

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)****Department of Job and Family Services**

Agency Name

**Division of Medical Assistance**

Division

**Nancy Van Kirk**

Contact

**30 E Broad St 31st Floor ODJFS Office of Legal  
Services Columbus OH 43215-3414**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

**614-466-4605**

Phone

**614-752-8298**

Fax

**5101:3-26-03.1**

Rule Number

**AMENDMENT**

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

**Managed health care programs: care coordination.****RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **No**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **Yes**

Bill Number: **HB93**General Assembly: **129**Sponsor: **Reps Burke and  
Johnson**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5111.02, 5111.16, 5111.17, 5111.085**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **5111.01, 5111.02, 5111.16, 5111.17, 5111.172, 5111.179**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

To implement the provisions of ORC 5111.179, adopted under Am. Sub. H.B. 93, 129th G.A., relating to the administration of the Coordinated Services Program.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content

of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

This rule sets forth care coordination requirements for Medicaid managed care plans (MCPs) and primary care providers. The changes to the rule add language requiring MCPs to implement the Coordinated Services Program (CSP) and provide clarification regarding state hearing rights for MCP members. The changes to the rule also clarify language regarding care coordination with designated providers.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This rule incorporates one or more references to another rule or rules of the Ohio Administrative Code. This question is not applicable to any incorporation by reference to another Ohio Administrative Code rule because such reference is exempt from compliance with ORC 121.71 to 121.74 pursuant to ORC 121.76(A)(3).

This rule incorporates one or more references to the Ohio Revised Code. This question is not applicable to any incorporation by reference to the Ohio Revised Code because such reference is exempt from compliance with ORC 121.71 to 121.74 pursuant to ORC 121.76(A)(1).

This rule incorporates one or more dated references to the U. S. Code. This question is not applicable to any dated incorporation by reference to the U.S. Code because such reference is exempt from compliance with ORC 121.71 to 121.74 pursuant to ORC 121.75(A).

This rule incorporates one or more dated references to the Social Security Act. This question is not applicable to any dated incorporation by reference to the Social Security Act because such reference is exempt from compliance with ORC 121.71 to 121.74 pursuant to ORC 121.76(B)(2).

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

Not applicable.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

*Not Applicable.*

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

*Not Applicable.*

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **1/1/2013**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0.00

No impact on current budget.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not Applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

There may be a cost of compliance for Medicaid consumers enrolled in the Coordinated Services Program (CSP) if they are required to travel further to obtain services from a designated pharmacy or provider, or incur other expenses because of their enrollment. The cost of compliance for consumers cannot be estimated as it will vary depending on the individual consumer's circumstances. There could be a cost of compliance for Medicaid managed care plans (MCPs), which may incur administrative expenses and other costs because of the new requirement in this rule that they implement a CSP. The cost of compliance for MCPs cannot be estimated because it will depend on the MCPs' business models and the existing care coordination programs that they now have in place. Additionally, some pharmacies and providers that contract with MCPs may experience an increase in Medicaid business if they become designated providers or pharmacies for Medicaid consumers enrolled in the CSP, while others may lose Medicaid business if their patients are required to go to other providers because of their enrollment in the program. The cost of compliance for providers cannot be estimated as it will vary depending on whether they have Medicaid patients enrolled in the CSP.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**