

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Department of Aging**

Agency Name

Division

Tom Simmons

Contact

**50 West Broad Street 9th floor Columbus OH
43215-3363**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-728-2548

Phone

Fax

173-6-06

Rule Number

RESCISSION

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Applicant information and mailings.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **173.02, 173.06**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **173.06, 173.061**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

ODA has reviewed this rule in accordance with Sub. H. B. No. 473 (121st G. A.) and section 119.032 of the Revised Code, which require a state agency to review each rule every five years to determine whether to continue without change, to amend, or to rescind a rule. Additionally, in accordance with Am. Sub. H. B. No. 468 (126th G. A.), ODA needs to delete the language in this rule that concerns a prescription discount program. If the resultant rule would have been filed as a proposed amended rule, over fifty per cent of the language would have been amended language. Therefore, in accordance with the "fifty per cent guideline" in the Fourth Edition of the LSC Rule Drafting Manual (May, 2006), ODA is now proposing to rescind this rule and, simultaneously, to replace it with proposed new

rule 173-6-06 of the Administrative Code.

A draft of this rule was posted on the ODA web site for a public comment period on April 11, 2007. Because ODA received no objections to this proposed rescission, ODA is now proposing this rule for rescission.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

This rule proposed for rescission concerns the public records status of information obtained from cardholders and also allows ODA to maintain those records and use them for the purposes of mailings.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other materials by reference.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

Not Applicable.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: 4/16/2007

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

ODA anticipates that this proposed recission will have no impact upon the budget of ODA established by the Ohio General Assembly.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

GRF-490-405 Golden Buckeye Card

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

ODA does not anticipate any cost of compliance to any individual or entity concerning compliance with this rule that is being proposed for recission.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

