ACTION: No Change

DATE: 10/28/2014 3:49 PM

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)

Ohio Uniform Food Safety Code

Agency Name

Kaye Norton

Division

Contact

246 North High Street Columbus OH 43215-0000

<u>614-644-8184</u>

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

Phone

Fax

Kaye.Norton@odh.ohio.gov

Email

<u>3717-1-07</u> <u>NO CHANGE</u>

Rule Number TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line Poisonous or toxic materials: labeling and identification.

RULE SUMMARY

- 1. Is the rule being filed for five year review (FYR)? Yes
- 2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? No
- 3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: 119.03
- 4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: 3717.05
- 5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **3717.05**
- 6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

This rule has been reviewed pursuant to five-year review requirements, and is proposed without change.

- 7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:
 - O.A.C. rule 3717-1-07 sets forth the labeling and identification requirements for containers of poisonous or toxic materials that may be present in a license holder's

Page 2 Rule Number: 3717-1-07

establishment. The rule is proposed without change.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so. If applicable, indicate each specific paragraph of the rule that has been modified:

Not Applicable.

12. Five Year Review (FYR) Date: 10/28/2014 and 10/15/2019

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

Page 3 Rule Number: 3717-1-07

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

Not applicable

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

The cost associated with this rule for the facility would be the staff time needed to properly label working containers of toxic materials such as a sanitizer bottle.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **Yes**

You must complete Part B of the Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis in order to comply with Am. Sub. S.B. 33 of the 120th General Assembly.

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

S.B. 2 (129th General Assembly) Questions

- 18. Has this rule been filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office pursuant to R.C. 121.82? Yes
- 19. Specific to this rule, answer the following:
- A.) Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? N_0

Page 4 Rule Number: 3717-1-07

B.) Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? N_0

C.) Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? Yes

Costs for a facility would involve staff time to ensure that toxic or poisonous materials are properly labeled and identified.

Page B-1 Rule Number: 3717-1-07

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part B)

1. Does the Proposed rule have a fiscal effect on any of the following?

(a) School (b) Counties (c) Townships (d) Municipal Corporations

Yes No No No

2. Please provide an estimate in dollars of the cost of compliance with the proposed rule for school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations. If you are unable to provide an estimate in dollars, please provide a written explanation of why it is not possible to provide such an estimate.

The cost associated with this rule for the facility would be the staff time associated with the proper labeling of the working containers of toxic materials and the cost, time to ordering materials to label the containers, and the working containers. Cost will vary depending on the number of working containers of toxic materials, such as a sanitizer bottle, that would need labeled. Cost of a working container ranges from \$1.75 and up one container.

- 3. If the proposed rule is the result of a federal requirement, does the proposed rule exceed the scope and intent of the federal requirement? **No**
- 4. If the proposed rule exceeds the minimum necessary federal requirement, please provide an estimate of, and justification for, the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement. In particular, please provide an estimate of the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement for (a) school districts, (b) counties, (c) townships, and (d) municipal corporations.

Not Applicable.

5. Please provide a comprehensive cost estimate for the proposed rule that includes the procedure and method used for calculating the cost of compliance. This comprehensive cost estimate should identify all of the major cost categories including, but not limited to, (a) personnel costs, (b) new equipment or other capital costs, (c) operating costs, and (d) any indirect central service costs.

Costs would include the time to purchase and label the containers of toxic material, and the cost of the container.

Page B-2 Rule Number: 3717-1-07

(a) Personnel Costs

None

(b) New Equipment or Other Capital Costs

Cost of purchasing the working containers. Number of containers will vary per facility. Cost for one working container is \$1.75 and up.

(c) Operating Costs

Staff cost associated with labeling and purchasing containers will vary depending on the number of working containers in the facility.

(d) Any Indirect Central Service Costs

None

(e) Other Costs

None

6. Please provide a written explanation of the agency's and the local government's ability to pay for the new requirements imposed by the proposed rule.

No impact

7. Please provide a statement on the proposed rule's impact on economic development.

Economic impact would be time lost by the facility to order and label working containers that are used for storage of toxic material. However if these containers are not properly labeled then it could be mixed up and used for consumption causing an individual or individuals to become ill.