**ACTION:** Revised

DATE: 03/17/2014 12:13 PM

# Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)

## **Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services**

Agency Name

**Howard Henry** 

Division

Contact

30 East Broad Street Columbus OH 43215-0000

<u>614-752-8365</u>

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

Phone

Fax

Howard.Henry@mha.ohio.gov

**Email** 

**5122-34-01 AMENDMENT** 

Rule Number TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line <u>Physician loan repayment.</u>

### **RULE SUMMARY**

- 1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **No**
- 2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? No
- 3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: 119.03
- 4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5119.185**
- 5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **5119.185**
- 6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

The rule was updated with increased repayment limits earlier this year. However, the five year limit on repayments was not changed. This had the unintended consequence of preventing doctors currently in the repayment program from being able to access the full repayment amount. In order to allow hospitals to retain doctors longer, the rule is being modified to avoid this issue.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content

Page 2 Rule Number: 5122-34-01

of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

If a physician is in the loan repayment program at the time the repayment limits are raised, the time limit for the physician to remain in the repayment program is raised to a total of ten years.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

*Not Applicable.* 

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so. If applicable, indicate each specific paragraph of the rule that has been modified:

Rule revised in paragraph (I)(1) to reflect correct prorated amount for doctors working less than full-time.

#### 12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: 2/17/2017

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this

Page 3 Rule Number: 5122-34-01

rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase/decrease** either **revenues /expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will increase expenditures.

62,000

As with the prior rule change allowing for higher repayment limits in total and a higher annual cap, this change can allow for an increase in total expenditures per year. The funding for the increased payments will come from budget efficiencies in regional psychiatric hospitals and loan repayment offers will be contingent upon available funding. Overall, this will allow for increased retention at hospitals and more efficient service.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

There is no cost of compliance with this rule.

- 16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**
- 17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

Page 4 Rule Number: 5122-34-01

# S.B. 2 (129th General Assembly) Questions

- 18. Has this rule been filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office pursuant to R.C. 121.82?  $N_0$
- 19. Specific to this rule, answer the following:
- A.) Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business?  $N_0$
- B.) Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms?  $N_0$
- C.) Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? No