current practice is not consistent with Current Procedural Terminology (CPT), which states that preventive medicine service visits include counseling/anticipatory guidance/risk factor reduction interventions which are provided at the time of the initial or periodic comprehensive preventive medicine visit.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

600-525

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

Providers of EPSDT screening services will no longer be reimbursed separately for health education provided during an EPSDT screening visit.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component

dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**