



## Common Sense Initiative

**Mike DeWine**, Governor  
**Jon Husted**, Lt. Governor

**Carrie Kuruc**, Director

### Business Impact Analysis

Agency, Board, or Commission Name: ODNR Division of Wildlife

Rule Contact Name and Contact Information:

Brian Becker 614-265-6861

Regulation/Package Title (a general description of the rules' substantive content):

Commercial Fish Catch Reports

Rule Number(s): 1531:31-3-09

Date of Submission for CSI Review: October 7, 2019

Public Comment Period End Date: October 28, 2019

Rule Type/Number of Rules:

New/\_\_\_ rules

No Change/\_\_\_X\_\_\_ rules (FYR? X)

Amended/\_\_\_ rules (FYR? \_\_\_)

Rescinded/\_\_\_ rules (FYR? \_\_\_)

The Common Sense Initiative is established in R.C. 107.61 to eliminate excessive and duplicative rules and regulations that stand in the way of job creation. Under the Common Sense Initiative, agencies must balance the critical objectives of regulations that have an adverse impact on business with the costs of compliance by the regulated parties. Agencies should promote transparency, responsiveness, predictability, and flexibility while developing regulations that are fair and easy to follow. Agencies should prioritize compliance over punishment, and to that end, should utilize plain language in the development of regulations.

77 SOUTH HIGH STREET | 30TH FLOOR | COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215-6117

[CSIPublicComments@governor.ohio.gov](mailto:CSIPublicComments@governor.ohio.gov)

### **Reason for Submission**

1. **R.C. 106.03 and 106.031 require agencies, when reviewing a rule, to determine whether the rule has an adverse impact on businesses as defined by R.C. 107.52. If the agency determines that it does, it must complete a business impact analysis and submit the rule for CSI review.**

**Which adverse impact(s) to businesses has the agency determined the rule(s) create?**

**The rule(s):**

- a. ☐ **Requires a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business.**
- b. ☒ **Imposes a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or creates a cause of action for failure to comply with its terms.**
- c. ☒ **Requires specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance.**
- d. ☐ **Is likely to directly reduce the revenue or increase the expenses of the lines of business to which it will apply or applies.**

### **Regulatory Intent**

2. **Please briefly describe the draft regulation in plain language.**

*This rule establishes the specific requirements that commercial fishing licensees must follow to report their catch of commercial fish taken from the Lake Erie fishing district. No change to the current regulation is proposed.*

3. **Please list the Ohio statute(s) that authorize the agency, board or commission to adopt the rule(s) and the statute(s) that amplify that authority.**

*Ohio Revised Code 1533.42, 1531.08, 1533.343*

4. **Does the regulation implement a federal requirement? Is the proposed regulation being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal law or to participate in a federal program?**

*N/A.*

5. **If the regulation includes provisions not specifically required by the federal government, please explain the rationale for exceeding the federal requirement.**

*N/A*

77 SOUTH HIGH STREET | 30TH FLOOR | COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215-6117

CSIPublicComments@governor.ohio.gov

- 6. What is the public purpose for this regulation (i.e., why does the Agency feel that there needs to be any regulation in this area at all)?**

*Commercial fishers must keep and report accurate records of the fish that are harvested to comply with established harvest quotas which are needed for the protection, preservation, or propagation of fish. Daily catch reports are mandated by Ohio Revised Code 1533.42.*

- 7. How will the Agency measure the success of this regulation in terms of outputs and/or outcomes?**

*Success of the regulation is determined by the actual harvest of commercial fish from Lake Erie and monitored by the reporting of commercial fish harvest in the Ohio waters of Lake Erie. The agency completes annual assessments of the fish populations and reproduction to document and evaluate the resource. Success of the regulation will be determined through tracking of vessels using the Vessel Monitoring System. The Agency will continue to monitor compliance with the regulation through enforcement.*

- 8. Are any of the proposed rules contained in this rule package being submitted pursuant to R.C. 101.352, 101.353, 106.032, 121.93, or 121.931?**

*N/A*

### **Development of the Regulation**

- 9. Please list the stakeholders included by the Agency in the development or initial review of the draft regulation.**

