

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)**Ohio Department of Medicaid**

Agency Name

Division

Tommi Potter

Contact

**50 West Town Street Suite 400 Columbus OH
43218-2709**

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

614-752-3877

Phone

Fax

Tommi.Potter@medicaid.ohio.gov

Email

5160-1-05.1

Rule Number

AMENDMENT

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

Payment for "Medicare Part C" cost sharing.**RULE SUMMARY**

1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? **Yes**

2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? **No**

3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: **119.03**

4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: **5164.02**

5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: **5164.02**

6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

This rule is being reviewed to meet the requirements of five-year rule review.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

Rule 5160-1-05.1 describes ODM reimbursement methodology for qualified Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries who are receiving medical services through Medicare Part C Medicare Advantage Plans. ODM generally pays the lesser of the sum of the cost sharing provided by the Part C plan, the difference between the plans' payment and the Medicaid allowable amount for the same service, or the Medicaid liability for the cost sharing had the service been rendered under Part A or Part B.

There are no proposed changes to this rule, other than to correct a typographical error.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so. If applicable, indicate each specific paragraph of the rule that has been modified:

No changes made from the previous filed version of this rule.

12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: **8/5/2014**

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

0.00

No impact on the agency's budget for the current biennium.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

There are no additional costs of compliance from this rule.

16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations? **Yes**

You must complete Part B of the Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis in order to comply with Am. Sub. S.B. 33 of the 120th General Assembly.

17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39? **No**

S.B. 2 (129th General Assembly) Questions

18. Has this rule been filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office pursuant to R.C. 121.82? **Yes**

19. Specific to this rule, answer the following:

A.) Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business? **No**

B.) Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms? **No**

C.) Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? **Yes**

The rule requires providers who bill Part C crossovers and are in capitation arrangement with a Medicare Advantage plan to maintain and produce upon request documentation regarding the cost sharing arrangements under such agreement.

Page B-1

Rule Number: 5160-1-05.1

Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part B)

1. Does the Proposed rule have a fiscal effect on any of the following?

(a) School
Districts

(b) Counties

(c) Townships

(d) Municipal
Corporations

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

2. Please provide an estimate in dollars of the cost of compliance with the proposed rule for school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations. If you are unable to provide an estimate in dollars, please provide a written explanation of why it is not possible to provide such an estimate.

Local governments enrolled as Medicaid providers may have negligible compliance costs as a result of this rule. Creating an accurate estimate, however, of the impact of the change is not possible because local governments do not disclose to ODM the relationships between their providers and Medicare Advantage Plans. Regardless, compliance costs associated with this rule will be more than offset by the reimbursement received by the local governments for rendered services.

3. If the proposed rule is the result of a federal requirement, does the proposed rule exceed the scope and intent of the federal requirement? **No**

4. If the proposed rule exceeds the minimum necessary federal requirement, please provide an estimate of, and justification for, the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement. In particular, please provide an estimate of the excess costs that exceed the cost of the federal requirement for (a) school districts, (b) counties, (c) townships, and (d) municipal corporations.

Not Applicable.

5. Please provide a comprehensive cost estimate for the proposed rule that includes the procedure and method used for calculating the cost of compliance. This comprehensive cost estimate should identify all of the major cost categories including, but not limited to, (a) personnel costs, (b) new equipment or other capital costs, (c) operating costs, and (d) any indirect central service costs.

No impact can be determined at this time.

(a) Personnel Costs

Not applicable

(b) New Equipment or Other Capital Costs

Not applicable

(c) Operating Costs

Not applicable

(d) Any Indirect Central Service Costs

Not applicable

(e) Other Costs

Not applicable

6. Please provide a written explanation of the agency's and the local government's ability to pay for the new requirements imposed by the proposed rule.

There are no new costs to the agency or local governments as the rule is not being substantively amended.

7. Please provide a statement on the proposed rule's impact on economic development.

This proposed rule has no discernible impact on economic development.