

**Note:** Email completed form to jcarr1@jcarr.state.oh.us.

Hearing Date: 9/21/2018

Today's Date: 10/9/2018

Agency: Ohio Board of Nursing

Rule Number(s): 4723-1-03 (Board Organization and Records); 4723-8-11 (Youth Concussion Assessment and Clearance); 4723-9-10 (Formulary; Standards of Prescribing for Advanced Practice Registered Nurses Designated as Clinical Nurse Specialists, Certified Nurse-Midwives, or Certified Nurse Practitioners); 4723-9-12 (Standards and Procedures for Review of OARRS); 4723-23-03 (Dialysis Technician Certificate); and 4723-23-10 (Requirements for Approval and Reapproval of a Testing Organization that Conducts an Examination of a Dialysis Technician).

---

If no comments at the hearing, please check the box. ☐

---

List organizations or individuals giving or submitting testimony before, during or after the public hearing and indicate the rule number(s) in question.

1. Karin Grant.
2. Ohio Renal Association.
3. Click here to enter text.
4. Click here to enter text.
5. Click here to enter text.
6. Click here to enter text.
7. Click here to enter text.
8. Click here to enter text.
9. Click here to enter text.
10. Click here to enter text.
11. Click here to enter text.
12. Click here to enter text.
13. Click here to enter text.
14. Click here to enter text.
15. Click here to enter text.
16. Click here to enter text.

## Hearing Summary Report

### **Consolidated Summary of Comments Received**

Please review all comments received and complete a consolidated summary paragraph of the comments and indicate the rule number(s).

The Ohio Renal Association submitted comments on Rules 4723-23-03 and 4723-23-10, OAC, on September 18, 2018 (Hearing Exhibit 5). The comments were in support of the rule changes. Karin Grant appeared as an interested party at the hearing on September 21, 2018, to testify regarding Rule 4723-9-10, OAC. Ms. Grant stated the proposed rule changes related to chronic pain management with opioids were clearly written and appreciated that step-by-step approach. She stated the language is complex and was present today to ask two questions about how to implement the rules in the hospital setting. She was encouraged to contact Board practice staff with questions, and to look for FAQs and other written articles regarding the rule language. She asked if the language was similar to the chronic pain rule language proposed by the Medical Board. She was advised that while testimony could not be given at the rules hearing on this, the drafting intent was that the language mirror that for physicians in the Medical Board Rule. She stated she had no objections to the rule proposal.

## Hearing Summary Report

### **Incorporated Comments into Rule(s)**

Indicate how comments received during the hearing process were incorporated into the rule(s).  
If no comments were incorporated, explain why not.

No comments were incorporated as the commentators were supportive of the rule proposals and did not request changes.