

**Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)****Department of Health**

Agency Name

Division

**Lance D. Himes**

Contact

**246 North High St. Columbus OH 43215-0000**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

**614-466-4882**

Phone

Fax

**3701-17-06**

Rule Number

**NEW**

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

**Responsibility of operator and nursing home administrator; quality assurance committee; notice to residents.****RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **No**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **3721.04**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **3721.01, 3721.011, 3721.012, 3721.02, 3721.021, 3721.022, 3721.023, 3721.024, 3721.026, 3721.027, 3721.03, 3721.031, 3721.032, 3721.04, 3721.041, 3721.05, 3721.051, 3721.06, 3721.07, 3721.071, 3721.08, 3721.09, 3721.10, 3721.11, 3721.12, 3721.121, 3721.13, 3721.14, 3721.15, 3721.16, 3721.161, 3721.162, 3721.17, 3721.18, 3721.19, 3721.21, 3721.22, 3721.23, 3721.24, 3721.25, 3721.26, 3721.28, 3721.29, 3721.30, 3721.31, 3721.32, 3721.33**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

With the approval of the Public Health Council, ODH is proposing to this new rule as part of the five year review process.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

This rule outlines the responsibilities of the nursing home administrator and the operator, as well as the composition of the quality assurance committee. Due to the number of changes needed, the current rule is proposed for rescission and this new rule proposed in its place. Those changes include 1) reformatting for ease of reading; 2) allowing electronic reporting of suspected abuse, neglect, and misappropriation; and 3) requiring nursing home administrators to be part of the nursing home quality assurance committee. Also, if the nursing home is physically part of a hospital, the new rule requires informing the prospective resident prior to admission, that the nursing home is not part of the acute care service of the hospital.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This rule references sections of the Ohio Revised Code and Administrative Codes. The O.R.C. and O.A.C. are generally available in libraries and on the internet to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

Ohio Revised Code 121.76 exempts agencies from filing copies of Ohio law and regulations cited in the text of a rule.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

*Not Applicable.*

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

2/3/2012: No changes were made to this rule subsequent to the original filing; the rule was TBRed following the December 1, 2011 Public Health Council meeting to keep it on the same filing schedule as a few of the rules Council thought needed further consideration.

2/7/2012: At the request of JCARR, ODH TBRed this rule and is re-filing it today to put it on the March 8th JCARR agenda.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date:

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

Not applicable

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

There is a possible cost for an administrator to participate in Quality Assurance committee meetings at a median cost of \$37.34 per hour. [Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics occupation code 11-9111 for Medical and Health Service Managers]

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**