

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Chemical Dependency Professionals Board**

Agency Name

Division

Robert C. Field

Contact

**37 West Broad Streed Suite 785 Columbus OH
43215-4132**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-387-1113

Phone

Fax

4758-5-06

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Requirements for certification of registered applicants (RA).**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **4758.20**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **4758.22, 4758.24, 4758.44, 4758.45, 4758.53**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

Because the OCDP Board voted to eliminate the preceptorship requirement for prevention certification the method of becoming a registered applicant by completing a preceptor registration form is no longer valid.

There are indications that the requirement for a lapsed registered applicant to wait two years before being allowed to reapply has contributed to a greater likelihood that an individual will not remain in the prevention field. Additionally, the implementation of prevention program standards by the Ohio Department of Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services (ODADAS) has generated concern on the

part of the Department, as well as some county alcohol and drug addiction services boards and prevention services providers, about the ability of programs to retain prevention certified staff and keep programs compliant with ODADAS?? prevention program standards.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

The OCDP Board is amending this rule to eliminate, as an option, the completion of a preceptor registration form and the submission of the required fee to become a registered applicant. This is due to the Board??s decision to eliminate preceptorship as a requirement for the OCPS I and II credential. The completion of a registered applicant form and submitting it with the required fee will be the only requirement to become a registered applicant.

The second change eliminates the requirement that if an individual allows their registered applicant status to lapse they must wait two years before they can reapply to be a registered applicant. This provision is amended so that instead of waiting two years to reapply a registered applicant in danger of lapsing is allowed to reapply to be a registered applicant prior to the certification lapse date by completing a registered applicant form and submitting it with the required fee.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by

reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

Not Applicable.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **1/12/2007**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase/decrease** either **revenues/ expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will increase revenues.

\$1,000.00

The elimination of the two year waiting period and allowing registered applicants to reapply prior to their lapse date is estimated to enable approximately 100 people per year to reapply rather than face a two year waiting period. The Board charges \$10.00 to apply for a registered applicant certification.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not Applicable

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your

information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

Not Applicable

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **No**

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**