

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Department of Agriculture**

Agency Name

Dairy

Division

Howard Henry

Contact

**8995 East Main Street Reynoldsburg OH
43068-3399**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-728-6390

Phone

Fax

HHenry@agri.ohio.gov

Email

901:11-3-06

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Hazard analysis and critical control point plan.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **917.02**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **917.02**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

Rule has been reviewed pursuant to R.C. 119.032, and is being amended to correct typographical errors discovered after the CSI review.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

The rule refers to a non-existent rule and is being corrected to right reference.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so. If applicable, indicate each specific paragraph of the rule that has been modified:

The RSFA, question 19c, was modified to reflect the required reporting of a HACCP plan.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **10/24/2012**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0.0

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

No fiscal impact.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

S.B. 2 (129th General Assembly) Questions

18. Has this rule been filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office pursuant to R.C. 121.82? **Yes**

19. Specific to this rule, answer the following:

A.) Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? **Yes**

Note that these rules were filed with CSI prior to the typographical change and the CFR reference being removed. The CSI documents attached date prior to that

change

This Chapter requires manufacture milk producers to be licensed and follow all safety standards; and provides for suspensions or revocations of licenses if the licensee is not in compliance with the rules.

B.) Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? **Yes**

This Chapter requires manufacture milk producers to be licensed and follow all safety standards; and provides for suspensions or revocations of licenses if the licensee is not in compliance with the rules.

C.) Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? **Yes**

The rule requires the reporting of a HACCP plan if food safety hazards are found by the manufacturer. The cost of the HACCP plan will vary depending on the size of the manufacturer's operation and the hazards identified.