

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Department of Job and Family Services**

Agency Name

Division of Medical Assistance

Division

Nancy Van Kirk

Contact

**30 E Broad St 31st Floor ODJFS Office of Legal
Services Columbus OH 43215-3414**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

466-4605

Phone

752-8298

Fax

5101:3-14-05

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Vision, hearing, and dental services.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5111.02**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **5111.01, 5111.02**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

This rule is being proposed as part of the 5 year rule review process.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

No policy changes are intended in the amended rule. This rule is amended to clarify existing policy. This rule outlines the general provisions that govern the provision of evaluation, diagnostic, and treatment services following a screening visit under

Healthchek, Ohio's early and periodic screening, diagnosis, and treatment (EPSDT) program.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This rule incorporates one or more references to another rule or rules of the Ohio Administrative Code. This question is not applicable to any incorporation by reference to another OAC rule because such reference is exempt from compliance with ORC 121.71 to 121.74 pursuant to ORC 121.76(A)(3).

This rule incorporates one or more references to the U.S. Code. This question is not applicable to those references in this rule because such references are exempt from compliance with ORC 121.71 to 121.74 pursuant to ORC 121.76(B)(2).

This rule incorporates one or more dated references to the American Academy of Pediatrics Recommendations for Preventive Pediatric Health Care. The document is generally available to persons affected by this rule at www.aap.org in accordance with ORC 121.75 (E).

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

This rule is being refiled to make the following change: Paragraph (E)(2)(b) is changed to clarify that diagnostic and treatment services beyond the coverage and limitations of the Ohio Medicaid State Plan may be covered for individuals under

the age of twenty-one if 42 U.S.C 1396d(a) permits.

Paragraph (E)(2)(b) is changed from saying "Available in accordance with federal EPSDT requirements found in Section 1905(r) of the Social Security Act" to saying "Available in accordance with federal EPSDT requirements found at 42 U.S.C. 1396d(a) as amended."

As a result of the change to paragraph (E)(2)(b), the response to question 8 of the Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis is changed to reflect that an incorporation by reference to the Social Security Act is being removed and an incorporation by reference to the U.S. Code is being inserted.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **11/10/2005**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0

This proposed rule will not change the agency's projected budget during the current biennium.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your

information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

No new costs.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**