

Hearing Date: 12/16/2020

Today's Date: 12/23/2020

Agency: ODNR, Division of Parks & Watercraft

Rule Number(s): 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-18, 1501:47-3-19, 1501:47-3-97, 1501:47-4-03, 1501:47-4-04, 1501:47-6-03, 1501:47-7-18, 1501:47-9-02, 1501:47-9-04

If no comments at the hearing, please check the box. ☐

List organizations or individuals giving or submitting testimony before, during or after the public hearing and indicate the rule number(s) in question.

1. Sergey Brodsky; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
2. Len Brodsky; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
3. Joseph Burk; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
4. Valerii Gutnov; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
5. Yulia Kabadze; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
6. Alex Lee; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
7. Stephanie Marker; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
8. Connor Murphy; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
9. Richard Potter; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
10. Mariya Sambir; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
11. Heather Shriver; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
12. Allison Sweet; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
13. Leonard Barcus; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
14. Rick Helm; 1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97
15. [Click here to enter text.](#)
16. [Click here to enter text.](#)

Hearing Report and Summary

Consolidated Summary of Comments Received

Please review all comments received and complete a consolidated summary paragraph of the comments and indicate the rule number(s).

1501:47-3-17, 1501:47-3-97:

During the 2020 boating season, several individuals expressed concerns related to issues they felt were a result of the pilot program established with the enactment of 1501:47-3-97 in 2019. All comments from those individuals received with notice of this rule filing were in support of reverting to the electric-motor restriction provided in 1501:47-3-17. One other commentor inquired why the Division was reverting to the previous rule, stating that no violations or other issues were evident, but no position on the proposal was offered.

Hearing Report and Summary

Incorporated Comments into Rule(s)

Indicate how comments received during the hearing process were incorporated into the rule(s).
If no comments were incorporated, explain why not.

All comments either supported the revisions or did not express a position.