

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Department of Job and Family Services**

Agency Name

Division of Medical Assistance

Division

Nancy Van Kirk

Contact

**30 E Broad St 31st Floor ODJFS Office of Legal
Services Columbus OH 43215-3414**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-466-4605

Phone

614-752-8298

Fax

5101:3-5-01

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Dental program: general and co-payment provisions.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5111.02, 5111.0112**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **5111.01, 5111.0112, 5111.02, 5111.021**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

This rule is being revised to communicate program changes as part of the implementation of the Medicaid Information Technology System (MITS), to correct a typographical error and to remove or update outdated rule language. This rule is also being filed for five year rule review.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

Rule 5101:3-5-01 entitled, "Dental program: general and co-payment provisions", sets forth the criteria necessary for an eligible provider of Medicaid dental services.

Terminology and the Ohio Administrative Code reference regarding a professional dental group in the Medicaid program have been updated in (A)(2).

Outdated reference to Rural Health Clinics as eligible dental providers have been removed in (A)(4)(c).

A period has been added to the end of (B).

In (C)(1) physician assistants and advanced practice nurses have been added as authorized prescribers of drugs in the Medicaid program.

Outdated reference to the use of the Medicaid legacy number on prescriptions and reimbursement for take home drugs have been removed from (C)(2) and (3) respectively.

Reference to the Disability Medical Assistance program (DMA) has been removed in (D)(1) and (2). The DMA program ended effective October 31, 2009.

Reference to a limited dental benefit for adult consumers that was effective for dates of service from January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2008 in (E) has been removed.

In (G) reference to the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS) has been updated, instructions on how to submit a prior authorization request for dental services have been updated and instructions on how to submit a prior authorization request in the MITS system have been added.

In Appendix 1 JFS form 03612 "Prior Authorization For Dental Services" has been removed as it will no longer be used upon the effective date of this rule. The information required on JFS form 03612 to submit a dental prior authorization request must now be submitted on-line through the ODJFS web portal.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was

infeasible for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

There are no changes to the body of the rule. This rule is being revise filed to remove the existing appendix because reference to the existing appendix in paragraph (F) of the rule was stricken in the original filing.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **9/20/2010**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0

No impact on current budget.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not Applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

There may be a cost of compliance to providers associated with acquiring new or updating existing information technology systems to interface with MITS. There may be a cost of compliance to providers associated with changing existing business processes in order to do business with ODJFS as a result of MITS. There may be a cost of compliance to providers associated with claims that have typically paid or denied adjudicating differently as a result of MITS implementation. The cost of compliance may or may not be a result of a policy change or ODJFS newly enforcing existing policy. The cost of compliance may result in greater or lesser payments to providers depending on whether claims that previously paid or denied instead deny or pay respectively. The cost of compliance cannot be estimated as it will vary depending on a provider's existing information technology system, business practice and other variables. In most cases, a standard internet connection should be sufficient for MITS use.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **Yes**

You must complete Part B of the Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis in order to comply with Am. Sub. S.B. 33 of the 120th General Assembly.

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part B)

1. Does the Proposed rule have a fiscal effect on any of the following?

(a) School Districts	(b) Counties	(c) Townships	(d) Municipal Corporations
No	Yes	Yes	Yes

2. Please provide an estimate in dollars of the cost of compliance with the proposed rule for school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations. If you are unable to provide an estimate in dollars, please provide a written explanation of why it is not possible to provide such an estimate.

There may be a cost of compliance to providers associated with acquiring new or updating existing information technology systems to interface with MITS. There may be a cost of compliance to providers associated with changing existing business processes in order to do business with ODJFS as a result of MITS implementation. There may be a cost of compliance to providers associated with claims that have typically paid or denied adjudicating differently as a result of MITS implementation. This cost of compliance may or may not be the result of a policy change or ODJFS newly enforcing existing policy. The cost of compliance may result in greater or lesser payments to providers depending on whether claims that previously paid or denied instead deny or pay, respectively. The cost of compliance cannot be estimated as it will vary depending on a provider's existing information technology system, business practices, and other variables. ODJFS is recommending providers obtain a high-speed internet connection for MITS web portal use.

3. If the proposed rule is the result of a federal requirement, does the proposed rule exceed the scope and intent of the federal requirement? **No**

4. If the proposed rule exceeds the minimum necessary federal requirement, please provide an estimate of, and justification for, the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement. In particular, please provide an estimate of the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement for (a) school districts, (b) counties, (c) townships, and (d) municipal corporations.

Not Applicable.

5. Please provide a comprehensive cost estimate for the proposed rule that includes the procedure and method used for calculating the cost of

compliance. This comprehensive cost estimate should identify all of the major cost categories including, but not limited to, (a) personnel costs, (b) new equipment or other capital costs, (c) operating costs, and (d) any indirect central service costs.

There may be costs of compliance associated with the implementation of this rule. The comprehensive cost estimates are addressed below.

(a) Personnel Costs

To the extent that a provider is a school district, county, township, or municipal corporation, there may be a cost of compliance for personnel costs associated with the proposed rule. However, the cost of compliance cannot be estimated as it will vary depending on whether a provider acquires new/more staff as a result of MITS implementation, or is able to reduce staff size for efficiencies gained by MITS implementation.

(b) New Equipment or Other Capital Costs

To the extent that a provider is a school district, county, township, or municipal corporation, there may be a cost of compliance for new equipment or other capital costs associated with the proposed rule. However, the cost of compliance cannot be estimated as it will vary depending on the type of information technology system a provider uses and whether the provider is required to purchase a new operating system in order to do business with ODJFS.

(c) Operating Costs

To the extent that a provider is a school district, county, township, or municipal corporation, there may be a cost of compliance for operating costs associated with the proposed rule. However, the cost of compliance cannot be estimated as it will vary depending on whether a provider acquires new/more staff as a result of MITS implementation, is able to reduce staff size for efficiencies gained by MITS implementation, and/or is required to purchase a new operating system in order to do business with ODJFS.

(d) Any Indirect Central Service Costs

To the extent that a provider is a school district, county, township, or municipal corporation, there may be a cost of compliance for indirect central service costs associated with the proposed rule. However, the cost of compliance cannot be estimated as it will vary by provider.

(e) Other Costs

To the extent that a provider is a school district, county, township, or municipal corporation, there may be a cost of compliance for other costs associated with the proposed rule. However, the cost of compliance cannot be estimated as it will vary by provider.

6. Please provide a written explanation of the agency's and the local government's ability to pay for the new requirements imposed by the proposed rule.

Implementation of the rule is not expected to have any significant cost to the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services, but any costs that may exist have already been budgeted and are reimbursed at 90% Federal Financial Participation (FFP). To the extent that a provider is a school district, county, township, or municipal corporation and the provider incurs a cost associated with requirements imposed by the proposed rule related to MITS implementation, the provider ideally will have budgeted for potentially increased costs. Moreover, providers may realize a savings related to increased efficiencies as a result of MITS implementation.

7. Please provide a statement on the proposed rule's impact on economic development.

There will be a positive economic impact on companies that will assist providers in submitting claims electronically to ODJFS. The economic impact on providers to the extent that a provider is a school district, county, township, or municipal corporation cannot be estimated as some providers will realize cost savings related to increased efficiencies, while others may realize a loss in revenue related to claims denying that once paid as a result of improving the claims adjudication system to mirror existing payment policy.