Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)

Department Of Job And Family Services Agency Name

Division Of Public Assistance

Beth Vogel Contact

 30 East Broad St. 31st Floor Columbus OH 43215 614-466-4605
 752-8298

 0423
 Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)
 Phone
 Fax

5101:1-23-70 Rule Number

RESCISSION

Rule Title/Tag Line

OWF: erroneous payment.

RULE SUMMARY

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? Yes

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? No

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5107.05**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **5107.05**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

This rule is being rescinded and is being replaced by new rules 5101:1-23-70, 5101:1-23-70.1, and 5101:1-23-70.2. The rule is being rescinded and replaced by new rules for clarity.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

This rule sets forth policy regarding the identification, computation and collection

Page 2

of erroneous TANF/OWF and AFDC erroneous payments.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other materials by reference.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so:

Not Applicable.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: 9/16/2003

(If you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: At time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

- 0 -

The rescission of this rule and the replacement of it by new rules 5101:1-23-70, 5101:1-23-70.1, and 5101:1-23-70.2 should have no fiscal impact on the agency's current biennium budget. There are no substantive changes being made in the new rules - the only changes that are made are formatting changes for clarity and ease of identification of applicable policy.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

There are no expenditures necessitated by the rescission of this rule and/or its replacement with new rules 5101:1-23-70, 5101:1-23-70.1, and 5101:1-23-70.2.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

There should be no cost of compliance with the rescission of this rule nor with the replacement of this rule by new rules 5101:1-23-70, 5101:1-23-70.1 and 5101:1-23-70.2.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? No

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? No