

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis

Part A - General Questions

Rule Number: 3745-513-350

Rule Type: New

Rule Title/Tagline: Implementation requirements for modern and historic facilities.

Agency Name: Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

Division: Division of Materials and Waste Management (DMWM)

Address: 50 West Town St., Suite 700 PO Box 1049 Columbus OH 43216-1049

Contact: Michelle Mountjoy **Phone:** 614-728-5372

Email: michelle.mountjoy@epa.ohio.gov

I. Rule Summary

1. Is this a five year rule review? No
 - A. What is the rule's five year review date?
2. Is this rule the result of recent legislation? No
3. What statute is this rule being promulgated under? 119.03
4. What statute(s) grant rule writing authority? 3734.02
5. What statute(s) does the rule implement or amplify? 3734.01, 3734.02
6. What are the reasons for proposing the rule?

This rule is being filed to satisfy the five year rule review requirements of Ohio Revised Code (ORC) section 106.03.

7. Summarize the rule's content, and if this is an amended rule, also summarize the rule's changes.

This rule establishes the implementation requirements for any person engaging in filling, grading, excavating, building, drilling, or mining on land where a hazardous waste facility or a solid waste facility was operated.

8. Does the rule incorporate material by reference? Yes

9. **If the rule incorporates material by reference and the agency claims the material is exempt pursuant to R.C. 121.71 to 121.76, please explain the basis for the exemption and how an individual can find the referenced material.**

This rule contains references to the ORC. While copies of these statutes are generally available to the public through libraries and on-line sources, including the Ohio EPA website, ORC section 121.76 (A) exempts such references from the provisions of ORC sections 121.71 through 121.75.

10. **If revising or re-filing the rule, please indicate the changes made in the revised or re-filed version of the rule.**

Not Applicable

II. Fiscal Analysis

11. **As a result of this proposed rule, please estimate the increase / decrease in revenues or expenditures affecting this agency, or the state generally, in the current biennium or future years. If the proposed rule is likely to have a different fiscal effect in future years, please describe the expected difference and operation.**

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0.00

Not Applicable.

12. **What are the estimated costs of compliance for all persons and/or organizations directly affected by the rule?**

Although there is a cost of compliance associated with this rule, any estimate must account for a range of significant variables. The cost will naturally vary depending on the size and complexity of the project, and would include expenditures related to the evaluation of any waste removed from the facility and the restoration of the facility cap.

13. **Does the rule increase local government costs? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part B). Yes**
14. **Does the rule regulate environmental protection? (If yes, you must complete an RSFA Part C). Yes**

III. Common Sense Initiative (CSI) Questions

15. Was this rule filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office? Yes

16. Does this rule have an adverse impact on business? Yes

A. Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? No

B. Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? Yes

Filling, grading, excavating, building, drilling, or mining on land where a hazardous waste facility or solid waste facility was operated without obtaining an authorization as required by this rule could result in a penalty or sanction on the persons conducting the activities.

C. Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? Yes

This rule requires expenditures related to conducting filling, grading, excavating, building, drilling, or mining on land where a hazardous waste facility or solid waste facility was operated. Cost are identified in question number twelve.

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis

Part B - Local Governments Questions

1. Does the rule increase costs for:

A. Public School Districts	No
B. County Government	Yes
C. Township Government	Yes
D. City and Village Governments	Yes

2. Please estimate the total cost, in dollars, of compliance with the rule for the affected local government(s). If you cannot give a dollar cost, explain how the local government is financially impacted.

This rule will only impose a cost to counties, townships, or municipal corporations that voluntarily choose to engage in filling, grading, excavating, building, drilling, or mining on land where a hazardous waste facility or solid waste facility was operated. Comprehensive costs are outlined in the RSFA Part A document, as well as the Business Impact Analysis.

3. Is this rule the result of a federal government requirement? No

- A. If yes, does this rule do more than the federal government requires? *Not Applicable***
- B. If yes, what are the costs, in dollars, to the local government for the regulation that exceeds the federal government requirement?**

Not Applicable

4. Please provide an estimated cost of compliance for the proposed rule if it has an impact on the following:

A. Personnel Costs

See Above.

B. New Equipment or Other Capital Costs

See Above.

C. Operating Costs

See Above.

D. Any Indirect Central Service Costs

See Above.

E. Other Costs

See Above.

5. Please explain how the local government(s) will be able to pay for the increased costs associated with the rule.

A county, township, or municipal corporation will incur costs only in the event that the county, township, or municipal corporation voluntarily chooses to engage in filling, grading, excavating, building, drilling, or mining on land where a hazardous waste or solid waste facility was operated.

6. What will be the impact on economic development, if any, as the result of this rule?

There is no anticipated impact on economic development related to this rule filing.

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis

Part C - Environmental Rule Questions

Pursuant to Am. Sub. H.B. 106 of the 121st General Assembly, prior to adopting a rule or an amendment to a rule dealing with environmental protection, or containing a component dealing with environmental protection, a state agency shall:

- (1) Consult with organizations that represent political subdivisions, environmental interests, business interests, and other persons affected by the proposed rule or amendment.
- (2) Consider documentation relevant to the need for, the environmental benefits or consequences of, other benefits of, and the technological feasibility of the proposed rule or rule amendment.
- (3) Specifically identify whether the proposed rule or rule amendment is being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal environmental law or to participate in a federal environmental program, whether the proposed rule or rule amendment is more stringent than its federal counterpart, and, if the proposed rule or rule amendment is more stringent, the rationale for not incorporating its federal counterpart.
- (4) Include with the proposed rule or rule amendment and rule summary and fiscal analysis required to be filed with the Joint Committee on Agency Rule Review information relevant to the previously listed requirements.

(A) Were organizations that represent political subdivisions, environmental interests, business interests, and other persons affected by the proposed rule or amendment consulted? Yes

Please list each contact.

Interested/affected parties were contacted via listserv to inform them of the process that would be used to obtain input on this rule. Concepts regarding the content of the rule and a copy of the rule were posted on a dedicated Ohio EPA, Division of Materials and Waste Management website. Throughout the development of the rule, impacted parties were invited to submit comments on the approach being taken in the evaluation of the rule. A list of interested parties is available upon request.

Additionally, once the rule is filed with JCARR, a public notice through the Register of Ohio will be provided in accordance with ORC section 119.03.

(B) Was documentation that is relevant to the need for, the environmental benefits or consequences of, other benefits of, and the technological feasibility of the proposed rule or amendment considered? Yes

Please list the information provided and attach a copy of each piece of documentation to this form. (A SUMMARY OR INDEX MAY BE ATTACHED IN LIEU OF THE ACTUAL DOCUMENTATION.)

Internally, Ohio EPA reviewed the existing rule and authorizing statute in accordance with ORC section 106.03 in the evaluation of this rule. Ohio EPA considered other regulatory and non-regulatory approaches when evaluating this rule and also considered enforceability and criteria from the internal procedures document titled, "The Division of Solid and Infectious Waste Management's Rule Writing Manual."

Ohio EPA released the rule for an interested party comment period, and solicited comments from both the public and industry.

- (C) Is the proposed rule or rule amendment being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal environmental law or to participate in a federal environmental program? No**

Is the proposed rule or rule amendment more stringent than its federal counterpart?
Not Applicable

- (D) If this is a rule amendment that is being adopted under a state statute that establishes standards with which the amendment is to comply, is the proposed rule amendment more stringent than the rule that it is proposing to amend? No**