### **Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**

### **Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services**

Agency Name

Division

Howard Henry Contact

<u>30 East Broad Street Columbus OH 43215-0000</u> Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-752-8365 Phone

Fax

# Howard.Henry@mha.ohio.gov

Email

### <u>5122-29-35</u>

## **RESCISSION**

Rule Number

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Licensure to conduct an opioid agonist program.

### <u>RULE SUMMARY</u>

1. Is the rule being filed for five year review (FYR)? Yes

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? Yes

Bill Number: **SB319** General Assembly: **131** Sponsor: **Eklund** 

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03** 

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5119.391** 

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **5119.391** 

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

Senate Bill 319, of the 131st General Assembly, amended sections 5119.391 and 5119.392 of the Revised Code. Those sections are Ohio#s methadone medication licensure program as administered by the Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (Department). SB319 changed the requirements for becoming a licensed methadone provider by eliminating the requirement to be a private, nonprofit entity; and removing the requirement to be certified as service provider by the Department for two years prior to licensure as a methadone provider. Section

5 of the Bill also requires the Department to adopt rules revising the requirement of methadone licensure by June 1, 2017 or cease issuing licenses until the rules have been adopted and are in effect. The Department is required to include in the revised rules that the licensed programs are in good standing with several governmental bodies, is in good standing in any other jurisdiction in which the provider is providing similar methadone treatment services, and is able to meet nationally recognized standards of treatment.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

This is the existing methadone licensure rule, and it is being broken up into component rules for ease of use by stakeholders.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other materials by reference.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so. If applicable, indicate each

Page 3

specific paragraph of the rule that has been modified:

Not Applicable.

### 12. Five Year Review (FYR) Date: 3/17/2017

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0.0

There will be no impact on revenues or expenditures.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

No cost of compliance.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? No

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? No

### S.B. 2 (129th General Assembly) Questions

18. Has this rule been filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office pursuant to R.C. 121.82? Yes

19. Specific to this rule, answer the following:

A.) Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? Yes

This rule requires licensure in order to use methadone in a treatment program.

B.) Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? No

C.) Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? No