

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Counselor, Social Worker, and Marriage and Family Therapist Board**

Agency Name

Division

James R. Rough

Contact

**50 West Broad Street Suite 1075 Columbus OH
43215-5919**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-752-5161

Phone

614-728-7790

Fax

4757-11-01

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

**Denial, and disciplinary action for licenses or certificates of
registration issued by the counselor, social worker, and
marriage and family therapist board.****RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **4757.10**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **4757.36**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

Five year rule review

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

Deleted a duplicative paragraph and a minor change in grammar.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

None - refiled to maintain rule package integrity.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **6/12/2009**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase /**

decrease either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0.00

No change in board processes

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

899-609

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

Cost of compliance is nil for those who follow the rules. Licensees being disciplined can encounter significant costs depending on the severity or complexity of the infraction. ORC 119 hearings with an attorney can cost significant sums and take their time away from their livelihood. Most infractions result in consent agreements or letters of caution for minor infractions. Those that do go to hearings on average cost the licensee \$2,000.00 in attorney fees and lost income.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**