

## Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis

### Part A - General Questions

**Rule Number:** 3701:1-58-21

**Rule Type:** New

**Rule Title/Tagline:** Training for experienced radiation safety officer, teletherapy or medical physicist, authorized medical physicist, authorized user, nuclear pharmacist, and authorized nuclear pharmacist.

**Agency Name:** Department of Health

**Division:** Radiation Control

**Address:** 246 North High St Columbus OH 43215

**Contact:** Alicyn Carrel **Phone:** 614-752-8451

**Email:** alicyn.carrel@odh.ohio.gov

#### I. Rule Summary

1. Is this a five year rule review? No
  - A. What is the rule's five year review date?
2. Is this rule the result of recent legislation? No
3. What statute is this rule being promulgated under? 119.03
4. What statute(s) grant rule writing authority? 3748.04
5. What statute(s) does the rule implement or amplify? 3748.04
6. What are the reasons for proposing the rule?

The purpose of the rule is to keep Ohio rules compatible with federal regulations and to satisfy the 5-year rule filing requirement.

7. Summarize the rule's content, and if this is an amended rule, also summarize the rule's changes.

This rule exempts experienced radiation safety officers, teletherapy or medical physicists, authorized medical physicists, authorized users, nuclear pharmacists, and

authorized nuclear pharmacists from the requirements for the training outlined in other rules in OAC Chapter 3701:1-58.

The draft rule updates training requirements for experienced personnel.

8. **Does the rule incorporate material by reference?** Yes
9. **If the rule incorporates material by reference and the agency claims the material is exempt pursuant to R.C. 121.75, please explain the basis for the exemption and how an individual can find the referenced material.**

Rules 3701:1-58-18, -19, -20, and -32 to -71 of the Ohio Administrative Code, which are available to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule.

RC 121.75(A)(1)(d)

10. **If revising or re-filing the rule, please indicate the changes made in the revised or re-filed version of the rule.**

*Not Applicable*

## **II. Fiscal Analysis**

11. **Please estimate the increase / decrease in the agency's revenues or expenditures in the current biennium due to this rule.**

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0.00

Not Applicable.

12. **What are the estimated costs of compliance for all persons and/or organizations directly affected by the rule?**

Not Applicable.

13. **Does the rule increase local government costs? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part B). No**

14. **Does the rule regulate environmental protection? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part C). No**

15. If the rule imposes a regulation fee, explain how the fee directly relates to your agency's cost in regulating the individual or business.

Not Applicable.

### **III. Common Sense Initiative (CSI) Questions**

16. Was this rule filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office? Yes

17. Does this rule have an adverse impact on business? No

- A. Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? No
- B. Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? No
- C. Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? No
- D. Is it likely that the rule will directly reduce the revenue or increase the expenses of the lines of business of which it will apply or applies? No

### **IV. Regulatory Restrictions (This section only applies to agencies indicated in R.C. 121.95 (A))**

18. Are you adding a new or removing an existing regulatory restriction as defined in R.C. 121.95? No

- A. How many new regulatory restrictions do you propose adding?

Not Applicable

- B. How many existing regulatory restrictions do you propose removing?

Not Applicable