*On July 25, 2019 the agency mailed a letter to all commercial trap net and seine licensees notifying them of the five-year review of this rule and they were informed of the intent of the ODNR Division of Wildlife to propose no changes to the regulation. Additionally, the licensees were invited to a meeting to review the rule and provide their input.*

*On August 29, 2019 nine commercial fishing licensees; Holly Szuch, Stan Cutcher, Darryl Trent, Randy Swartz, Dean Koch, James Swartz, Drew Koch, Stanley Cutcher, and Jeff Herr, which represented both the trap net licensees and seine licensees attended the meeting to review the rule and provide their input. All stakeholders were provided an opportunity to provide their comments about the rule to the agency in person, in writing or via email.*

- 10. What input was provided by the stakeholders, and how did that input affect the draft regulation being proposed by the Agency?**

*Three stakeholders provide comments regarding this rule. One requested that the agency considers an electronic sales reporting system that is linked to the catch reporting system. Two stakeholders requested a clarification regarding the reporting requirements of the rule, which was addressed by the agency during the meeting. No other comments on this rule were received. After consideration the agency determined that no change was appropriate.*

77 SOUTH HIGH STREET | 30TH FLOOR | COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215-6117

[CSIPublicComments@governor.ohio.gov](mailto:CSIPublicComments@governor.ohio.gov)

**11. What scientific data was used to develop the rule or the measurable outcomes of the rule? How does this data support the regulation being proposed?**

*No new scientific data is being used, as no changes are proposed.*

**12. What alternative regulations (or specific provisions within the regulation) did the Agency consider, and why did it determine that these alternatives were not appropriate? If none, why didn't the Agency consider regulatory alternatives?**

*No amendment to the regulation is being proposed; therefore, current measures for the reporting of fish taken by licensed commercial fishers are in place.*

**13. Did the Agency specifically consider a performance-based regulation? Please explain.**

*No, the Agency did not feel that this was applicable.*

**14. What measures did the Agency take to ensure that this regulation does not duplicate an existing Ohio regulation?**

*The ODNR Division of Wildlife is the only agency that regulates the commercial fishing industry in regard to the taking and reporting of commercial fish. The laws and rules of the agency were reviewed for potential conflict.*

**15. Please describe the Agency's plan for implementation of the regulation, including any measures to ensure that the regulation is applied consistently and predictably for the regulated community.**

*No amendment to the regulation is being proposed.*

**Adverse Impact to Business**

**16. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule. Specifically, please do the following:**

**a. Identify the scope of the impacted business community;**

*There are currently 18 commercial trap net licenses held by 12 individuals/corporations and 27 commercial seine licenses held by 14 individuals/corporations.*

**b. Identify the nature of all adverse impact (e.g., fees, fines, employer time for compliance,);**

*There will be no new adverse impacts as there are no amendments proposed for this rule.*

**c. Quantify the expected adverse impact from the regulation.**

*There will be no new adverse impacts as there are no amendments proposed for this rule.*

**17. Why did the Agency determine that the regulatory intent justifies the adverse impact to the regulated business community?**

*The regulatory intent justifies the adverse impact to the regulated business community so that Ohio has a sustainable fish population in Lake Erie for future use. There will be no new adverse impacts as there are no amendments proposed for this rule.*

**Regulatory Flexibility**

**18. Does the regulation provide any exemptions or alternative means of compliance for small businesses? Please explain.**

*There are currently no alternatives or exemptions for compliance with this regulation.*

**19. How will the agency apply Ohio Revised Code section 119.14 (waiver of fines and penalties for paperwork violations and first-time offenders) into implementation of the regulation?**

*Enforcement action by law enforcement is reserved for egregious violations and only after consultation with the prosecuting attorney. First time offenders of record keeping requirements will be provided guidance on proper record keeping requirements and warned of the violation.*

**20. What resources are available to assist small businesses with compliance of the regulation?**

*The industry may contact the ODNR Division of Wildlife office in Sandusky Ohio for clarification or explanation of the rule. Information is also available on the internet and through email.*