

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Department of Job and Family Services**

Agency Name

ODJFS Practices

Division

Michael Lynch

Contact

**OFC- 4200 E. 5th Ave., 2nd fl. J6-02 P.O. Box
183204 Columbus OH 43218-3204**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-466-4605

Phone

614-752-8298

Fax

Michael.Lynch@jfs.ohio.gov

Email

5101:9-6-19

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

State child protective allocation (SCPA).**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed for five year review (FYR)? **Yes**
2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**
3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **111.15**
4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5101.14**
5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **5101.14**
6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

This rule is being amended as the result of a five year rule review.
7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; if the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

To update policy relating to the administration of the State Child Protective

Allocations (SCPA).

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

ODJFS uses the methodology to distribute available funds for this allocation in accordance with section 5101.14 of the Ohio Revised Code.

The definitions, requirements, and responsibilities contained in rule 5101:9-6-50 of the Ohio Administrative Code are applicable to this rule.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

Not applicable

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so. If applicable, indicate each specific paragraph of the rule that has been modified:

Not Applicable.

12. Five Year Review (FYR) Date: **10/15/2015**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No

Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0.00

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

No cost of compliance.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **Yes**

You must complete Part B of the Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis in order to comply with Am. Sub. S.B. 33 of the 120th General Assembly.

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

S.B. 2 (129th General Assembly) Questions

18. Has this rule been filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office pursuant to R.C. 121.82? **No**

19. Specific to this rule, answer the following:

A.) Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to

engage in or operate a line of business? **No**

B.) Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? **No**

C.) Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? **No**

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part B)

1. Does the Proposed rule have a fiscal effect on any of the following?

(a) School Districts	(b) Counties	(c) Townships	(d) Municipal Corporations
No	Yes	No	No

2. Please provide an estimate in dollars of the cost of compliance with the proposed rule for school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations. If you are unable to provide an estimate in dollars, please provide a written explanation of why it is not possible to provide such an estimate.

There is no cost of compliance and no no impact on revenues or expenditures.

The State Child Protective Allocation (SCPA) consists of state funds received by county Public Children Services Agencies (PCSAs) and deposited in a county's Children Services Fund to be used for costs of the care of a child, who resides with a caretaker relative and for other services a public children services agency (PCSA) considers necessary, in order to protect the child from abuse, neglect or dependency.

3. If the proposed rule is the result of a federal requirement, does the proposed rule exceed the scope and intent of the federal requirement? **No**

4. If the proposed rule exceeds the minimum necessary federal requirement, please provide an estimate of, and justification for, the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement. In particular, please provide an estimate of the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement for (a) school districts, (b) counties, (c) townships, and (d) municipal corporations.

Not Applicable.

5. Please provide a comprehensive cost estimate for the proposed rule that includes the procedure and method used for calculating the cost of compliance. This comprehensive cost estimate should identify all of the major cost categories including, but not limited to, (a) personnel costs, (b) new equipment or other capital costs, (c) operating costs, and (d) any indirect central service costs.

Not Applicable

(a) Personnel Costs

\$0.00

(b) New Equipment or Other Capital Costs

\$0.00

(c) Operating Costs

\$0.00

(d) Any Indirect Central Service Costs

\$0.00

(e) Other Costs

\$0.00

6. Please provide a written explanation of the agency's and the local government's ability to pay for the new requirements imposed by the proposed rule.

No new requirements.

7. Please provide a statement on the proposed rule's impact on economic development.

This rule will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.