SUBMITTED: 02/20/2024 12:31 PM

**Note:** Upload completed document to the Electronic Rule Filing System.

Hearing Date: 2/16/2024	Today's Date: 2/20/2024		
Agency: Chemical Dependency F	Professionals Board		
Rule Number(s): 4758-4-01 4758-4-02(rescind) 4758-4-03 (rescind) 4758-4-04 4758-5-01 4758-6-01 4758-6-04 4758-6-05 4758-6-11 4758-6-12 4758-6-13 4758-6-14 4758-6-15 4758-8-01 4758-8-02 4758-8-03 4758-10 4758-13-02 4758-13-03 4758-13-04 4758-13-06 4758-13-07 4758-14-01 4758-15-01 4758-15-02  If no comments at the hearing, please check the box.   List organizations or individuals giving or submitting testimony before, during or after the public hearing and indicate the rule number(s) in question.  1. Crystal Bigley, LICDC, 4758-5-1  2. Cynthia Darden, LCDCIII, 4758-13-03 and 4758-15-01			
		3. The Ohio Council of Behavioral Health & Family Services Providers provided written testimony at the hearing 4758-8-01 and 4758-8-02	
		4. Click here to enter text.	
		5. Click here to enter text.	
		6. Click here to enter text.	
7. Click here to enter text.			
8. Click here to enter text.			
9. Click here to enter text.			
10. Click here to enter text.			
11. Click here to enter text.			
12. Click here to enter text.			
13. Click here to enter text.			
14. Click here to enter text.			
15. Click here to enter text.			
16. Click here to enter text.			

HSR p(201134) d: (843894) print date: 07/16/2025 6:33 PM

## **Consolidated Summary of Comments Received**

Please review all comments received and complete a consolidated summary paragraph of the comments and indicate the rule number(s).

4758-5-01 Comment indicated a barrier to college students obtaining specialized SUD education to meet CDCA requirements that was unintended.

4758-13-02 Comments supported the boards removal of the language "senior citizen" and replacing with 65+. Comment indicated that the board was addressing ability to be able to work full time when 65+.

4758-15-01 Comments were directed to the ability to have recriprocity in other states.

4758-8-01 Comments addressed the words "immediately discuss" with supervisor as not practical, and "continually reassess" with supervisor as not clearly defined as to timeline when a multiple relationship is recognized. It also was brought to the attention that independent license holders working without supervision were not given direction under this rule regarding multiple relationships.

4758-8-02 Comments addressed an additional burden to require supervisor and supervisee signatures on documentation for supervision done by video conferencing and wanted that requirement to be omitted.

## **Hearing Summary Report**

## **Incorporated Comments into Rule(s)**

Indicate how comments received during the hearing process were incorporated into the rule(s). If no comments were incorporated, explain why not.

4758-5-01 The board re-structured the wording in the rule to alleviate the confusion that removed the barrier mentioned, as the barrier addressed was not intended.

4758-13-02 Comment indicated that the board was addressing an inability to work full time when 65+ when the rule actually was a rule to allow a discount in renewal fees if 65+ and working only part-time, not to restrict the ability to work full-time if 65+, so no change in the rule was needed.

4758-15-01 This rule only refers to the rules of the International Certification and Recriprocity Consortirum (IC&RC) to hold a recriprocal license with IC&RC Boards. Our board does not have the authority to dicate rules regarding the eligibity for recriprocity into other states beyond being a member of IC&RC. Rule 4758-15-02 addresses recriprocity into Ohio. No change was made.

4758-8-01 The board replaced the word "immediately" with "as soon as reasonable possible" and removed the word "continually" for reassess in the rule. The board also included the recommendation given to include consultation with another independent license holder for those who hold the LICDC independent license in this section of the rule.

4758-8-02 The Board felt that these signatures for supervision are required by CARF and other accrediating bodies so the board revised language in rule that signatures of supervision and supervisees should be included if required by certifying or accrediting bodies.