

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Department of Aging**

Agency Name

Division

Tom Simmons

Contact

**50 West Broad Street 9th floor Columbus OH
43215-3363**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-728-2548

Phone

Fax

173-3-01

Rule Number

RESCISSION

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Cost-sharing requirements.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **173.02; 173.04; 173.392; Section 305 (a)(1)(C) of the Older Americans Act of 1965, 79 Stat. 210, 42 U.S.C. 3001, as amended in 2006; 45 C.F.R. 1321.11**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **Section 315 of the Older Americans Act of 1965, 79 Stat. 210, 42 U.S.C. 3001, as amended in 2006, section 203.20 of Am. Sub. H.B. No. 119 of the 127th General Assembly**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

ODA is proposing this new rule as part of a larger effort to codify ODA's standards for agreements between AAAs and providers who are not providing services under

a Medicaid-funded program (i.e., providers who are non-certified providers under section 173.392 of the Revised Code). This effort will bring ODA into compliance with sections 173.04 and 173.392 of the Revised Code, Section 305 (a)(1)(C) of the Older Americans Act, and 45 C.F.R. 1321.11.

As part this effort, ODA has decided to present the rules of this chapter in a new order. Accordingly, ODA is proposing to rescind this rule on consumer cost-sharing policies and, simultaneously, ODA is proposing to adopt new rule 173-3-07 of the Administrative Code as the new rule on consumer cost-sharing policies.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; if the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

This rule being proposed for rescission implements the cost-sharing provisions of Section 315 of the Older Americans Act of 1965 and found in state budget language, such as delineating which services are subject to cost sharing and which services are not subject to cost sharing and what the suggested contribution is for a consumer based upon the consumer's self-reported income.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not

incorporate a text or other materials by reference.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

On January 5, 2009, ODA refiled this rule so that it would be refiled on the same day as the other proposed rules of chapter 173-3 of the Administrative Code. No changes were made to the rule.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **11/21/2008**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

ODA does not anticipate that the proposed rescission of this rule would have any impact on the biennial budget established for ODA by the General Assembly because this proposed new rule should not create any expenses unforeseen when the General Assembly appropriate funds to ODA in Am. Sub. H. B. No. 119 (127th G. A.). This is especially true since ODA is simultaneously proposing to adopt a substantially similar rule.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

322-490-618 Federal Aging Grants.

3M4-490-612 Federal Independence Services.

GRF-490-411 Senior Community Services.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

ODA estimates that there will be no cost of compliance associated with the proposed rescission of this rule, especially because it is being replaced by a substantially similar rule under a different rule number (proposed new rule 173-3-07 of the Administrative Code).

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**