

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)****Department of Job and Family Services**

Agency Name

**Division of Food Stamps**

Division

**Michael Lynch**

Contact

**OFC- 4200 E. 5th Ave., 2nd fl. J6-02 P.O. Box  
183204 Columbus OH 43218-3204**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

**614-466-4605**

Phone

**614-752-8298**

Fax

**Michael.Lynch@jfs.ohio.gov**

Email

**5101:4-1-03**

Rule Number

**AMENDMENT**

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

**Food assistance: definitions.****RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed for five year review (FYR)? **Yes**
2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**
3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **111.15**
4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5101.54**
5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **329.04, 329.042, 5101.54**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

Five-year review.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; if the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

The rule describes definitions of terms commonly used in the food assistance

program.

The term "CRIS-E" was replaced with "statewide automated eligibility system"; the term "PCI" was removed as it is no longer used; the definition for "Ohio works first (OWF) recipient" was updated to align with rule 5101:1-2-01 of the Administrative Code; the reference to "Shelter for battered women and children" was updated to "Shelter for battered individuals and children" to align with clarification from the United States Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Services; and the reference to "Workforce Investment Act (WIA)" was removed and updated with the current name "Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA)." In addition, the term "eligible foods" was updated to align with federal rule, and the definition of "spouse" was amended to reflect the definition of marriage recognized by the Supreme Court of the United States in the decision of Obergefell v. Hodges.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This rule incorporates one or more dated references to the Federal Register. This question is not applicable to any dated incorporation by reference to the Federal Register because such reference is exempt from compliance with RC 121.71 to 121.74 in accordance with RC 121.75(D).

This rule incorporates one or more references to another rule or rules of the Ohio Administrative Code. This question is not applicable to any incorporation by reference to another OAC rule because such reference is exempt from compliance with RC 121.71 to 121.74 pursuant to RC 121.76(A)(3).

This rule incorporates one or more dated references to an ODJFS form or forms. Each cited ODJFS form is dated and is generally available to persons affected by this rule via the inner-web at <http://innerapp.odjfs.state.oh.us/forms/inner.asp> or on the inter-net at <http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/forms/inter.asp> in accordance with RC 121.75(E).

This rule incorporates one or more dated references to the U.S. Code. This question is not applicable to any dated incorporation by reference to the U.S. Code because such reference is exempt from compliance with RC 121.71 to 121.74 in accordance with RC 121.75(A).

This rule incorporates one or more dated references to a federal act or acts. This question is not applicable to any dated incorporation by reference to a federal act because such reference is exempt from compliance with RC 121.71 to 121.74 in accordance with RC 121.75(C).

This rule incorporates one or more dated references to the U.S. Supreme Court decision *Obergefell v. Hodges*. This question is not applicable to any dated incorporation by reference to the U.S. Supreme Court decision *Obergefell v. Hodges* because such reference is exempt from compliance with RC 121.71 to 121.74 in accordance with RC 121.75(C).

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

*Not Applicable.*

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so. If applicable, indicate each specific paragraph of the rule that has been modified:

*Not Applicable.*

12. Five Year Review (FYR) Date: **6/3/2016**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

No impact on current budget.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not Applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

No new costs.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

**S.B. 2 (129th General Assembly) Questions**

18. Has this rule been filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office pursuant to R.C. 121.82? **No**

19. Specific to this rule, answer the following:

A.) Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? **No**

B.) Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? **No**

C.) Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? **No**