DATE: 12/30/2019 9:27 AM



Common Sense Initiative

Mike DeWine, Governor Jon Husted, Lt. Governor

Carrie Kuruc, Director

Business Impact Analysis

Agency, Board, or Commission Name: <u>Department of Taxation</u>
Rule Contact Name and Contact Information:
Kerrie Ryan
Regulation/Package Title (a general description of the rules' substantive content):
Municipal Net Profit Tax Change in Taxable Year and Declaration of Estimated Taxes for Short Taxable Years
Rule Number(s): 5703-41-03
Date of Submission for CSI Review: 9/16/19
Public Comment Period End Date: 10/4/19
Rule Type/Number of Rules:
New/rules No Change/rules (FYR?)
Amended/_1 rules (FYR? _No) Rescinded/ rules (FYR?)

The Common Sense Initiative is established in R.C. 107.61 to eliminate excessive and duplicative rules and regulations that stand in the way of job creation. Under the Common Sense Initiative, agencies must balance the critical objectives of regulations that have an adverse impact on business with the costs of compliance by the regulated parties. Agencies should promote transparency, responsiveness, predictability, and flexibility while developing regulations that are fair and easy to follow. Agencies should prioritize compliance over punishment, and to that end, should utilize plain language in the development of regulations.

77 SOUTH HIGH STREET | 30TH FLOOR | COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215-6117

CSIPublicComments@governor.ohio.gov

BIA p(187236) pa(329338) d; (747354) print date; 05/01/2024 6:18 PM

Reason for Submission

R.C. 106.03 and 106.031 require agencies, when reviewing a rule, to determine whether the rule has an adverse impact on businesses as defined by R.C. 107.52. If the agency determines that it does, it must complete a business impact analysis and submit the rule for CSI review.
 Which adverse impact(s) to businesses has the agency determined the rule(s) create?
 The rule(s):

 Requires a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business.
 Imposes a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or creates a cause of action for failure to comply with its terms.
 Requires specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance.
 Is likely to directly reduce the revenue or increase the expenses of the lines of

Regulatory Intent

2. Please briefly describe the draft regulation in plain language.

business to which it will apply or applies.

Please include the key provisions of the regulation as well as any proposed amendments.

The rule is being revised due to a change in the law with respect to the definition of "taxable year" made by the 132nd General Assembly in S.B. 51. This rule provides guidance for taxpayers that have a short taxable year as to when a declaration of estimated taxes must be filed and provides that taxpayers must notify the tax commissioner if they change their taxable year. The amendment deletes examples that are no longer necessary and/or accurate due to the change in the law.

3. Please list the Ohio statute(s) that authorize the agency, board or commission to adopt the rule(s) and the statute(s) that amplify that authority.

5703.05, 718.80, 718.88

4. Does the regulation implement a federal requirement? Is the proposed regulation being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal law or to participate in a federal program?

77 SOUTH HIGH STREET | 30TH FLOOR | COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215-6117

If yes, please briefly explain the source and substance of the federal requirement. No.

5. If the regulation includes provisions not specifically required by the federal government, please explain the rationale for exceeding the federal requirement.

N/A

6. What is the public purpose for this regulation (i.e., why does the Agency feel that there needs to be any regulation in this area at all)?

This rule is necessary to require taxpayers with short taxable years to file declarations of estimated taxes and to require taxpayers to advise the Department of any change in taxable year. The revision is necessary to ensure the rule is consistent with current law.

7. How will the Agency measure the success of this regulation in terms of outputs and/or outcomes?

The Department will measure the success of the new rule by the quantity and character of questions received from taxpayers, practitioners, and municipalities regarding the topics covered in the rule.

8. Are any of the proposed rules contained in this rule package being submitted pursuant to R.C. 101.352, 101.353, 106.032, 121.93, or 121.931?

If yes, please specify the rule number(s), the specific R.C. section requiring this submission, and a detailed explanation.

No.

Development of the Regulation

9. Please list the stakeholders included by the Agency in the development or initial review of the draft regulation.

If applicable, please include the date and medium by which the stakeholders were initially contacted.

The Department posted the proposed rule on its website and sent an email seeking comments on the proposed rule to subscribers of the Department's Tax Alert notification system. The rule was posted, and the email was sent on August 22, 2019, and invited comment through September 5, 2019.

10. What input was provided by the stakeholders, and how did that input affect the draft regulation being proposed by the Agency?

The Department did not receive any comments on the proposed rule.

11. What scientific data was used to develop the rule or the measurable outcomes of the rule? How does this data support the regulation being proposed?

N/A

12. What alternative regulations (or specific provisions within the regulation) did the Agency consider, and why did it determine that these alternatives were not appropriate? If none, why didn't the Agency consider regulatory alternatives?

The Department did not consider alternative regulations. The Department did not consider regulatory alternatives because for guidance from the Department to be binding upon taxpayers, the guidance must be done through regulations. See *Progressive Plastics, Inc. v. Testa*, 113 Ohio St.3d 490, 2012-Ohio-4759, and *Renacci v. Testa*, 148 Ohio St.3d 470, 2016-Ohio-3394.

13. Did the Agency specifically consider a performance-based regulation? Please explain. Performance-based regulations define the required outcome, but don't dictate the process the regulated stakeholders must use to achieve compliance.

No. The rules are not conducive to performance-based measures.

14. What measures did the Agency take to ensure that this regulation does not duplicate an existing Ohio regulation?

A review of the Department's existing rules reveals that the rules do not duplicate any existing rules.

15. Please describe the Agency's plan for implementation of the regulation, including any measures to ensure that the regulation is applied consistently and predictably for the regulated community.

Once approved, a tax alert will be sent to inform the community that the revised rule is effective. A link to the rule will be posted on the Department's website. Additionally, information regarding the state-administered municipal net profit tax, including general information and FAQs, is maintained on the Department's municipal net profit tax webpage. The Department will train staff on the rules to ensure the rule is applied consistently and predictably.

Adverse Impact to Business

- 16. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule. Specifically, please do the following:
 - a. Identify the scope of the impacted business community; and

Taxpayers electing to file the municipal net profit tax return with the tax commissioner pursuant to R.C. 718.80.

77 SOUTH HIGH STREET | 30TH FLOOR | COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215-6117

b. Identify the nature of all adverse impact (e.g., fees, fines, employer time for compliance,); and

No adverse impact is anticipated due to revision of this rule as the election to file with the tax commissioner is optional and the law itself is intended to benefit businesses by providing a centralized electronic filing option for the municipal net profit tax.

c. Quantify the expected adverse impact from the regulation.

The adverse impact can be quantified in terms of dollars, hours to comply, or other factors; and may be estimated for the entire regulated population or for a "representative business." Please include the source for your information/estimated impact.

N/A

17. Why did the Agency determine that the regulatory intent justifies the adverse impact to the regulated business community?

No adverse impact is anticipated from these regulations.

Regulatory Flexibility

18. Does the regulation provide any exemptions or alternative means of compliance for small businesses? Please explain.

The regulation does not have any adverse impact on businesses—big or small.

19. How will the agency apply Ohio Revised Code section 119.14 (waiver of fines and penalties for paperwork violations and first-time offenders) into implementation of the regulation?

N/A. The rule does not contain a provision for the imposition of a fine or penalty for non-compliance.

20. What resources are available to assist small businesses with compliance of the regulation?

Assistance with municipal net profit tax compliance is available through contact with the Business Tax Division of the Department via telephone by calling 1-844-238-0403 or by emailing MNPTax@tax.state.oh.us.