Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis Part A - General Questions

Rule Number: 901:13-1-06

Rule Type: Rescission

Rule Title/Tagline: Flooding of animal feeding operations.

Agency Name: Department of Agriculture

Division: Soil and Water Conservation

Address: 8995 East Main Street Reynoldsburg OH 43068

Contact: David E Miran

Email: david.miran@agri.ohio.gov **Phone:** 614-728-6390

I. Rule Summary

- 1. Is this a five year rule review? Yes
 - A. What is the rule's five year review date? 3/5/2018
- 2. Is this rule the result of recent legislation? No
- 3. What statute is this rule being promulgated under? 119.03
- 4. What statute(s) grant rule writing authority? 939.02
- 5. What statute(s) does the rule implement or amplify? 939.02
- 6. What are the reasons for proposing the rule?

The rule is up for five year rule review.

7. Summarize the rule's content, and if this is an amended rule, also summarize the rule's changes.

OAC 901:13-1-06 states that operators shall prevent pollution caused from flooding of animal feeding operations. The existing rule is proposed to be rescinded and replaced by a new version. The rescission is due to the Legislative Service Commission's guide on administrative rule drafting which states that if more than 50% of an existing rule has been amended it shall be rescinded and replaced with a new version.

Page 2 Rule Number: **901:13-1-06**

- 8. Does the rule incorporate material by reference? No
- 9. If the rule incorporates material by reference and the agency claims the material is exempt pursuant to R.C. 121.71 to 121.76, please explain the basis for the exemption and how an individual can find the referenced material.

Not Applicable

10. If revising or re-filing the rule, please indicate the changes made in the revised or re-filed version of the rule.

Not Applicable

II. Fiscal Analysis

11. As a result of this proposed rule, please estimate the increase / decrease in revenues or expenditures affecting this agency, or the state generally, in the current biennium or future years. If the proposed rule is likely to have a different fiscal effect in future years, please describe the expected difference and operation.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0.00

Not applicable.

12. What are the estimated costs of compliance for all persons and/or organizations directly affected by the rule?

Operations must invest time and resources in implementing the best management practices outlined by the rules of this chapter. Individuals who violate a provision of ORC Chapter 939 and OAC Chapter 901:13-1 may be subject to civil penalties or be required to take corrective actions as outlined in these rule. There is no quantifiable impact for those individuals who remain in compliance with the standards in ORC Chapter 939 and OAC Chapter 901:13-1. However, individuals who do commit a violation of these rules may be subject to civil fines in amounts from \$250 to \$10,000. The amount of the violation depends on the particular rule violated, the severity of the violation, and any past history of non-compliance. Further, the quantified impact of corrective actions will depend entirely on the violation and the means to correct that violation. Implementation of these best management practices could result in overall cost savings through more efficient nutrient use and higher yields in their agricultural practices.

Page 3 Rule Number: **901:13-1-06**

13. Does the rule increase local government costs? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part B). No

14. Does the rule regulate environmental protection? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part C). Yes

III. Common Sense Initiative (CSI) Questions

- 15. Was this rule filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office? Yes
- 16. Does this rule have an adverse impact on business? Yes
 - A. Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? Yes

Animal feeding operations shall not be constructed such that manure will be inundated by the twenty-five year

B. Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? Yes

Operations must invest time and resources in implementing the best management practices outlined by the rules of this chapter. Individuals who violate a provision of ORC Chapter 939 and OAC Chapter 901:13-1 may be subject to civil penalties or be required to take corrective actions as outlined in these rule. There is no quantifiable impact for those individuals who remain in compliance with the standards in ORC Chapter 939 and OAC Chapter 901:13-1. However, individuals who do commit a violation of these rules may be subject to civil fines in amounts from \$250 to \$10,000. The amount of the violation depends on the particular rule violated, the severity of the violation, and any past history of non-compliance. Further, the quantified impact of corrective actions will depend entirely on the violation and the means to correct that violation. Implementation of these best management practices could result in overall cost savings through more efficient nutrient use and higher yields in their agricultural practices.

C. Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? No

DATE: 03/05/2018 3:25 PM

Page C-1 Rule Number: **901:13-1-06**

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis Part C - Environmental Rule Questions

Pursuant to Am. Sub. H.B. 106 of the 121st General Assembly, prior to adopting a rule or an amendment to a rule dealing with environmental protection, or containing a component dealing with environmental protection, a state agency shall:

- (1) Consult with organizations that represent political subdivisions, environmental interests, business interests, and other persons affected by the proposed rule or amendment.
- (2) Consider documentation relevant to the need for, the environmental benefits or consequences of, other benefits of, and the technological feasibility of the proposed rule or rule amendment.
- (3) Specifically identify whether the proposed rule or rule amendment is being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal environmental law or to participate in a federal environmental program, whether the proposed rule or rule amendment is more stringent than its federal counterpart, and, if the proposed rule or rule amendment is more stringent, the rationale for not incorporating its federal counterpart.
- (4) Include with the proposed rule or rule amendment and rule summary and fiscal analysis required to be filed with the Joint Committee on Agency Rule Review information relevant to the previously listed requirements.
- (A) Were organizations that represent political subdivisions, environmental interests, business interests, and other persons affected by the proposed rule or amendment consulted? Yes

Please list each contact.

Ohio Environmental Council Adam Rissien

Ohio State University Adam Ward

Ohio Ecological Food and Farm Association Amalie Lipstreu

Ohio Agribusiness Assoc. Andrew Allman

The Nature Conservancy Anthony Sasson

Capitol Consulting Belinda Jones

Ohio Turf Association Brian Laurent

County Commissioners Association of Ohio Brian Mead

Ohio Pork Producers Council Bryan Humphreys

Ohio Agribusiness Assoc. Chris Henney

Ohio Wine Producers Donniella Winchell

Ohio Beef Council/Ohio Cattlemen's Association Elizabeth Harsh

Ohio Farm Bureau Jack Irvin

Sierra Club, Ohio Chapter Jennifer Miller

The Nature Conservancy Jessica D'Ambrosio

Ohio Poultry Association Jim Chakeres

Page C-2 Rule Number: **901:13-1-06**

Ohio Farmers Union Joe Logan

Ohio Seed Improvement Assoc John Armstrong

Ohio Forestry Association John Dorka

The Nature Conservancy John Stark

Ohio Corn & Wheat John Torres

The Nature Conservancy Josh Knights

Environmental Defense Fund Katie Champan

Ohio Nursery Landscape Association Kevin Thompson

Ohio Soybean Council Kirk Merritt

Ohio Farm Bureau Larry Antosch

Ohio Farmers Union Linda Borton

Environmental Law & Policy Center Madeline Fleisher

Ohio Agribusiness Assoc. Margo Long

Lake Erie Foundation Matt Fisher

Ohio Forestry Association Michael Geary

Ohio Federation of Soil and Water Conservation Districts Mindy Bankey

Lake Erie Charter Boat Association Paul Pacholski

The Nature Conservancy Sara Madenwald

Ohio Dairy Producers Scott Higgins

Ohio Corn & Wheat Tadd Nicholson

Tom Price Chairperson, Ohio Soil and Water Conservation Commission

Fred Cash Vice Chairperson, Ohio Soil and Water Conservation Commission

Bill Knapke Member, Ohio Soil and Water Conservation Commission

Etta Reed Member. Ohio Soil and Water Conservation Commission

Bill Tom Member. Ohio Soil and Water Conservation Commission

Dennis Corcoran Member, Ohio Soil and Water Conservation Commission

Clark Sheets OFSWCD Appointee

James Zehringer (Represented by Jim Raab) Director, ODNR

Bruce A. McPherson (Represented by Scott Shearer), Vice President, OSU CFAES

David Daniels (Represented by Kevin Elder) Director, ODA

Craig W. Butler, (Represented by Russ Gibson), Director, Ohio EPA

Harold Neuenschwander OFSWCD Officer

Kirk Hines Chief, Division of Soil and Water, ODA

(B) Was documentation that is relevant to the need for, the environmental benefits or consequences of, other benefits of, and the technological feasibility of the proposed rule or amendment considered? Yes

Please list the information provided and attach a copy of each piece of documentation to this form. (A SUMMARY OR INDEX MAY BE ATTACHED IN LIEU OF THE ACTUAL DOCUMENTATION.)

Page C-3 Rule Number: **901:13-1-06**

Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Conservation Practice Standard, Nutrient Management, Code 590. A copy of which may be found here: https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb1192371.pdf

- NRCS, Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG). A copy of which may be found here: https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/
- (C) Is the proposed rule or rule amendment being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal environmental law or to participate in a federal environmental program? No
 - Is the proposed rule or rule amendment more stringent than its federal counterpart?

 Not Applicable
- (D) If this is a rule amendment that is being adopted under a state statute that establishes standards with which the amendment is to comply, is the proposed rule amendment more stringent than the rule that it is proposing to amend? No